

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Buddhist Ruins of Takht-i-Bahi and Neighbouring City  
Remains at Sahr-i-Bahlol

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

• Pakistan

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

140

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1980


### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Takht-I-Bahi, Takht-I-Bahi , District Mardan , Northwest Frontier , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Sahri Bahlol, Sahri Bahlol , District Mardan , Northwest Frontier , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
<b>Total (ha)</b>			<b>0</b>		

#### Comment

Property Identification No: 140-001, coordinates are N - 34 - 17 - 10 E - 71 - 56 - 50 , property area 42.68 ha while efforts are under way to get mountainous range encompassing the ruins as reserved area for buffer zone. Property ID No: 140-002: coordinates are N - 34 - 15 - 20 E - 71 - 57 - 02 , property area 8.05 ha buffer zone nil. . Overall total area of both properties = 50.73 ha

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Takht-i-Bahi and its environs	31/12/1979	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Directorate of Archaeology and Museums Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Pakistan The federal Department of Archaeology & Museums has been devolved through constitutional amendment and all assets have been transferred to the respective Provinces . Hence Provincial Archaeology Department are responsible

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Arshad Mughal  
Sub-regional Office Peshawar, Federal Department of Archaeology and Museums Government of Pakistan  
Deputy Director

#### Comment

Federal Department of Archaeology & Museums Government of Pakistan has been devolved to the Provinces through Eighteenth Constitutional Amendment. In addition to that ( NWFP) has also be renamed as Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province and Director is focal person Directorate of Archaeology and Museums Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Pakistan Peshawar Museum Peshawar Pakistan DIRECTOR Tele: 00-92-91-9211488 & 9211194 Fax: 00-92-91-9210690

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [1001wonders.org](http://1001wonders.org) : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)
2. [Takht-i-Bahi \(Pakistan Travel Web\)](#)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

Both the sites were declared protected monuments under Ancient Monuments Preservation Act 1904 Government of India , vide Notification No: 766-N dated 15-07-1916 and Notification No: 65-G dated 11-01-1911 now replace with Antiquities Act -1975 Islamic Republic of Pakistan

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value of "The Buddhist Ruins of Takht-i-Bahi and Neighbouring City Remains at Sahr-i-Bahlol\_ PAKISTAN has already been submitted separately

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The Buddhist Ruins of Takht-i-Bahi and Neighbouring City Remains at Sahr-i-Bahlol are one of the most astonishing, exciting and imposing relics of Buddhism in Gandhara region of Pakistan. Buddhism was originated in India, developed remarkably in Gandhara and introduced all the way to Japan through Central Asia, China and Korean peninsula following the silk route. The Buddhist ruins of Takht-i-Bahi are located on hilltop 3 km east of Takht-i-Bahi village which itself lying on main National Highway "Mardan-Swat Section\_ 16 km from Mardan and 90 Kilometer from Peshawar while Neighbouring City Remains at Sahr-i-Bahlol are 4km to the southwest of Takht-i-Bahi site. On the basis of study of the structures, the construction phases have been divided in to four distinct periods from the 1st Century AD to 7th Century AD. Its significance is based on its unparalleled design, construction style, landscape and master pieces of Buddha statues in stone. The sculptures yielded from the both sites represent various scenes of Buddhist mythology. The Cultural property represents an assemblage of buildings that were particular to Buddhist monasteries and settlements. It is distinct in many respect but foremost to mention here are Main stupa and the court of many votive stupas both surrounded on three sides with lofty chapples to embellish sculptures, splendid

monastery with kitchen and refectory area, assembly hall, meditation cells, court of three stupas, covered passage ways and many other secular buildings all stone built in typical Gandhara pattern diaper style masonry.

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

not applicable

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value of "The Buddhist Ruins of Takht-i-Bahi and Neighbouring City Remains at Sahr-i-Bahlol, PAKISTAN has already been submitted separately

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

Abrupt flow of visitor may put adverse affect on the stability of fragile structural ruins.

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.3 Industrial areas						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution						
3.4.3 Surface water pollution						
3.4.5 Solid waste						
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>					
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals						
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>					
3.6.1 Mining						
3.6.2 Quarrying						
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
3.7.1 Wind						
3.7.2 Relative humidity						
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.4 Radiation/light						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7 Pests						
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
3.9.1 Illegal activities						
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.9.5 Terrorism						
3.9.6 Civil unrest						
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.6 Temperature change						

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
3.11.1 Volcanic eruption						
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>					
3.13.3 Management activities						
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
<b>3.1 Buildings and Development</b>					
<b>3.1.1 Housing</b>	widespread	one off or rare	minor	no capacity and / or resources	increasing
<b>3.1.2 Commercial development</b>	localised	frequent	minor	low capacity	increasing
<b>3.1.3 Industrial areas</b>	localised	on-going	minor	low capacity	increasing
<b>3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure</b>	restricted	one off or rare	significant	low capacity	increasing
<b>3.3 Services Infrastructures</b>					
<b>3.3.1 Water infrastructure</b>	localised	frequent	significant	low capacity	static
<b>3.4 Pollution</b>					
<b>3.4.3 Surface water pollution</b>	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.5 Biological resource use/modification</b>					
<b>3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.6 Physical resource extraction</b>					
<b>3.6.1 Mining</b>	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.6.2 Quarrying</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.1 Wind</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.7.2 Relative humidity</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
<b>3.7.3 Temperature</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.7.4 Radiation/light</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.9 Other human activities</b>					
<b>3.9.1 Illegal activities</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage</b>	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.9.5 Terrorism</b>	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.9.6 Civil unrest</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.10 Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
<b>3.10.1 Storms</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.10.2 Flooding</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.10.6 Temperature change</b>	restricted	frequent	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
<b>3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
<b>3.11.2 Earthquake</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
<b>3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Rapid urbanization is possible threat in future

