

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Kyrgyzstan

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1230rev


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2009

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain	40.531 / 72.783	112	4788	4900	2009
Total (ha)		112	4788	4900	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
The Sulaiman – Too Cultural Landscape (Sacred Mountain) - inscribed property	27/01/2009	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Intern
CLT/WHC/SPU
4.21
- NURUMBETOV BALTAGUL ATTOKUROVICH
NIAMK (Sulaiman-Too National, Historical Archaeological Museum Complex)

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief Synthesis

Sulaiman-Too Mountain dominates the surrounding landscape of the Fergana Valley and forms the backdrop to the city of Osh. In mediaeval times Osh was one of the largest cities of the fertile Fergana valley at the crossroads of important routes on the Central Asian Silk Roads system, and Sulaiman-Too was a beacon for travellers. For at least a millennium and a half Sulaiman-Too has been revered as a sacred mountain. Its

five peaks and slopes contain a large assembly of ancient cult places and caves with petroglyphs, all interconnected with a network of ancient paths, as well as later mosques. The mountain is an exceptional spiritual landscape reflecting both Islamic and pre-Islamic beliefs and particularly the cult of the horse. Sulaiman-Too corresponds closely to iconic images in the Universe of Avesta and Vedic traditions: a single mountain with a peak dominating four others, standing in the virtual centre of a vast river valley, and surrounded by and related to other mountains in the landscape system.

Criterion (iii): The rich concentration of material evidence for cult practices preserved on Sulaiman-Too mountain from pre- and post-Islamic times, together with its 'ideal' form present the most complete picture of a sacred mountain anywhere in Central Asia.

Criterion (vi): Sulaiman-Too presents exceptionally vivid evidence for strong traditions of mountain worship which have spanned several millennia and been absorbed successfully by Islam. It has had a profound effect over a wide part of Central Asia.

Integrity and Authenticity

The authenticity of the mountain, its cult places, uses and functions are without doubt, even given the numerous interventions over the past 50 years. However, since the sacred associations of the mountain are linked to its dramatic form rising from the surrounding plain, it is highly vulnerable to continuing new development on it and around its base. In order to protect its majesty, spirituality, visual coherence and setting and thus the full authenticity of the property, great vigilance will be needed in enforcing protection of its setting. The integrity of the mountain relies on protection of the cult places and their connecting paths as well as their visual linkages and views to and from the mountain.

Management and protection requirements

The management of the mountain and its setting is coordinated by a Site Management Council who oversees the implementation of the Management Plan and Action Plan. Its effective protection relies on approval of an agreed zoning arrangement within the Osh Master Plan. To protect the property and its buffer zone against modern developments during the period before the completion and final approval of the Legal Protection Zoning Document and the Osh Urban Master Plan, a map showing the agreed boundaries of the nominated area, of the buffer zone and its sub-zones have been distributed as a reference to the responsible agencies of the Osh oblast, Osh city, Karasu district and Kyzylkyshtak rural area.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(iii) The nomination is a unique and exclusive evidence of the long lasting cultural tradition. The Sacred Mountain of Sulaiman-Too as a complex of places of interest is the result of interaction between Man and Nature. (iv) The Sulaiman-Too is a visual landscape example illustrating the peoples' important development stages. The correlation to the given criteria due to a non sufficient study of the region's ancient history important problems is based on indirect circumstances.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

none

3. Factors Affecting the Property







































































































































































3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

none

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact	Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development	
3.1.1 Housing	    	
3.1.2 Commercial development	   	
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	     	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure	
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	     	
3.3	Services Infrastructures	
3.3.4 Localised utilities	    	
3.3.5 Major linear utilities	   	
3.4	Pollution	
3.4.2 Ground water pollution	    	
3.4.5 Solid waste	    	
3.5	Biological resource use/modification	
3.5.3 Land conversion	    	
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	    	
3.5.5 Crop production	     	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric	
3.7.1 Wind	    	
3.7.2 Relative humidity	    	
3.7.3 Temperature	    	
3.7.5 Dust	    	
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	    	
3.7.8 Micro-organisms	    	
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage	
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	     	
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	    	
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	    	
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	     	
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	     	
3.9	Other human activities	
3.9.1 Illegal activities	    	
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	    	
3.9.6 Civil unrest	   	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events	
3.10.1 Storms	   	
3.10.3 Drought	    	
3.10.6 Temperature change	    	
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events	
3.11.2 Earthquake	   	
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide	    	
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition	    	
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)	    	
3.13	Management and institutional factors	
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities	    	

					Name	Impact	Origin		
3.13.3 Management activities									
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1 Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.3 Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.4 Pollution						
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.4.5	Solid waste	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.5 Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.3	Land conversion	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.5.5	Crop production	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.3	Temperature	widespread	frequent	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.5	Dust	widespread	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.9 Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.3	Drought	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	increasing
3.10.6	Temperature change	widespread	frequent	significant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.13 Management and institutional factors						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

none

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

none

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The property is inscribed within a larger Protection Zone formally established by the Decision of the Kyrgyz Government No 638 of the 8 September 2006. Sulaiman-Too is one of two exceptional cultural reserves in Kyrgyzstan having not only the status of National Cultural Heritage Reserve, but also clearly defined and legally approved boundaries. This Zone comes under the responsibility of the Sulaiman-Too National Historical and Archaeological Museum Complex, and any new constructions within its extended boundaries are prohibited, unless a special agreement is obtained from the relevant national authorities responsible for the protection of cultural heritage and natural environment. The property is also inscribed on the National List of Properties and given a formal status of National Historical and

Archaeological Museum Complex. It is also covered by the provisions of:
 i. Use of the Historical and Cultural Heritage, No 91 (with Amendments of 2005)
 ii. 2002 Regulations on the Inventory, Protection, Restoration and Use of the Historical and Cultural Heritage, No 568 (with Amendments of 2006)
 iii. 1999 Law On the Protection of Nature

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property is **inadequate**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

There is **no legal framework** for controlling use and activities in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There are **major deficiencies** in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property


4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

none

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan for Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain	In Force	Available	01/02/2008	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Non-existent
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comments

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management

arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No significant changes

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

We have received financial assistance from the World Heritage Fund in 2007 - 9000 USD in the framework of preparation of the management plan of the site.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **little or no** equipment or facilities despite an identified need

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **little or no** maintenance of existing equipment and facilities or no equipment and facilities, despite an identified need.

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

We have just began a project on capacity building and improving infrastructure of the site with assistance of UNESCO Almaty Cluster office.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	95%
Part-time	5%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Poor
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The implementation of all programmes is limited by financial resources.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Research works: 2002, B. Abytov. Osh: facts, events, personalities., Osh. 2007, Article collection, "Tombs of Ferhgana Valley", Bishkek. 2009, B. Amanbaeva at.al., Monography, "Rock Arts of Kyrgyzstan", Bishkek.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

No comments

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not presented or interpreted**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

We are initiating a project to increase awareness, education, and presentation of the site.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

none

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Non-existent
Local / Municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

We will implement a tourism management conception for improving visitor management once we have adequate resources to do so.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

No comments

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Ground transport infrastructure have significant impact on the site as its not controlled.	Banning the use of ground transportation on the territory site	strengthening of the monitoring of the number of transportation	in two years time	Museum management, Local authorities, Ministry of Culture and Information of the KR	Increase of transport infrastructure affects physical fabric of the site
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.3	Temperature	Temperature extremes have the potential to change the structure and composition of the mountain - which would adversely affect the reasons for inscription of the site. The temperature have mainly affected the state of rock arts objects of the site	None at this time	Should we have the funds, we will put a monitoring programme and protection training in place to determine any environmental changes on the property.	None at this time	National Commission for UNESCO of the Kyrgyz Republic; Ministry of Culture and Information of the Kyrgyz Republic; Government of the Republic of Kyrgyzstan, National Academy of Sciences, Museum complex management staff.	A comprehensive monitoring programme would allow us to detect any adverse impacts from pollution, climate change and development though we do not have adequate funds at this time.
3.7.5	Dust	Dust from inappropriate development in interrupting the visual landscape of the site and may be a pollution hazard for the Sacred Mountain.	We are attempting to curb inappropriate development by strengthening legislation locally and nationally. Regimes of use will be put in place.	A comprehensive monitoring programme would allow us to detect any adverse impacts from pollution, climate change and development though we do not have adequate funds at this time.	None at this time.	National Commission for UNESCO of the Kyrgyz Republic; Ministry of Culture and Information of the Kyrgyz Republic; Government of the Republic of Kyrgyzstan	none
3.7.8	Micro-organisms						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.6	Temperature change	It is not possible to access in details the temperature change and its impact on the site.	Possible in future it would be possible to do the monitoring of the temperature and access the impact on the site in details	none	none	Government of the Kyrgyz Republic, Ministry of Culture and Information of the Kyrgyz Republic, local administration, Site management	none
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	Fires take place due to inadequate behaviour of certain visitors	Development of code of conduct on the site in order to increase awareness about WH site.	none	in two years time	Museum management staff, Ministry of Culture and Information of the Kyrgyz Republic	none

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.6	Few of the work plan activities implemented	We will continue our work on the key activities of the management plan in the nearest future	nearest future	Museum management, local administration, the Ministry of Culture and Information of the Kyrgyz Republic and its Government	none	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs	Management of the Sulaiman-Too is severely restricted by a lack of necessary human and financial resources	We are always attempting to increase our funding.	Ministry of Culture and Information of the Kyrgyz Republic and its Government	none	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						

4.6.3	There is no education and awareness programme	Management plan include development of education and awareness programmes and its development is underway	2011-2011	Museum Management Staff, Ministry of Culture and Information	Lack of resources do not allow to implement activities on training of necessary staff of the site
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.6	Fees collected makes no contribution to the management of the property	Fees collected from visitors is very low and does not cover all expenses.	none	Site management, Ministry of Culture and Information	none
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	we will develop a comprehensive system of monitoring on the site as soon as we have sufficient or adequate funding from Government	none	Museum/site Management	none

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

none

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Negative
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

none

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

External experts
Others

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The interface is extremely challenging to use - a Russian version would have been much easier and helpful especially for the site manager and staff.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

none