

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Town of Luang Prabang

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Lao People's Democratic Republic

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

479rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1995


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Town of Luang Prabang	19.889 / 102.133	0	0	0	1995
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Le bien existant est 750 ha Coordonnées : 1. N19 53 20 004 E102 7 59 988 2. N19 53 01 443 E102 7 41 613 3. N19 53 51 053 E102 8 39 902

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing the nominated area	21/10/1994	

Comment

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

GOUVERNEMENT DE LA REPUBLIQUE DEMOCRATIQUE POPULAIRE LAO

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Bounnhang PHONGPHICHIT
Department of Luang Prabang World Heritage

Comment

Département du Patrimoine Mondial de Luang Prabang M. Bounnhang PHONGPHICHIT Adresse : Ban Xiengthong, Rue Sackarin, Ville de Luang Prabang, B.P. 993, Province de Luang Prabang, République Démocratique Populaire Lao Téléphone : (856.71) 252249; 212912 Fax : (856.71) 252250 Email : dpl.lpb.heritage@gmail.com; bounnhang_ph@hotmail.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
3. [Luang Prabang. \(The National Tourism Authority of Lao PDR\)](#)
4. [Luang Prabang. \(Lao PDR Embassy in Washington, DC, USA\)](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

"The retrospective SOUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is under review by the Advisory Body."

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)(v)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact	Origin				
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure						
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
3.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Les limites du bien du patrimoine sont bien connues de tous. Toutefois, les limites seront améliorées, en étendant le site inscrit au périmètre du PSMV, en y incluant les vestiges des anciennes murailles en terre. La zone tampon est en cours d'étude, elle doit aider sensiblement à la maîtrise des paysages entourant le site inscrit et au maintien de la valeur universelle exceptionnelle du bien.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The property corresponds with the Heritage Protection Zone (*Zone de Protection du Patrimoine-ZPP*), defined by the Institut des Études Techniques Urbaines and the Direction des Musées et de l'Archéologie and confirmed by decree in late 1994. Protection of religious monuments and buildings is covered by Decree No 1375:1978 of the Ministry of National Education and Sports and is the responsibility of national and provincial administrations of the FBL. Decree No 139:1990

of the Ministry of Information and Culture assigns the responsibilities for protecting the heritage to the Ministry at national level, the Service de l'Information et de la Culture at regional level, and the district or village administration.

Decree No 834:1993 of the Ministry of Information and Culture declare all Lao historic and colonial buildings to be an integral part of the national heritage. Article 103 of the Penal Code makes it a punishable offence to destroy any part of the cultural, historic, artistic, and literary heritage.

Comment

Décret d'application du PSMV (Plan de Sauvegarde et de Mise en Valeur) 2003 Loi sur la préservation du Patrimoine 2005 Création d'un Comité National du Patrimoine Mondial 2008 Création du Comité Local du Patrimoine Mondial de Luang Prabang 2008

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

At national level the responsible agencies are the Cabinet of the Prime Minister and the Direction des Musées et de l'Archéologie.

The local authorities of Luang Prabang, with the assistance of the services of the Ministry of Construction(IETU), have prepared of the historic monuments in the town, the IETU team and the Direction des Musées et de l'Archéologie have produced regulations for the preservation and protection of the historic town.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Comment

La législation nationale Le PSMV(plan de sauvegarde et de mise en valeur)-outil réglementaire dans le périmètre inscrit. La zone tampon (en cours de définition) Le SCOT (schéma de cohérence territoriale) et le plan urbain modifié Le Département du patrimoine est le pivot de la protection du bien inscrit Une coordination régulière avec les différents services de la province Une consultation et un échange d'informations avec les résidents Le PADUL (plan d'aménagement urbain de Luang Prabang)

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

PSMV SCOT Plan urbain

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and /

Section II - Town of Luang Prabang (479)

or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

En mars 2009, la Maison du Patrimoine est devenue le Département du patrimoine de Luang Prabang. En créant le Département du Patrimoine (au même titre qu'il existe un Département de l'information et de la culture ou un Département des communications, des transports et des constructions) renforce les compétences de cet organisme. La coordination, naturelle entre les différents départements, s'en trouve améliorée.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	50%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	30%
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	5%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	5%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
C, Mission nomination Luang Prabang	1994	15000.00	
C, Manuel conservation Luang Prabang	1996	7342.00	
C, Luang Prabang, conservation de maisons traditionnelles	1996	39900.00	
C, Luang Prabang, programme formation	1997	25000.00	
Luang Prabang Workshop and Training material for enhanced management	1997	25000.00	
Promotion of WH Convention in Town of Luang Prabang, Laos	1998	5000.00	

