

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Sagarmatha National Park

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Nepal

Type of Property

natural

Identification Number

120

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1979

Comment

Type of property: Natural

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Sagarmatha National Park	27.965 / 86.913	124400	0	124400	1979
Total (ha)		124400	0	124400	

Comment

Latitude & Longitude of Sagarmatha National Park is N 275755.008 & E865447.016. The actual area of Sagarmatha National Park is 114800 ha. Sagarmatha National Park has also its bufferzone at national level.

1.4 - Map(s)

Comment

Topographic map will be submitted.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Sagarmatha National Park Office under Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation, Government of Nepal

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Bed Kumar Dhakal
Sagarmatha National Park

Comment

Fax 00977-38540395

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)
2. [Sagarmatha National park \(Dept. of National Parks & Wildlife Conservation\)](#)
3. [The Himalayas - Nepal Himalayas - Sagarmatha \(Mt. Everest\) National Park \(Think-Quest\)](#)
4. [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)

Comment

Sagarmatha National Park has not its own web site. The information related with SNP is disseminated through the website of www.dnpwc.gov.np. The separate website will be developed soon.

Section II - Sagarmatha National Park (120)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Ramsar Convention, 1971. Convention Concerning the Protection of World Cultural and Natural Heritage 1972 Convention on International Trade in Endangered species of Wild Flora & Fauna (CITES), 1975. Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992. United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification 1994 United Nation Framework Convention on Climate Change 1994

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

SOUV of Sagarmatha has already been submitted to World Heritage Centre.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(vii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The park has superlative and exceptional natural beauty in form of embedded majestic peaks including the world highest Mount Sagarmatha (8,848 m.). This area represents a major stage of the Earth's evolutionary history and is one of the most geologically interesting region in the world. This park consists of the world's highest ecologically characteristic flora and fauna blended with the conservation friendly Sherpa culture.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Adventure Tourism Activities such as sky diving, paragliding, marathon competition, concert programme has been launched at the site also causes negative impact. Site seeing by helicopter and Aero plane for tourists may also causes negative impact.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact	Origin				
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution						
3.4.3 Surface water pollution						
3.4.5 Solid waste						
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3 Land conversion						
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals						
3.5.5 Crop production						
3.5.10 Forestry /wood production						
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.2 Quarrying						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities						
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development				

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1.1	Housing	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.5	Solid waste	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3	Land conversion	localised	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.2	Quarrying	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

No comment.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

No comment.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Comment

The property is legally protected.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of

Section II - Sagarmatha National Park (120)

Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

No comment.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

A Management Plan is in place for this property

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The approved management plan of the park and buffer zone is the main basis for the management of property.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Non-existent

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comment.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There is no change in the major legislation since last periodic reporting.

Section II - Sagarmatha National Park (120)

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	10%
Governmental (National / Federal)	90%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Financial contribution and specialist services for a microhydroelectric project at Sagarmatha National Park	1981	54900.00	Link to source
Specialist services of an energy adviser and financial contribution to the restoration and afforestation programme, as well as the modernization of the visitors' centre in Sagarmatha National Park	1982	61995.00	Link to source
Reafforestation programme, improvement of the display at the visitors' centre and purchase of a small electric pump for Sagarmatha National Park	1983	10000.00	Link to source
Upgrading of interpretation displays and visitor amenities at the Park Entrance Station (PES) and the Namche Bazar visitor centre (NBVC) of Sagarmatha National Park, Nepal	1998	15000.00	Link to source
Donor Meeting for and Training of the Chief Warden of the Sagarmatha National Park	1999	7000.00	Link to source
Donor Meeting for and Training of the Chief Warden of the Sagarmatha National Park	1999	8202.00	Link to source
Total		157097	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some *ad hoc* maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The existing park infrastructures need to be improved as according to changing situation.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

Section II - Sagarmatha National Park (120)

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Most of the local communities are not interested to join the park as a employee but they are contributing the park management activities through buffer zone programme.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Some articles has been publishing in the local level news paper regularly especially to educate the local communities for the proper management of world heritage properties. All the research conducted in Sagarmatha National Park are published in Annual Report of DNPWC, annually. The website is : www.dnpwc.gov.np. Likewise some report could be found in : www.icimod.org, www.wwfnepal.org etc.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

There is good scope of research on climate change in the Sagarmatha National Park, and different small scale researches are going on but the are not conducted in coordinated ways. Long term research is necessary for climate change and adoption issues.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only partly meets the needs and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, but it could be improved

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted but improvements could be made

