

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II - Sangiran Early Man Site (593)

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Sangiran Early Man Site

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Indonesia

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

593


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1996

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Sangiran Early Man Site	-7.4 / 110.817	5600	0	5600	1996
Total (ha)		5600	0	5600	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Topographical map of Sangiran Early Man Site	26/09/1995	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Ministry of Culture and Tourism Directorate General of History and Archaeology

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Hari Widianto
Conservation Office of Sangiran Early Man Site

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(iii): The property is an exceptional testimony to human evolution illustrates the development of Homo sapiens

sapiens, over 2 million years from the Lower Pleistocene to the present through the outstanding fossils and stone artifacts found within their natural context. vi: The property is tangibly associated with the early human physical and cultural evolution illustrated through the palaeolithic tools and the sequence of cultural materials from the Pleistocene to Neolithic

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The criterion expected to be revised is only the criterion (vi) which has not clearly represented the Property's potentials. The 2005 revised version is adequately reflects the Property's values.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Since the 2005 revision respecting the cultural and natural significance, on the other hand, the Property was inscribed by following the criteria of 1995, so we prefer to follow the last criteria mentioned and maintaining the Property as World Cultural Heritage.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

We have not identified any additional factors affecting the Property, but all the detailed factors briefly mentioned in point 3 we have filled in, represents the real Property's condition, whether relevant or not relevant, positively or negatively affected.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact	Origin				
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3 Land conversion						
3.5.5 Crop production						
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.2 Quarrying						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	on-going	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3	Land conversion	localised	on-going	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.5.5	Crop production	localised	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.2	Quarrying	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	localised	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	restricted	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	static
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	restricted	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Related to management responses to factors negatively affecting the Property, we have undertaken, in particular, initiative and routine programs such as dissemination to public to increase their awareness, in cooperation with local government and other parties.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries of the Property is fixed since 1996 and was extended in 1998. There is buffer zone in the Property, with the potential level lower than the core zone, which is known by government, and is controlled by regulation in accordance with the character of the site. This zone can be revised into the core zone, if its content remains responsibly show high archaeological values.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Sangiran Early Man Site was declared a protected archaeological reserve, as prescribed in Monument Ordinance Staatsblad 238/1931 by means of a decree issued by the Ministry of Education and Culture in 1977.

Under the provisions of Law No 5/1992 concerning cultural property (which replaced Monument Ordinance Stb. 238/1931), no exploration, excavation, or other form of digging is permitted in the area without authorization; penalties for transgression are fines and/or imprisonment.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The new Law provides better basis for effective management and protection of the Property, and contributing to the maintenance of its OUV.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Non-existent

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer

zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

There is coordination between administrative bodies involved in Property's management. Many activities are being implemented in cooperation with local communities who give input in discussion though has no direct role in management.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report



The legal status has a significant development since it was inscribed in 1996, which is intended to strengthen the management arrangement of the Property.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	80%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	20%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Technical Co-operation for Sub-Regional Workshop on Preservation and Management of Prehistoric Sangiran Early Man Site	1998	20000.00	
On-site promotion of the Sangiran Early Man Site	1998	5000.00	
Total		25000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The infrastructure needs to be developed, in particular, the accessibility between the developed clusters (visitor center to clusters) and also to support the tourism interest.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	80%
Seasonal	20%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

Section II - Sangiran Early Man Site (593)

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	Medium
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

In relation with the availability of professionals and training opportunities, in some disciplines such as; education, visitor management, and risk preparedness need to be developed.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1 Sangiran Answering the World : Harry Widiyanto & Truman Simanjuntak
 2 Human Path after Sangiran Era : Harry Widiyanto
 3 Sangiran, Breath of Hominid Sites : Harry Widiyanto

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The is considerable research program though is not directed towards management need or improving OUV's understanding. We are in the development of research program.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Related to local business, local communities and visitors' awareness of World Heritage justification which need to be developed, we have a planned education and awareness program, though partly meets the needs and could be improved. And related to the visitor facilities and services, since we are in the physical development, so the adequacy rate is still poor.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

In particular, we have not had any visitor management documents, but it is generally covered in Master Plan 2004, and will be arranged this year (2011 program)

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an indentified need

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

In this year, we are conducting visitor reviews to identify the visitors characteristics, and also visitors awareness, for increasing our services to public, and thus developing our program.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

The most significant WHC's recommendation needed by Reactive Monitoring Mission team on February 2007: - providing budget for newly-established institution - cooperating with universities and stakeholders - including traditional houses to extend SOV

