

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Borobudur Temple Compounds

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Indonesia

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

592

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1991

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Borobudur Temple , Indonesia	-7.6 / 110.2	?	?	0	1991
Mendut Temple , Indonesia	-7.6 / 110.217	?	?	0	1991
Pawon Temple , Indonesia	-7.6 / 110.217	?	?	0	1991
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property area for Borobudur Temple should be 25.37 ha, buffer zone area for Borobudur Temple should be 60.03 ha Property area for Mendut Temple should be 0.20 ha Property area for Pawon Temple should be 0.05 ha

1.4 - Map(s)

Comment

Minor modification map are going to be submitted related to the new regulation of Borobudur National Strategic Area.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Ministry of Culture and Tourism Directorate General of History and Archaeology

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Ministry of Culture and Tourism Dradjat Hari Untoro
 Complex of the Ministry of National Education Building
 E, 4th floor Jl. Jend. Sudirman, Senayan
 Jakarta Indonesia
 udradjat@budpar.go.id;hr_sadirin@yahoo.com (62-21) 572 55 78 (62-21) 572 55 78 http://021 5725513
 PT. (persero) Taman Wisata Candi Borobudur,
 Prambanan & Ratu Boko Suwarno Pujo
 Jl. Badrawati Borobudur, Magelang, Province of
 Central Java
 Indonesia borobudur@borobudurpark.co.id 62 293 -
 788266 62 293 - 788132

Comment

Site Manager for Borobudur Temple Compounds is Marsis Sutopo, Head of Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office Telp +62 293 788225, +62 293 788175 Fax +62 293 788367 Email : marsissutopo@yahoo.com konservasiborobudur@yahoo.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

Comment

www.konservasiborobudur.org

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

N/A

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The statement of Outstanding Universal Value sent separately.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(i) A masterpiece of Buddhist architecture and monumental arts; conceptualized on the basic idea of a stepped pyramid reminiscent of the pre-Hindu and Buddhist structures used in ancestral worship; (ii) An outstanding example of Indonesia's Early Classical Art in Buddhist monuments built by Shailendra Dynasty; (vi) A unique blending of the very central idea of indigenous ancestor worship and the Buddhist concept of attaining Nirvana; the spiritual center of Buddhism in Java.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

There is no revision needed on the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value of Borobudur Temple Compounds will be sent separately.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

There is no additional factor.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4 Air pollution						
3.4.5 Solid waste						
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3 Land conversion						
3.5.5 Crop production						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind						
3.7.2 Relative humidity						
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.4 Radiation/light						
3.7.5 Dust						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7 Pests						
3.7.8 Micro-organisms						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities						
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.9.5 Terrorism						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.1 Volcanic eruption						
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						

Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside
--------	---------	-----------	----------	----------	--------	---------

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1 Buildings and Development						
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3 Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution						
3.4.5	Solid waste	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.5 Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.3	Land conversion	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.4	Radiation/light	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.9 Other human activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.1	Volcanic eruption	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	catastrophic	high capacity	increasing
3.11.2	Earthquake	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Every factor affecting the property is monitored by the management.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Evaluation on buffer zones is conducted regularly to make sure that it is still appropriate.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Comment

1. Law of Item Cultural Property No. 11 Year 2010 2. Law of National Spatial Planning No. 26 Year 2006 3. Governmental Decree No. 26 Year 2008 Concerning National and Regional Spatial Planning 4. Presidential Decree No. 1 Year 1992 Concerning Borobudur and Surrounding Area Management

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

1. The formulation of Borobudur Temple Compounds as National Strategic Area is still on legislation process organized by Ministry of Public Work and shall be enforced by Presidential Decree 2. The establishment of integrated management for Borobudur National Strategic Area is still on legislation process organized by Ministry of Culture and Tourism.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

Zone I or sanctuary area are managed by The Ministry of Culture and Tourism Zone II or buffer zone are managed by The Ministry of State Owned Enterprises