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not provided but needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

No comment.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Sagarmatha National Park has its one approved Tourism Plan with the park and buffer zone management plan. Most of the tourism activities are conducted as specified in the tourism plan.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is limited co-operation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

There is legal system of 30-50 % revenue plough back system for the local communities especially to conduct conservation and community development activities.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Most of the recommendations of the World Heritage Committee are incorporated in the management plan and they are also implemented separately as instruction from the higher Government Authorities.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Regular joint monitoring from all the relevant stakeholders are necessary for the sustainable management of the world heritage properties.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	There is no strict code of conduct to construct the infrastructures but there is common understanding among local, buffer zone and park for the construction of infrastructures similar to traditional building architectures.	Awareness among the local communities for maintaining the traditional building architectures and styles.	There is no regular monitoring program.	There is no time limitation and it is believed that the infrastructures would be maintained as per the traditional styles for the long run.	Park, buffer zone, local government and others.	There is no specific program in this aspect in the current management plan so it needs to be incorporated in the revised next five management plan (2013 to 2017).
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	There is no strict criteria for small scale service infrastructures but for the large scale service infrastructure EIA is legally mandatory.	Awareness and public consultation to inform all the relevant stakeholders.	There is no planned monitoring system but during the construction phase all the infrastructures are monitored.	There is no strict time line for such projects.	Park, Buffer zone, local communities and other relevant stakeholders.	No comment.
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.5	Solid waste	Park and buffer zone with the support of Sagarmatha Pollution Control Committee, a local level NGO, has developed some criteria for the management of the solid waste. The Garbage of the Mountainering team is managed by Ministry of Tourism.	Regular programs are conducted by the SPCC with the coordination of Park and Buffer Zone Management Committee and User Committees.	Joint monitoring programs are conducted with the support of park, buffer zone, local communities, local bodies and SPCC.	Regular annual programs are conducted.	Park, Buffer Zone, Local communities and other relevant stakeholders.	Management of the solid waste is challenging with the increasing number of tourism.
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	The traditional ways of the local communities is affected with the increasing number of tourism activities. The conservation friendly sherpa culture is the major backbone for the conservation of natural resources.	Public awareness and other culture conservation programs are conducting regularly.	Joint monitoring programs are conducted by park, buffer zone and local communities.	All the year and different programs are necessary annually.	All the relevant stakeholders (park, buffer zone and local communities).	The out migration of the Sherpa from the Khumbu region and increasing number of porters and labours could be the challenging factors for maintaining the Sherpa cultures and traditions.
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	The area affected by forest fire is increasing year by year.	Regular public awareness programs, preventive measures are going to be conducted such as park has future plan to manage forest fire fighting tools in all relevant sites.	Regular monitoring is necessary.	All the year and especially focused in dry season.	Park, Army, Police, Local communities, NGOs and other related stakeholders.	The impact of forest fire is increasing year by year. The impact of climate change for forest fire would be the burning issues for the detail research.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	There is no large industries with in the park and buffer zone. Tourism business could be taken as a one of the important industries.	Regularly, such programs conducted anually and as according to need and local situation context.	Park, Buffer zone, Local bodies and other relevant stakeholders.	No comment.
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	Insufficient no of park stsffs and	All the year	DNPWC, Park, donors and others	Basic equipments and facilites are necessary to upgrade the park working capacities.
4.4.7	Ad hoc maintenance of equipment	There is no or little equipment for the management of the world heritage sites.	Relevant equipments are necessary for the world heritage site management.	DNPWC, Park, donors and others.	All the relevant instruments need to be provided to the park and regular maintenece of the equipment is necessary.
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Existing human resources is insufficient to tackle the increasing issues of world heritage management.	All the year.	DNPWC, Park and relevent agencies	Existing organization of the park is going to be updraded soon. It is believed that new structure would solve all the burning issues of world heritage site management.
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Joint monitoring are conducted.	Regularly monitoring ptograms are conducted.	DNPWC, Park, Buffer zone, local communities and other stakeholders.	Regular monitoring especially for the implementation of world heritage convention is necessary for the effective management of the world heritage site.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

Not applicable (for sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No comment

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

Section II - Sagarmatha National Park (120)

Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local community
Others

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No comment

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: SOUV of Sagarmatha has already been submitted to World Heritage Centre.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Latitude & Longitude of Sagarmatha National Park is N 275755.008 & E865447.016. The actual area of Sagarmatha National Park is 114800 ha. Sagarmatha National Park has also its bufferzone at national level.

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: Topographic map will be submitted.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No comment