

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Kathmandu Valley

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Nepal

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

121bis








Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1979

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Hanuman Dhoka Durbar Square	27.704 / 85.308	5.09	6.47	11.56	1979
Patan Durbar Square	27.677 / 85.33	5.3	13.55	18.85	1979
Bhaktapur Durbar Square	27.671 / 85.432	3.61	10.71	14.32	1979
Swayambhu	27.714 / 85.293	32.63	25.18	57.81	1979
Bauddhanath	27.721 / 85.364	1.27	2.83	4.1	1979
Pashupati	27.686 / 85.337	83.55	11.55	95.1	1979
Changunarayan	27.716 / 85.43	35.92	?	35.92	1979
Total (ha)		167.37	70.29	237.66	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Hanuman Dhoka Durbar Square Monument zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	01/02/2006	
Patan Durbar Square Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	01/02/2006	
Bhaktapur Durbar Square Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	01/02/2006	
Swayambhu Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	01/02/2006	
Bauddhanath Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	01/02/2006	
Pashupati Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	01/02/2006	
Changunarayan Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	01/02/2006	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• BISHNU RAJ KARKI
DEPARTMENT OF ARCHAEOLOGY

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. [UNESCO Kathmandu](http://unesco.org)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Located in the foothills of the Himalayas, the Kathmandu Valley World Heritage property is inscribed as a single site, comprising of seven Monument Zones. These monument zones are the Durbar squares or urban centres of the three cities of Kathmandu (Hanuman Dhoka) Patan and Bhaktapur and the religious ensembles of Swayambhu, Bauddhanath, Pashupati and Changu Narayan. As Buddhism and Hinduism developed and changed over the centuries throughout Asia, both religions prospered in Nepal and produced a powerful artistic and architectural fusion beginning at least from the 5th century A.D., but truly coming into its own in the three hundred year period between 1500 and 1800 A.D. These monuments were defined by the outstanding cultural traditions of the Newars, manifested in their unique urban settlements, buildings and structures with intricate ornamentation displaying outstanding craftsmanship in brick, stone, timber and bronze that are some of the most highly developed in the world.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion iii: The seven monument ensembles represent an exceptional testimony to the traditional civilization of the Kathmandu Valley. The cultural traditions of the multi-ethnic people who settled in this remote Himalayan valley over the past two millennia, referred to as the Newars, is manifested in the unique urban society which boasts of one of the most highly developed craftsmanship of brick, stone, timber and bronze in the world. Criterion iv: The property is comprised of exceptional architectural typologies, ensembles and urban fabric which illustrate the highly developed culture of the Valley which reached an apogee between 1500 and 1800 AD. The exquisite examples of palace complexes, ensembles of temples and stupas are unique to the Kathmandu Valley. Criterion vi: The property is tangibly associated with the unique coexistence and amalgamation of Hinduism and Buddhism with animist rituals and Tantrism. The symbolic and artistic values are manifested in the ornamentation of the buildings, the urban structure and often the surrounding natural environment, which are closely associated with legends, rituals and festivals.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

SOUV was not submitted in the time of nomination of the property in 1979

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or
recommendations related to Statement of
Outstanding Universal Value**

No comment

3. Factors Affecting the Property






































































































3.14. Other factor(s)
























3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

presence of institutions

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development		
3.1.1 Housing		 	
3.1.2 Commercial development		 	
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		 	
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities			
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure		
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		 	
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure		 	
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		 	
3.2.5 Underground transport infrastructure			
3.3	Services Infrastructures		
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities		 	
3.3.4 Localised utilities		 	
3.3.5 Major linear utilities		 	
3.4	Pollution		
3.4.2 Ground water pollution		 	
3.4.3 Surface water pollution		 	
3.4.4 Air pollution		 	
3.4.5 Solid waste		 	
3.5	Biological resource use/modification		
3.5.3 Land conversion		 	
3.6	Physical resource extraction		
3.6.2 Quarrying		 	
3.6.4 Water (extraction)		 	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric		
3.7.2 Relative humidity		 	
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)		 	
3.7.7 Pests		 	
3.7.8 Micro-organisms		 	
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage		
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses		 	
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage			
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system		 	
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		 	
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation		 	
3.9	Other human activities		
3.9.1 Illegal activities		 	
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage		 	
3.9.3 Military training		 	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events		
3.10.1 Storms		 	
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events		
3.11.2 Earthquake		 	
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide		 	

	Name	Impact	Origin
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition		 	 
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)		 	 
3.13	Management and institutional factors		
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities			
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities			
3.13.3 Management activities			
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative
	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	static
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	extensive	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	one off or rare	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	extensive	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	extensive	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.4.4	Air pollution	widespread	on-going	minor	no capacity and / or resources	increasing
3.4.5	Solid waste	extensive	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3	Land conversion	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	static
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.2	Quarrying	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.6.4	Water (extraction)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	widespread	on-going	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	extensive	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	widespread	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.9.3	Military training	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	extensive	one off or rare	significant	low capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

to be decided latter

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Buffer Zone boundary should be properly communicated.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of

Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There are **major deficiencies** in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property


4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

By-law enforcement should have equal priority in Buffer Zone also. Full authority with resources and responsibility should be delegated to concerned local government units.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Kathmandu Valley World Heritage Site Integrated Management Framework	N/A	Available	01/06/2007	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No Comment



