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Presidential Decree No. 1 Year 1992 Concerning Borobudur and Surrounding Area Management

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional /

provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Management plan is improved gradually by years.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report


There is no significant change in the legal status of Borobudur Temple Compounds since inscription or the last Periodic report.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Project Proposal for On-site Promotional Meeting	1998	5000.00	
Total		5000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	95%
Part-time	5%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	65%
Seasonal	35%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	98%
Volunteer	2%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Human resources improvement is needed for the management of World Heritage Property.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report
www.konservasiborobudur.org

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Scientific studies and research projects are conducted annually.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and **easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
-------------------------------	------

Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Activities related to education, information and awareness building are conducted regularly by the management for communities surrounding the property.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

The impacts of visitor use of the World Heritage property are monitored monthly.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

State Party has reduced the use of chemical compounds per recommendation from the World Heritage Committee.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Monitoring activities are conducted regularly.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	[i]	Routine monitoring and maintenance by cleaning the temple's stones	Routine monitoring on relative humidity of temple's stones and surrounding area	Routine monitoring is conducted everyday and reviewed every month Routine maintenance is conducted everyday	Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office The impact of relative humidity remains under control
3.7.3	Temperature	[i]	Routine monitoring and maintenance by cleaning the temple's stones	Routine monitoring on maximum and minimum temperature of temple's stones and surrounding area	Routine monitoring is conducted everyday and reviewed every month Routine maintenance is conducted everyday	Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office The impact of temperature remains under control
3.7.4	Radiation/light	[i]	Routine monitoring	Routine monitoring on radiation/light	Routine monitoring is conducted everyday and reviewed every month Routine maintenance is conducted everyday	Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office The impact of radiation/light remains under control
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	[i]	Routine monitoring and maintenance by cleaning the temple's stones	Routine monitoring on water (rainfall) substances	Routine monitoring on rainfall is conducted everyday and reviewed every month Routine maintenance is conducted everyday	Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office The impact of water (rainfall) remains under control. Renovation of drainage system (especially seepage water occurring in several locations) is still undergoing until today so that the rainfall water can be managed well.
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					
3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.1	Volcanic eruption	[i]	Cleaning the temple's stones using dry and wet cleaning method	Monitoring on the impact of volcanic ash is still undergoing to see whether it has long term or not	The cleaning is conducted incidentally whenever eruption happened	Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office Last eruption of Merapi Volcano occurred on October-November 2010. It made Borobudur Temple Compound covered with volcanic ash. The cleaning has been finished after removing all the volcanic ash from the temple.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	National Strategic Area for Borobudur Temple Compounds is being formularized to set the new boundaries.	The works regarding the formulation of Borobudur National Strategic area have been started since 2008	Ministry of Public Works	Ministry of Culture and Tourism is involved in establishing the integrated management for Borobudur Temple Compounds situated inside the National Strategic Area	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	Campaign for Borobudur Temple Compounds as World Heritage is being intensified to raise awareness	Several activities every year	Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office	Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office does not have authority in establishing cooperation with any industry	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						

4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	Conducted the dissemination and exhibition about Borobudur Temple as a World heritage Property	Several activities every year	Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office	Dissemination involved the local community and stake holder
4.6.4	World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities	Campaign for Borobudur Temple Compounds as World Heritage is being intensified to the students and communities surrounding the area	several activities for every year	Borobudur Heritage Conservation Office	The awareness of World Heritage status has been growing significantly and influenced various activities

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

State of Conservation of the property is maintained everyday.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No comment required.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Please provide clear guidance for full understanding of questions to give the right answers.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The statement of Outstanding Universal Value sent separately.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Property area for Borobudur Temple should be 25.37 ha, buffer zone area for Borobudur Temple should be 60.03 ha Property area for Mendut Temple should be 0.20 ha Property area for Pawon Temple should be 0.05 ha

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: Minor modification map are going to be submitted related to the new regulation of Borobudur National Strategic Area.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

Please provide native language for every property enlisted, not just in English.