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Related to monitoring, so far we handled by involving local authorities, and has not yet involved the NGOs and Industry.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	Criteria : iii, vi The attribute in each criteria can be affected	Real action has not been undertaken yet, however, we are actively conducting dissemination program to local communities.	Monitoring is frequently undertaken (twice a month), though is not specifically directed to monitor housing impact but also other negative factors.	Conducted as a year program, related to one year budget designated and planned a year before.	Property's managers / coordinators and staff	Housing in Property area, refers to the increase of local resident's needs and not for commercial housing such as real estate.
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	Criteria : iii, vi The attribute in each criteria can be affected	We prohibited and we do not issue the licence or agreement to build any utilities such as cell phone towers, TV/radio towers, etc	Monitoring is frequently undertaken (twice a month), though is not specifically directed to monitor localised utilities impact but also other negative factors.	related to monitoring program, it is conducted as a year program, related to one year budget designated and planned a year before.	Property's managers / coordinators and staff	Localised utilities closely related to the communications development which actually is needed, therefore we firmly prohibit the installations in property area.
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.3	Land conversion	Criteria : iii, vi The attribute in each criteria can be affected	Real action has not been undertaken yet, however, we are actively conducting dissemination program to local communities..	Monitoring is frequently undertaken (twice a month), though is not specifically directed to monitor land conversion impact but also other negative factors.	Conducted as a year program, related to one year budget designated and planned a year before.	Property's managers / coordinators and staff	Land conversion is in a small scale and is converted due to local residents' needs, in particular, to fulfill family's food.
3.5.5	Crop production	Criteria : iii, vi The attribute in each criteria can be affected	Real action has not been undertaken yet, however, we are actively conducting dissemination program to local communities..	Monitoring is frequently undertaken (twice a month), though is not specifically directed to monitor crop production impact but also other negative factors.	Conducted as a year program, related to one year budget designated and planned a year before.	Property's managers / coordinators and staff	Crop production is in a small scale and is produced due to local residents' needs, in particular, to fulfill family's food.
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	Criteria : iii, vi The attribute in each criteria can be affected	Real action has been taken whenever needed, and we are actively conducting dissemination program to local communities to increase their awareness.	Monitoring is frequently undertaken (twice a month), though is not specifically directed to monitor sudden ecological impact but also other negative factors.	For dissemination program is conducted as a year program, related to one year budget designated and planned a year before. But the real actions is taken whenever needed.	Property's managers / coordinators and staff, but we also involving local communities and other authorities	The local residents forestry gives both negative and positive impact. Related to sudden ecological or geological events, it prevents more destructive impact of landslide and erosion for The Property area.
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	Criteria : iii, vi The attribute in each criteria can be affected	Real action has not been undertaken yet, however, we are actively conducting dissemination program to local communities.	Monitoring is frequently undertaken (twice a month), though is not specifically directed to monitor sudden ecological impact but also other negative factors.	For dissemination program is conducted as a year program, related to one year budget designated and planned a year before. But the real actions is taken whenever needed.	Property's managers / coordinators and staff, but we also involving local communities and other authorities	The local residents forestry gives both negative and positive impact. Related to sudden ecological or geological events, it prevents more destructive impact of landslide and erosion for The Property area.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	We will conduct dissemination to the public, especially local residents/communities/landowners.	will be conducted in 2011 - 2012 program	in cooperation with Local Governments (Sragen and Karanganyar Regencies)	Not all the residents know the buffer zones, thus we actively conduct more intensive dissemination.
4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	There was initiative program to empower local communities by establishing local industry outside Property's area (furniture industry) to reduce the illegal fossils' trading and illegal excavations by local people. It will support the preservation.	continuous program, in cooperation with local authorities	In cooperation between State Party and local Governments	We support local authorities/government as they have program to empower local communities, which indirectly support the conservation
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Visitor use of the property is not being actively managed	We now in physical development to provide better facilities and services to support the use/utilization of the property by visitors	we have started in 2008, and will be completed in 2014 to provide integrative and complete facilities/services.	State Party	We have not actively managed the Visitor use of the property, since we are in Site's utilization development. But we already have a planned visitor management

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

From the answers of part 4, the OUV of the property is impacted by those factors, both positively and negatively and it is important for us to take more effective management actions.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The status of World Heritage impacted some areas such as conservation, institutional coordination, and education, in which the State Party will be easier and more effective in implementing the programs and also increasing public's awareness especially through students.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

As we have tried to modified some points, we urgently need certain contact which is easily contacted other than e-mail address, may be committee can provide contact person we can communicate with.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

This periodic report help us to evaluate our policy and program, and urge us to develop and create important programs.