4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

N/A

4.4. Financial and Human Resources**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	4%
Governmental (National / Federal)	8%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	3%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	85%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Additional financial assistance for Swayambhu Temple in Kathmandu Valley	1980	13000.00	
C, Kathmandu	1989	30000.00	
C, Réunion monitoring Kathmandu.	1993	16700.00	
C, Kathmandu	1994	20600.00	
C, Kathmandu	1994	24310.00	
C, Kathmandu, service experts	1995	52000.00	
C, Kathmandu, documentation, recensement 7 zones de monuments	1996	14000.00	
C, Kokhana, Kathmandu	1997	7510.00	
C, Kathmandu, rapport gestion	1997	14000.00	
C, Kathmandu, activités formation	1997	14000.00	
Structural survey of the 55 Windows Palace building in the Kathmandu Valley	1998	19800.00	
State of conservation of the Kathmandu Valley W H Site	1998	35000.00	
Studies on traditional architecture, construction and conservation technique, documenting Bhaktapur Monument zone building	1997	28000.00	
On site promotion activity at Kathmandu	1998	5000.00	
Preparing a full inventory of 120 buildings within the Baudhanath Monument Zone, one of the seven Monument Zones composing Kathmandu Valley site	1998	20000.00	
Request to the Chairperson for authorization for the expenditure for the reproduction of the Joint Mission Report on the State of Conservation of Kathmandu Valley.	1999	2550.00	
Reproduction of the Joint Mission Report on the State of Conservation of Kathmandu Valley (Request 99-137 NOT FULLY IMPLEMENTED IN 1999).	1999	2327.00	
First International Youth Festival of World Heritage Cities.	2001	5000.00	
Total		323797	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There are **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

LATER

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	85%
Part-time	15%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	95%
Seasonal	5%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	95%
Volunteer	5%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Not available
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Not available
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

There is not sufficient resources for the management of WH Properties; lack of training is the major problem for the expertise for management, however available

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the World Heritage property is **not sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Ranjitkar, Rohit Kumar, Conservation Manual, Bhaktapur Tourism Board, Tourist Guide Manual Gov. of Nepal, Integrated Management Plan

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

It is the responsibility of government for research on entire world heritage properties; but due to different factors /

problems there is a lack of research programs on entire subjects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited** and *ad hoc* education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Not provided but needed
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Poor

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Urgent need of Information centre, guided tours, signage, disaster management plan and related informations, route maps etc.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Brochures and maps are published for each monument zones which are provided with entrance ticket to the visitors. Furthermore, the different documents, leaflets, booklets are published for tourism promotion and websites are developed as well.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some** management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Urgent need of rest rooms, drinking water and hygienic food stalls; testing and certification system for goods and services; vendor regulation

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Channeling the resources and authority to the local government units;

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Heritage Security Units needs to be established; Broder consultation of higher educational institutions and professional organisations in major conservation and management issues;

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Severely changed the medieval urban characters	vehicle free zone being implemented, alternative routes are proposed and provided ,signage provided	Policing of No Vehicle zone, community involvement in enforcement;	On going	Municipality and Traffic Police	Continued enforcement required; strong commitment needed from government and local institutions
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	difficulty to carryout traditional outdoor activities; damage to monuments in longterms,	No traffic zone, street and courtyard paving, regular surface washing, restriction in dumping construction material,	Policing of No Vehicle zone, community involvement in enforcement;	on going	Municipality, Traffic Police	Regular air pollution monitoring and public awareness campaign, emphasis on cleanliness
3.4.5	Solid waste	difficulty to carryout traditional outdoor activities;	Land fill sites, community involvement in collection, recycle,reuse emphasighed.	Policing , community involvement in collection and management,	on going	Municipality, Community Organizations	Depoliticization of sanitary landfill sites,
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	damage to monuments in longterms,	Damp proofing course inserted in some monuments and structures	system needs to be established	to be implimented	DoA, Municipalities, Concerned Agencies	More research needed for attributing damage and identifying correction measures
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	(VI) ; traditional values and management system are detorioring	Guthi system tried to be strengthened and revived, Increased involvement on CBO's, revising harmful traditional practices such as offering lamp, food for piegon and monkeys	Yet to be established	5 Years	CBO's, Municipalities and others	Knowledge, communication, participation and consensus building for positive changes
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	(VI), difficulty in continueing traditional practices	education and awareness,	yet to be established	need to be initiated	Municipalities, CBO's	Infrastructure and facilities need to be decentralized

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.2 Protective Measures					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.2.5	Major deficiencies in capacity / resources to enforce legislation	commitment should be implemented; resource and authority delegated to local government;	on going	State, DoA, Line Agencies	No comment
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	Proposed and ongoing awareness programme	5 Years	Department of Archaeology	No comment
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	Management Plan, Conservation Plan, Implementation of legislations	5 Years	Department of Archaeology	No Comment

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

No comment

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Not applicable
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No Comment

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization

Local community

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Kathmandu Valley WH Property comprises 7 monument zones; It is difficult to define each and every data as a whole; however something might be related only to a monument zone, not to others or whole.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: Located in the foothills of the Himalayas, the Kathmandu Valley World Heritage property is inscribed as a single site, comprising of seven Monument Zones. These monument zones are the Durbar squares or urban centres of the three cities of Kathmandu (Hanuman Dhoka) Patan and Bhaktapur and the religious ensembles of Swayambhu, Baudhanath, Pashupati and Changu Narayan. As Buddhism and Hinduism developed and changed over the centuries throughout Asia, both religions prospered in Nepal and produced a powerful artistic and architectural fusion beginning at least from the 5th century A.D., but truly coming into its own in the three hundred year period between 1500 and 1800 A.D. These monuments were defined by the outstanding

cultural traditions of the Newars, manifested in their unique urban settlements, buildings and structures with intricate ornamentation displaying outstanding craftsmanship in brick, stone, timber and bronze that are some of the most highly developed in the world.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No Comment