

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Taxila

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Pakistan

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

139

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List


1980

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Khanpur Cave , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Saraikala, prehistoric mound , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Bhir Mound , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Sirkap (fortified city) , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Sirsukh (fortified ruined city) , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Dharmarajika stupa and monastery , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Khader Mohra (Akhuri) , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Kalawan group of buildings , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Giri complex of monuments , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Kunala stupa and monastery , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Jandial complex , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Lalchak and Badalpur Buddhist stupa , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Mohra Moradu stupa and monastery , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Pippala stupa and monastery , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Jaulian stupa and monastery , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Lalchak mounds , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Buddhist remains around Bhallar stupa , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980

Giri Mosque and tombs , Punjab , Pakistan	0 / 0	0	0	0	1980
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map of Taxila	31/12/1979	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Department of Archaeology & Museums, Government of Pakistan Sub-Regional Office, Taxila

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Muhammad Bahadar
Federal Department of Archaeology and Museums (DOAM)
Deputy Director

Comment

Muhammad Bahadar Khan, Deputy Director, Department of Archaeology & Museums, Government of Pakistan Sub-Regional Office, Taxila Phone 0519314331 Fax 0519314270 mbahadar@hotmail.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)
2. [National Fund for Cultural Heritage](#)
3. [Welcome to Taxila \(Punjab\)](#)
4. [Pakistan Tourism Development Corporation](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

1. Antiquities Act, 1975 2. Archaeological Excavation & Exploration Rules, 1978 3. Acquisition of Antiquities Rules, 1989 4. Dealing in Antiquities Rules, 1989 5. Export of Antiquities, 1979 6. Prohibition for Movement of Antiquities between Specified Areas, 1993 7. Immovable Antiquities (mining, quarrying and blasting in restricted areas) Rules, 1979 8. Admission of Public into Immovable Antiquities Rules, 1982 9. Cinematographical Filming Rules, 1980 10. Customs Act, 1969

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(vi)

Comment

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1 Housing							
3.1.2 Commercial development							
3.1.3 Industrial areas							
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.3 Surface water pollution							
3.4.4 Air pollution							
3.4.5 Solid waste							
3.4.6 Input of excess energy							
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals							
3.5.5 Crop production							
3.5.10 Forestry /wood production							
3.6	Physical resource extraction						
3.6.1 Mining							
3.6.2 Quarrying							
3.6.4 Water (extraction)							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1 Wind							
3.7.2 Relative humidity							
3.7.3 Temperature							
3.7.4 Radiation/light							
3.7.5 Dust							
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.7 Pests							
3.7.8 Micro-organisms							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting							
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1 Illegal activities							
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.9.3 Military training							
3.9.5 Terrorism							
3.9.6 Civil unrest							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1 Storms							

	Name	Impact					Origin
3.10.2 Flooding							
3.10.3 Drought							
3.10.6 Temperature change							
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.2 Earthquake							
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide							
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition							
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)							
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.1 Translocated species							
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
3.12.3 Invasive / alien freshwater species							
3.12.4 Invasive / alien marine species							
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3 Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.1.2 Commercial development	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.1.3 Industrial areas	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.3 Surface water pollution	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.4.6 Input of excess energy	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.5 Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.5 Crop production	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.6 Physical resource extraction					
3.6.1 Mining	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.6.2 Quarrying	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.2 Relative humidity	localised	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.3 Temperature	localised	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.5 Dust	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	localised	on-going	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.7 Pests	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.7.8 Micro-organisms	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.9 Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	decreasing

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	frequent	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.9.5	Terrorism	localised	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.9.6	Civil unrest	localised	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.10.2	Flooding	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.10.3	Drought	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.10.6	Temperature change	localised	frequent	significant	high capacity	static
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	localised	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.1	Translocated species	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.12.4	Invasive / alien marine species	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value

including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The property's Management Plan describes the system of administration of the site.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
-------------------------------	------

Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training





4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	00%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	00%
Governmental (National / Federal)	99%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	00%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	00%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	00%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	00%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	00%
Other grants	01%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
C, Contrôle végétation Taxila,	1996	28000.00	
Vegetation Control in Taxila	1998	28000.00	
Signage on-site within the Taxila Museum Complex concerning the WH Convention and its relation to the Taxila WH Site.	1999	5000.00	
Request for authorization for expenditure for an activity already approved - Taxila World Heritage site vegetation control	1999	8000.00	
Total		69000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	00%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	95%
Seasonal	05%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	00%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management

needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following

visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Major Increase (100%+)

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Not applicable
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.2	Commercial development					
3.1.3	Industrial areas	Small and medirm type of factories	Needs to be regularized in accordance with the concerned laws	World Heritage Site Management	12 months	Department of Archaeology Federal & Provincial developemnt departments Ammendment in the relvant laws will be required
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.3	Temperature					
3.7.7	Pests					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.8	Local communities have no input into management decisions	local Communities needs to be involved partially.	about 15 months	Department of Archaeology	New Legislation will be required.	
4.3.9	Indigenous peoples have no input into decisions relating to management	Indigenous people involvement will improve the state and management of world heritage sites.	about 15 months	Department of Archaeology and all other relevant organizations.	New Legislation will be required for the involvement of indigenous people.	
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	Cooperation between other related organizations i.e. Tourism, Environemnt, Local Authorities responsible for development of the area is of immense importance.	about 18 months	Department of Archaeology Tourism Department Environment Department Communication & Works Water & Power Development authority	Copperation between these agencies will protect the world heritage sites from un-necessary, un-planned developemnt which are against the relevant laws.	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	None

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed
- Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise