

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Bam and its Cultural Landscape

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Iran (Islamic Republic of)

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

1208bis

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2004

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Bam and its Cultural Landscape	29.117 / 58.367	0	0	0	2004
<b>Total (ha)</b>			<b>0</b>		

#### Comment

Here are the values quoted from the Updated Dossier for the World Heritage Property of Bam and its Cultural Landscape Vol. I Jan 2010 section 1.f.: Core Zone: 2727 ha Buffer Zone: 19337 ha Total Area: 22065 ha

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map of Bam and its protection zones	12/05/2004	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Iranian Cultural Heritage, Handicraft and Tourism Organization (ICHHTO)

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Abdolrasool Vatandoust Haghighi  
Iranian Cultural Heritage and Tourism Organization (ICHTO)  
Director, Bureau for International Activities and World Heritage  
Research Center for Conservation of Cultural Relic
- AFSHIN EBRAHIMI  
I.C.H.H.T.O (RC)  
MANAGER

#### Comment

Afshin Ebrahimi Site Manager Address Tehran Iran (Islamic Republic of) Telephone ++98-9173290131 +98-3442314520 Fax +98-3442311289 Email ebrahimi.researcher@yahoo.com

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [1001wonders.org](http://1001wonders.org) : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

All the cultural assets forming the nominated world heritage property have been registered in the inventory of Iranian national monuments. Therefore, it is protected under all national and international laws concerning cultural heritage properties in addition to the Bam comprehensive plan. For more information refer to section 5.c. of the Updated Dossier for the World Heritage Property of Bam and its Cultural Landscape Vol. I -Jan 2010.

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

Please refer to section 3.b. of the Updated Dossier for the World Heritage Property of Bam and its Cultural Landscape Vol. I Jan 2010 if the SOUV is not available at the World Heritage Centre. In addition to that, we have sent the revised SOUV to the WH periodic reporting Email address "wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org" from the following Email address on 25th July 2011: medhi\_keramatfar@yahoo.com

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)(v)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (ii): Bam developed at the crossroads of important trade routes at the southern side of the Iranian high plateau, and it became an outstanding example of the interaction of the various influences. Criterion (iii): The Bam and its Cultural Landscape represents an exceptional testimony to the development of a trading settlement in the desert environment of the Central Asian region. Criterion (iv): The city of Bam represents an outstanding example of a fortified settlement and citadel

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

N/A

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

N/A

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.1 Buildings and Development</b>		
3.1.1 Housing		
3.1.2 Commercial development		
3.1.3 Industrial areas		
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities		
<b>3.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>		
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure		
<b>3.4 Pollution</b>		
3.4.2 Ground water pollution		
3.4.5 Solid waste		
<b>3.5 Biological resource use/modification</b>		
3.5.3 Land conversion		
3.5.5 Crop production		
3.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection		
<b>3.6 Physical resource extraction</b>		
3.6.4 Water (extraction)		
<b>3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>		
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)		
3.7.7 Pests		
<b>3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>		
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses		
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system		
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		
<b>3.10 Climate change and severe weather events</b>		
3.10.3 Drought		
3.10.4 Desertification		
<b>3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events</b>		
3.11.2 Earthquake		
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition		
<b>3.13 Management and institutional factors</b>		
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities		
3.13.3 Management activities		
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential
	Negative	Positive
	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1 Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.2	<b>Commercial development</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.1.3	<b>Industrial areas</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.1.4	<b>Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.4 Pollution</b>						

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.4.5	Solid waste	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3	Land conversion	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.4	Water (extraction)	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.4	Desertification	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	catastrophic	medium capacity	decreasing
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

**3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property**

**3.17.1 - Comments**

**4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property**

**4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones**

**4.1.1 - Buffer zone status**

There is a buffer zone

**4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?**

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

**4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

**4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

**4.2. Protective Measures**

**4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)**

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for**

**maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

**Comment**

The comprehensive management plan for this world heritage property refers to all protective legal instruments. This management plan has been adopted by the Higher Council for Architecture & Urban Development of the I.R. of Iran, as an Annex to the Special Structural Master Plan of Bam, on 25 January 2010. Annual work plans are defined to identify the priorities and detailed actions.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

The comprehensive management plan for this world heritage property refers to all protective legal instruments. This management plan has been adopted by the Higher Council for Architecture & Urban Development of the I.R. of Iran, as an Annex to the Special Structural Master Plan of Bam, on 25 January 2010.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management**

**arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

The final configuration of the legal framework for Bam and its cultural landscape has been provided by the adopted comprehensive management plan.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	10%
Governmental (National / Federal)	90%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**  
Not applicable

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	98%
Part-time	2%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	98%
Seasonal	2%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	99%
Volunteer	1%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The management has tried to deliver more responsibility to the local staff by training programs as up to now just a few partners are recruited from external resources. There are even more skill training strategy and programs on which the more skill full employees train the others.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

1- Introducing Bam and its Cultural Landscape.ICHHTO.2004  
 2- The Bam Citadel: A Comprehensive Introductory Report.ICHHTO.2004  
 3- Survives Forever. ICHHTO. 2004  
 4- ARG-E BAM.2005(Introductory Brochure)  
 5- Bam Declaration and Recommendations of the International Workshop on the Recovery of the Bam Cultural Heritage, 17-20 April 2004, Bam. Iran. ICHHTO. 2004.  
 6- Bam Citadel after the Earthquake: archaeological Actions. Bam Cultural Heritage Salvation Project, ICHHTO, 2004.  
 7- Annual Reports and Articles of the Bam Cultural Heritage Salvation Project. ICHHTO , 1384/2005  
 8- Between Two Earthquakes, by Bernard Filden, Tr. By. Baqer Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, 1385/2006  
 9- Qanats of Bam: A Multidisciplinary Approach. Tehran: UNESCO Regional Office.  
 10- Proceeding of the Third Congress of the History of Architecture and Urban Planning in Iran, ICHHTO, Bam Cultural Heritage Salvation Project, 1385-86/2006-7. 5 vols.  
 11- The Second Annual Report of Research and Executive Activities of the Bam Cultural Heritage Salvation Project, Summer 1387/2008  
 12- "Activities of the Iranian Cultural Heritage and Tourism Organization in Bam City and Conservational Proposals for Future", by Dr. E. Mokhtari. Abadi, vol. 49. Winter 2006.  
 13- "Risk Management and the Bam Cultural Heritage Salvation Project", by A. Vatandoost, E. Mokhtari, M. Nejati. Heritage at Risk, ICOMOs, Tup Press, 2007.  
 14- Bam and its Cultural Landscape, World Heritage Site  
 15- Comprehensive Management Plan 2008-2017. ICHHTO Regional Office of Bam and UNESCO Regional office at Tehran. 2009  
 16- 1:2000 Map of Bam Citadel and its Environs Based on 1:10000 Aerial Photos of 1382/2003. ICHHTO Document

Centre. 17- 1:5000 Topography Map of Bam Citadel and its Environs Based on Aerial Photos of 1372/1993. ICHHTO Document Centre. 18- 1:10000 Topography Maps of Bam Citadel and its Environs Based on 1: 4000 Aerial Photos of 1377/1998. ICHHTO Document Centre 19- Publication of Old Photos of the Bam citadel in form of Postal Cards by the ICHHTO Document Centre.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent

Other	Not needed
-------	------------

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Five years ago	Major Increase (100%+)

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
------------------------------

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

There are reports concerning visitor's statistics which are daily recorded. These records are processed to monthly and annual statistics and diagrams classifying men, women, domestic and international visitors.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

No fees are collected

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management**

**needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **complete**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
<b>3.4.5</b>	<b>Solid waste</b>	In the post-earthquake situation, a huge amount of debris was extracted from the town to the surroundings. Criterion (v) is affected by this factor.	There are different actions taking place in order to resolve this threat including transportation, flattening and traffic control of the truck	Truck traffic control is made by municipality and ICHHTO base is protecting the core zone.		Municipality and ICHHTO base  This factor is definitely decreasing and being resolved.
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.6</b>	<b>Water (rain/water table)</b>	Criterion (iv): The city of Bam represents an outstanding example of a fortified settlement and citadel in the Central Asian region, based on the use mud layer technique (chineh) combined with mud bricks (khesht).	Conservation plans are taking place in order to preserve structures against the rain water.	Periodic photography and monthly assessment report any probable decay.	This factor has a continuous effect on the property and demands a continuous care.	Bam world heritage base  .
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
<b>3.8.4</b>	<b>Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system</b>	This factor can affect all introduced criterion including (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v).	ICHHTO in cooperation with the other local stakeholders controls all construction plans in the boundaries of the property. The town comprehensive plan is playing a main role in for appropriate preservation of the outstanding universal values.	ICHHTO and municipality have two custodian groups that have coordinated activity in monitoring the area.		Bam world heritage base Bam governmental office Bam municipality
<b>3.8.5</b>	<b>Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community</b>	Changes in local population and significant immigration to the town which occurred after the 2003 earthquake has challenged all aspects of the property.	Bam salvation project has began to face the challenges right after the earthquake. Completion and implementation of Bam comprehensive plan, development of training activities and public awareness has significantly controlled the negative effects.	ICHHTO and municipality have two custodian groups that have coordinated activity in monitoring the area.		Bam world heritage base Bam governmental office Bam municipality
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
<b>3.10.4</b>	<b>Desertification</b>	criterion (iv) and (v)	There is a plan to grow appropriate plants.		This program has been started in 2005.	The local authorities including Bam governmental office and natural resources are following this program.
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
<b>3.11.2</b>	<b>Earthquake</b>	All criterion might be affected by this risk.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Debris removal</li> <li>• Emergency conservation and restoration</li> <li>• Stabilization</li> <li>• Restoration and reconstruction</li> <li>• Organization</li> <li>• Maintenance</li> </ul>	Daily, monthly and annual reports.	Short, mid and longterm program.	ICHHTO  The recovery project of Bam and its cultural landscape was commenced after the occurrence of this catastrophic event. A large range of activities has taken place in order to protect the outstanding universal values of the property.

## **5.2. Summary - Management Needs**

### **5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs**

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

There are some challenges in preservation of the outstanding universal values seeking more attention for implementation of the legal frameworks.

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

External experts
Advisory bodies

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Please refer to section 3.b. of the Updated Dossier for the World Heritage Property of Bam and its Cultural Landscape Vol. I Jan 2010 if the SOUV is not available at the World Heritage Centre. In addition to that, we have sent the revised SOUV to the WH periodic reporting Email address "wh-periodicreporting@unesco.org" from the following Email address on 25th July 2011: medhi\_keramatfar@yahoo.com

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Here are the values quoted from the Updated Dossier for the World Heritage Property of Bam and its Cultural Landscape Vol. I Jan 2010 section 1.f.: Core Zone: 2727 ha Buffer Zone: 19337 ha Total Area: 22065 ha

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or  
recommendations related to the Assessment of the  
Periodic Reporting exercise**