

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Jongmyo Shrine

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Korea, Republic of

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

738


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1995

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Jongmyo Shrine	37.55 / 126.983	0	0	0	1995
Total (ha)		0			

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Chongmyo Shrine. Map of the protected area	30/09/1994	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

International Affairs Division Cultural Heritage Administration
189, Cheongsa-ro, 920, Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon
Republic of Korea Tel. : 82-42-481-4738

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Young-Min AHN
Jongmyo Office

Comment

Please change the name of Young-Min AHN, Property Manager, into Kyung-mi, Park and Email to nutberry81@gmail.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)
2. [Cultural Properties Administration](#)
3. [Korea National Tourism Organization](#)
4. [Jongmyo Shrine \(Cultural Properties Administration\) - inclus visite virtuelle](#)

Comment

1.1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images 2. cha.go.kr : Cultural Heritage Administration 3. //jm.cha.go.kr : Jongmyo Shrine (Cultural Heritage Administration) - inclus visite virtuelle 4. visitkorea.or.kr : Korea National Tourism Organization

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Statement will be revised later after reviewed by ICOMOS.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(iv) Ancestral Shrine and affiliative buildings, setting of the shrine and layout design

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

SOUV not adopted at the time of inscription.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

None.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

None

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.7 Pests						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development				
3.1.1 Housing	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.1.2 Commercial development	restricted	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure				
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric				
3.7.7 Pests	widespread	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events				
3.10.1 Storms	widespread	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species				
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species	widespread	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Transportation Infrastructure : increase of cars
Pests and invasive alien species : termites that affect the wooden material of the architecture

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The entire site is a state-designated heritage and has a protection zone surrounding the heritage. Any activities that apply change to the current status of the heritage and its boundaries must be reported and obtain permission from the Cultural Heritage Committee.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Chongmyo complex is State property. It was designated Historical Relic No 125 in January 1963 and a Cultural Property Protection Zone in September 1983, under the provisions of Articles 6 and 7 respectively of the Cultural Property Preservation Law. Article 20 imposes restrictions on changes on the current state of the site.

It is also a Natural Environment Preservation Zone under Article 13 of the Law of National Land Use Management and a Cultural Property Preservation Zone under Article 18 of Urban Planning Law.

Comment

The entire area of Jongmyo Shrine and the individual buildings of Jeongjeon and Yeongnyeongjeon are all State-designated Cultural Heritage on the basis of the National Cultural Heritage Protection Act and are protected and managed accordingly. The Royal Ancestral Rite of Jongmyo together with the accompanying Ritual Music are also State-designated Intangible Cultural Heritage of which the tradition has been kept intact and handed down to this day from the 14th century.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is excellent capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The area up to 100m starting from the boundary of Jongmyo is protected by the Cultural Heritage Protection Act and also by the Jongno-gu district office regulation as a Historic Cultural Environment Protection Area, and all construction works within the area must be pre-approved. Cultural Heritage Administration (CHA), the national government entity, is responsible for the overall protection and management of Jongmyo.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

Jongmyo is a state-designated heritage and is directly managed by the Cultural Heritage Administration through the Royal Palaces and Tombs Division. The Administration is in charge of overall policy and budget and the Jongmyo Management Office is in charge of the implementation of the policy and daily maintenance of the heritage.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Management Documents for Jongmyo are incorporated into the Overall management plans for the Joseon Dynasty Royal Palaces and Jongmyo.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and /

or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The area around Jongmyo is managed by the Seoul Metropolitan City in which the Urban Planning Division, Traffic Policy Division and Cultural Heritage Division work in cooperation. Seoul City periodically revises the Basic Scenery Plan and District Unit Plan for the areas surrounding Jongmyo, suggesting systematic management policies and work plans.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

None

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	70%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	30%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

Not applicable.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Not applicable

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	73%
Seasonal	27%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

None

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1. Kyung-hee, Lee, 1997, World Heritage in Korea, The Organizing Committee of the year of Cultural Heritage 1997 and the Samsung Foundation of Culture 2. Hae-un, Rii, Jongmyo (Royal Shrine): Iconograph of Korea 3. Hyun-jin, Lee, 2008, Jongmyo in late Joseon Dynasty, Iljisa

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Please refer to the recently published books. 1. Royal Family Organization, 2005, Jongmyo Ritual Music, <http://www.rfo.co.kr> 2. The National Center for Korean Traditional Performing Arts, 2005, Jongmyo Ritual Music, <http://www.gugak.go.kr> 3. Doo-hwan, Ji, 2005, Jongmyo Story, JIpmundang 4. Institute for Translation of Korean Classics, 2009, A Collection of Jongmyo Protocol, <http://www.itkc.or.kr>

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Excellent

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

None

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor management is compiled within the overall management plans.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Jongmyo recently converted the visitor entry to limited visitor entry, where the visitors must be guided through the heritage on set times. It is to protect the solemnity and protection of the heritage valuing its original scope as an ancestral shrine.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management

needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Not applicable

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Regular day to day monitoring by daily report and record is conducted on the heritage and in-depth professional monitoring is conducted on a 3-4 year basis.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.2	Commercial development (vi) the setting of the shrine	Jongmyo is situated in the middle of Seoul with high concentration of commercial development. The protection zone is established and development within the boundaries must obtain permission from the Cultural Heritage Committee.	Continuous and regular monitoring around the area are conducted. All development plans operated by the Seoul Met. City around Jongmyo must obtain permission from the CH Committee.	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration Cultural Heritage Committee Seoul Metropolitan Government	There is no commercial development project to be influenced seriously until now.
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure (vi) setting of the shrine	As a metropolitan city there are high increases in the flow if vehicles in the roads leading to Jongmyo. However with protective zones established around the heritage no direct flow of traffic can enter into Jongmyo.	Regular monitoring of nearby traffic and parking lot needs are assessed to monitor the problems.	Continuous	Jongmyo Management Office Seoul Metropolitan Government	None.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.7	Pests					
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms (iv) architecture of the heritage	Monitoring of changes in weather are conducted and precautionary preparations on upcoming storms are implemented.	Monitoring of local weather and impacts of storms on the heritage regularly monitored.	Continuous	Jongmyo Management Office Cultural Heritage Administration	Monsoon seasons are especially dangerous.
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species (iv) wooden architecture of the shrine	Termites are invasive species that affects the wooden materials of the buildings. Constant eradication of termites are conducted to protect the buildings.	Regular monitoring on the status of wooden materials are conducted.	Continuous	Jongmyo Management Office Cultural Heritage Administration	None.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone Jongmyo is a state-designated heritage with a 100m wide protection zone according to the Cultural Heritage Protection Act, and reviews are being conducted on establishing the protection zone as a World Heritage buffer zone.	2011-2012	Cultural Heritage Administration	None.		

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The present state of conservation is very good. Conservation works in Jongmyo are conducted by Cultural Heritage Conservation Specialists who have passed the National Certification Exams in their separate fields of expertise. The greatest risk factor to the protection of Jongmyo is fire on the wooden architecture. CHA is implementing the Integrated Security System Establishment Plan since 2009.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Negative
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

None

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

None

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: Statement will be revised later after reviewed by ICOMOS.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

None