### 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

#### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

##### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

##### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

##### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

##### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

##### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

##### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

There was no buffer zone at the time of inscription on WH list. The Remains of Sahr-i-Bahlol were completely encroached / occupied by the local. The Ruins Takht-I-Bahi being location on hill top are free from the threat of encroachment however to avoid possible threat of mining/ quarrying , efforts are under way to get whole mountainous range encompassing the ruins as protected area

#### 4.2. Protective Measures

##### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

##### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the

##### Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

##### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

##### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

##### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There are **major deficiencies** in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

##### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The site is protected under legal cover called Antiquities Act

#### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

##### 4.3.1 - Management System

The property's Management Plan describes the system of administration of the site.

##### Comment

The Government of Pakistan through Constitutional amendment, Federal Department of Archaeology has been devolved and transferred all assets to the provinces. Hence Directorate of Archaeology and Museums Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar is responsible for look after the site. Watch and ward staff is extremely deficient .Therefore an independent office with sufficient staff is requirement of the site

##### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

##### Comment

Management Plan is under preparation / consideration.

##### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional /

**provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Non-existent
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	00%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	00%
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	00%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	00%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	00%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	00%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	00%
Other grants	00%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

No financial as well as technical assistance have been provided by any international agencies as well as donors

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

**Potential** economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities



**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	00%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	00%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	00%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Non-existent
Community outreach	Non-existent
Interpretation	Non-existent
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Non-existent
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Not available
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Education	Not available
Visitor management	Not available
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to

those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared** with local participants and **some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

No Comments

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

No comments

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Not provided but needed
Trails / routes	Not provided but needed
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World**

**Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an identified need

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

Management plan under preparation

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Non-existent
Local / Municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**



**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.1 Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	<b>Housing</b>	Attributes are completely safe and there is no threat. Sufficient land on front side has also been acquired and properly fenced	Land acquired and construction in close vicinity prohibited	Nil	Nil, no comments	Local Government	No comment. All attributes of sites are completely safe and area front of site has been acquired
3.1.3	<b>Industrial areas</b>	Presently there is no threat to attributes of the site due to industrialization.	A sugar mill was probably established in 1945 which is now abandoned and also far away	No comments	No comments	Environmental Protection Agency and Archaeology Department	No comment, there is
<b>3.4 Pollution</b>							
3.4.3	<b>Surface water pollution</b>	There is no surface water pollution, however storm water channel carrying water during rains have been properly channelized and friction walls to avoid soil erosion have been provided at different intervals	Storm water drains are properly channelized	Drains are periodically cleared	regular	Department of Archaeology	No comment
<b>3.6 Physical resource extraction</b>							
3.6.1	<b>Mining</b>	Mining was a great threat. However under legal cover mining has been completely banned and mountainous range encompassing the sites/remains has been got declared as protected area	Under legal cover mining has been completely banned	Regular monitoring by the departmental staff	through out year	Department of Archaeology and Mineral Department	No comment
<b>3.9 Other human activities</b>							
3.9.2	<b>Deliberate destruction of heritage</b>	No comments	Watch and ward staff remains vigilant	Regularly	through out year	Department of Archaeology	No comments
<b>3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.2	<b>Earthquake</b>	IV, crack in some structures were developed	Necessary repair was under taken	Regularly	Through out year	Department of Archaeology	No comments

## 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.4	<b>The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners</b>	No comments. However boundaries are well known due to its location on hill top. Efforts are under way to carry out demarcation inviting all stakeholders and finally to erect boundaries pillars and boards	Probably till December 2012	Land Collector Mardan and Mineral Department	No comments	
<b>4.8 Monitoring</b>						
4.8.1	<b>Some monitoring, but it is not planned</b>	Regular monitoring is most essential and Department of Archaeology is planning to establish a regular forum	through out year	Department of Archaeology, District Revenue and Mineral Department	No comments	

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Management effectiveness	Not applicable
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Not applicable
Education	Not applicable
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Negative
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

International assistance is needed. Pakistan economy is under decline due to terrorism hence international community should help the Pakistan

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Management effectiveness

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

##### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value of "The Buddhist Ruins of Takht-i-Bahi and Neighbouring City Remains at Sahr-i-Bahlol, PAKISTAN has already been submitted separately

##### • Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: Property Identification No: 140-001, coordinates are N - 34 - 17 - 10 E - 71 - 56 - 50 , property area 42.68 ha while efforts are under way to get mountainous range encompassing the ruins as reserved area for buffer zone. Property ID No: 140-002: coordinates are N - 34 - 15 - 20 E - 71 - 57 - 02 , property area 8.05 ha buffer zone nil. . Overall total area of both properties = 50.73 ha

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or  
recommendations related to the Assessment of the  
Periodic Reporting exercise**