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Total	117242	
-------	--------	--

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	45%
Seasonal	55%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair

Section II - Town of Luang Prabang (479)

Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Actuellement, le Département du Patrimoine de Luang Prabang emploie 31 personnes, dont : 1 directeur du Département 2 adjoints (administration et construction/réhabilitation) 4 architectes 8 ingénieurs

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Dix ans de cooperation décentralisée Chinon- Luang Prabang 2005:

<http://whc.unesco.org/uploads/activities/documents/activity-29-2.pdf> Application of ICT Promoting Sustainable Development of the WHS –Yume Yamaguchi

2008:<http://www.ap.ide.titech.ac.jp/publications/Archive/AAHM2009Yamaguchi.pdf> IMPACT (Town of Luang Prabang)

UNESCO Bangkok 2004;

<http://www2.unescobkk.org/elib/publications/>

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Un certain nombre d'études ou de recherches contribuent à la gestion du bien : PSMV (plan de sauvegarde et de mise en valeur du site) Zone tampon (étude en cours) SCOT (schéma de cohérence territoriale) et plan urbain révisé. Les changements de la ville en utilisant Système d'Information Géographique Etude archivistique : inventaire exhaustif du bien

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

Section II - Town of Luang Prabang (479)

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Not provided but needed
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

De nombreuses actions d'information, de sensibilisation, d'explications ont été menées dans le cadre de l'inscription de la ville de Luang Prabang au patrimoine de l'UNESCO Classes de patrimoine dans les écoles. Formation de guides à la connaissance du patrimoine Formation des professionnels de la construction aux techniques traditionnelles Edition de brochures, expositions

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Il y a documents pour la gestion des visiteurs

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

La création d'une « taxe tourisme » est en cours.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing Critère (iv) Quelques bâtiment traditionnel	Création de la zone tampon Révision du Plan Urbain L'élaboration du PSMV Sensibilisation de tous les publics concernés D'appliquer une réglementation plus stricte	Etude de changement du site patrimoine mondial Contrôle régulier du site avec les départements concernés	Création de la zone tampon sera terminé en 2011	Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial de Luang Prabang	sans commentaire
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure une petite partie de la zone naturelle dehors du site patrimoine mondial	Création de la zone tampon Révision du Plan Urbain	Etude de changement du site patrimoine mondial Contrôle régulier du site avec les départements concernés	Création de la zone tampon sera terminé en 2011	Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial de Luang Prabang	sans commentaire
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage Changement mineur du mode de vie en raison du développement	Sensibilisation de tous les publics concernés	par Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial de Luang Prabang	sensibilisation seront menées régulièrement	Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial de Luang Prabang	sans commentaire
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation Les touristes ne sont pas conscients de la culture locale	Sensibilisation de tous les publics concernés	par Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial de Luang Prabang	sensibilisation seront menées régulièrement	Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial de Luang Prabang	sans commentaire

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone La création de la zone tampon	La création de la zone tampon sera terminée en 2011	Ministère des travaux publics et des transports Comité national pour le patrimoine mondial Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial Département du Patrimoine Mondial de Luang Prabang	sans commentaire		
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved La création de la zone tampon	La création de la zone tampon sera terminée en 2011	Ministère des travaux publics et des transports Comité national pour le patrimoine mondial Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial Département du Patrimoine Mondial de Luang Prabang	sans commentaire		
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management Il n'ya pas de secteur de l'industrie qui est intérieur et l'extérieur du site , Le secteur de l'industrie est donc pas affecté à la gestion du site	Il n'ya pas de secteur de l'industrie qui est intérieur et l'extérieur du site ,	Il n'ya pas de secteur de l'industrie qui est intérieur et l'extérieur du site ,	sans commentaire		
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs Création de Fonds du patrimoine Demande de soutien financier d'organisations internationales	Calendrier de la création du Fonds du patrimoine sera finalisé	Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial	sans commentaire		
4.4.7	Ad hoc maintenance of equipment Création de Fonds du patrimoine Demande de soutien financier d'organisations internationales	Calendrier de la création du Fonds du patrimoine sera finalisé	Comité local pour le patrimoine mondial	sans commentaire		

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Indigenous peoples
Local community
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Questionnaire clair et lisible

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Unsatisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: "The retrospective SOUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is under review by the Advisory Body."

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: Le bien existant est 750 ha
Coordonnées : 1. N19 53 20 004 E102 7 59 988 2. N19 53 01 443 E102 7 41 613 3. N19 53 51 053 E102 8 39 902

• Map(s)

Reason for update:

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise