

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Tropical Rainforest Heritage of Sumatra

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Indonesia

Type of Property

natural

Identification Number

1167




Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2004

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Gunung Leuser National Park	0 / 0	862975	?	862975	2004
Kerinci Seblat National Park	0 / 0	1375349.867	?	1375349.867	2004
Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park	0 / 0	356800	?	356800	2004
Total (ha)		2595124.867	0	2595124.867	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Gunung Leuser National Park	31/01/2003	
Kerinci Seblat National Park	31/01/2003	
Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park	31/01/2003	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Directorate General for Forest Protection and Nature Conservation. Ministry of Forestry, Republic of Indonesia

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Sonny Partono
Directorate General (Ditjen) PHKA – Ministry of Forestry
Director

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Sumatran Orangutans Face Extinction as Peace Comes](#)
2. [Tiger Bone Contraband Seized at Taiwan Airport](#)
3. [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)

Comment

www.gunungleuser.or.id http://kerinciseblat.dephut.go.id;
www.kerinci.org www.tnbbs.or.id

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

ASEAN Heritage Park (Kerinci Seblat NP-2003; and Gunung Leuser NP-2004) Biosphere Reserve (Gunung Leuser NP-1981)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(vii)(ix)(x)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Partnership management: positive impact, current impact, and outside origins. Funding source and its availability: positive impact, current impact, and inside origins.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.3 Industrial areas						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure						
3.2.3 Marine transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.3 Surface water pollution						
3.4.5 Solid waste						
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
3.5.3 Land conversion						
3.5.5 Crop production						
3.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection						
3.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection						
3.5.8 Commercial hunting						
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.4 Water (extraction)						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities						
3.9.6 Civil unrest						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.3 Drought						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.1 Volcanic eruption						
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						

		Name	Impact				Origin
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)							
3.12		Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
3.13		Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3 Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.2 Commercial development	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.3 Industrial areas	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.5 Solid waste	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.5 Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	restricted	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.5.3 Land conversion	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.5.5 Crop production	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.5.8 Commercial hunting	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.9 Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities	restricted	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.9.6 Civil unrest	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.2 Flooding	restricted	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.10.3 Drought	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.10.6 Temperature change	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.1 Volcanic eruption	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

The medium capacity on management to respond is caused by not enough ability related to funding sources and human resources comparing with the large area.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

There is a legal frame work for national park buffer zone to protect the conservation area (Law No. 41-1999). However it is not yet implemented. This zone which contains both forested and non-forested areas could potentially be used as the property's buffer zone also.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Comment

The property is under Indonesian law because it consists of three national parks.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There are **major deficiencies** in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Even though the national parks have a legal status under Ministry of Forestry decree, they may need a higher status (such as government regulation or presidential decree).

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

Three national parks follows the zonation planning that consists of core zone, wilderness zone, utilization zone, rehabilitation zone, and others. Although it is one world heritage property, it consists of three national parks that are managed separately. They still no appointed coordination body for an integrated monitoring/law enforcement/etc.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

There are planning documents for three national parks that consists of long term, medium term, and short term strategic planning. There is an action planning that separately related to the national park management from 2007, but it is not yet integrated to be one emergency action for the whole property.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional /

provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The intensive coordination and integrated management for three national parks are needed for the property. The sharing information of lesson learned (success stories, law enforcement, restoration, etc), may be applied on others.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There are added area to the property in 2004 approximately 14.160 hectare on Sipurak area in Kerinci Seblat national park (The Ministry of Forestry decree No. 420/Menhut-II/2004).

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	5%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	2.5%
Governmental (National / Federal)	90%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	2.5%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

Until now the Tropical Rain forest Heritage for Sumatera did not yet receive the world heritage funding.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

N/A

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

High priority training is to establish GIS, web base-data base (illegal activity, socio-economic information, buffer zone, biodiversity, promotion)

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

There is lack of synergy between management need and research project on heritage property. The common research is too conceptual that is not ready be applied on management.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
-------------------------------	---------

Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Poor

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

n.a

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an identified need

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Visitor center should be established in each of national park and highlights the importance of heritage site status. A common vision between national park and eco-tourism practitioners is required to yield both benefits to local communities, sustainable eco-tourism and resource return into management of the property.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

N/A

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

N/A

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	Encroachment	Law Enforcement	N/A	1-2 years	Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation	
3.1.2	Commercial development	CPO Pabrics	Law Enforcement	N/A	1-2 years	Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Road	Law Enforcement	N/A	1-2 years	Ministry of Forestry Republic of Indonesia ; Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation	
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.3	Land conversion	Land conervation from forest to silviculture	Laws Enforcement	N/A	5 years	Ministry of Forestry Republic of Indonesia ; Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation	
3.5.5	Crop production	Coffe, caccao	Law enforcement	N/A	5 years	Ministry of Forestry Republic of Indonesia ; Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation	
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	Mantangan spesies in Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park	Destroyed	N/A	1-5 years	Ministry of Forestry Republic of Indonesia ; Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation	

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	Reconstruction and sosialisation of park borders and zones of the park	5 years	Directorat General of Forest Protection and Nature Conservation (PHKA) and Directorat General of Planologi			
4.2 Protective Measures							
4.2.5	Major deficiencies in capacity / resources to enforce legislation	Training for existing staff and recruit more ranger; increase coordination with forest auxiliaries and law enforcement	1-2 years	Ministry of Forestry Republic of Indonesia ; Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation			
4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects							
4.5.3	No active outreach of research results to national or international agencies	Increase research to identifi useful of natural resources dan their ecosystem	1-2 years	Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation; Research Agency			
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building							

4.6.4	World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities	Increase promotion and information activities; Develop and extend community involvement.	1-2 years	Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation	
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.5	There is little or no contact with the tourism industry	Increase promotion and information activities; Develop collaborations in management and use of resource	1-2 years	Directorat General Forest Protection and Nature Conservation	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **seriously compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **seriously impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation can be addressed, or is currently being addressed through management actions.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

N/A

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

N/A

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

N/A

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

N/A