1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Champaner-Pavagadh Archaeological Park

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details State(s) Party(ies)

• India

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1101

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 2004

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Primary Heritage Zone , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.483 / 73.533	983.27	475.25	1458.52	2004
BRD 02 Kabutarkhana / MJD 10 Khajuri Masjid , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.494 / 73.555	31.42	475.24	506.66	2004
MQB 04 Maqbara near Panchmahuda Masjid , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.489 / 73.501	31.42	475.24	506.66	2004
MQB 05 Maqbara Mandvi , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.495 / 73.512	31.42	475.24	506.66	2004
MQB 10 Maqbara near Patidar Village, Panchmahals District, Gujurat, India	22.495 / 73.55	31.42	475.24	506.66	2004
JLS 09 Malik Sandal Ni Vav , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.5 / 73.516	31.42	475.24	506.66	2004
MIL 11 Hathikhana , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.487 / 73.57	31.42	25.13	56.55	2004
JLS 10 Sindh Mata , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.502 / 73.486	31.42	25.13	56.55	2004
MQB 01 Sikander Ka Reuza , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.505 / 73.474	31.42	2.5	33.92	2004
MQB 11 Babakhan Ki Dargah , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.499 / 73.474	31.42	2.51	33.93	2004
JLS 11 Nau Kuan Sat Vavdi , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.496 / 73.467	31.42	2.51	33.93	2004
JLS 16 Chandrakala Vav , Panchmahals District , Gujurat , India	22.498 / 73.478	31.42	2.51	33.93	2004
Total (ha)		1328.89	2911.74	4240.63	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to
		source

Map showing Boundary of Area Proposed for
Inscription and Buffer Zone

29/01/2002



1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Archaeological Survey of India, Ministry of Culture, Government of India. Champaner Pavagadh Archaeological Park World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, India.

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

 Superintending Archaeologist Archaeological Survey of India

Comment

The correct address is: Superintending Archaeologist, Archaeological Survey of India, Circle Vadodara, Puratattva Bhavan, Near Central Library, Mandvi, Vadodara, Gujarat, India, 390 006 Tel: +91-0265-2429323/2429387. Fax: +91-0265-2429323, 2429387 Email: circlevad.asi@gmail.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. <u>Patrimonium-mundi.org: visit this site in panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images</u>

Comment

www.asi.nic.in. and Patrimonium-mundi.org: visit this site in panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal value has been submitted by the State Party to the World Heritage Centre for adoption.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)(v)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (iii): Hindu and Jain Temples, sculptures, temple members, hilly location beside natural water bodies, special water retaining installations, military and agricultural structures, etc. Criterion (iv): the Great Mosque (Jami Masjid) of Gujarat Provincial architectural style, representing significant period of regional sultanate History. Criterion (v): The Citadel walls, gates, bastions, mosques, cellular structures, etc. Criterion (vi): The Kalika Mata Temple.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

Not applicable

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The identification and promotion of outstanding universal value of a site should further need more wide participatory approach on the part of the stakeholders, including local populations as their active involvement in sustaining the OUVs of the site is of paramount importance. It is also helpful in developing monitoring measures to ensure the success of the thorough application of the criteria applicable to the WH site under reference.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Environmental pressure, Pollution, Pilgrimage pressure, seasonal, and overcrowding visitors during festival times.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

3.13.1 - 1 actors summary table	Name	Name Impact				Origin
3.3	Services	Infrastr	uctures	1		
3.3.1 Water infrastructure	()		9		(0)	
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	()			A	(
3.3.4 Localised utilities			Ŋ		()	
3.3.5 Major linear utilities	()		ø	A	(
3.4	Pollution	ı			9	l .
3.4.5 Solid waste			M		(Œ
3.5	Biologica	l resou	rce use	/modific	ation	
3.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	(A		•	
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals			A		•	
3.5.5 Crop production	①		M	A	(C
3.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection	()		A	A	()	
3.5.10 Forestry /wood production	0		M	ø	(
3.6	Physical	resourc	e extra	ction	3	
3.6.4 Water (extraction)	()		A	A	(
3.7	Local co	nditions	affecti	ng phys	ical fab	ric
3.7.1 Wind			9	9		G.
3.7.2 Relative humidity			M	A	(
3.7.3 Temperature			A	A		Œ
3.7.5 Dust			ø	9	()	
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	0	Ť	ø	ø	(e)	Œ
3.7.7 Pests			ø	ø	(
3.7.8 Micro-organisms			ø	ø	(
3.8	Social/cu		ses of h	eritage	3	<u> </u>
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	()		M	A	(
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	②		A	A	()	
3.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting			ø	q	(
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	0		ø	ø	(e)	·
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community			ø	ø	(0)	4
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	(ø	ø	(e)	
3.9	Other hu	man act	tivities		3	
3.9.1 Illegal activities			A	A	(Œ
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage			M	ø	(15
3.10	Climate o	hange a	and sev	ere wea	ther eve	-
3.10.2 Flooding			咧	A	(C.
3.10.3 Drought				a	()	E
3.10.6 Temperature change				ø	(3
3.11	Sudden e	cologic	al or ge	ologica	l events	
3.11.2 Earthquake				9	()	Œ
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition			4	9	•	
3.11.6 Fire (widlfires)				ø	(
3.12	Invasive/	alien sp	ecies o	r hyper-	-	Int species
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species			M	9	(C
	1		-	-		-

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II - Champaner-Pavagadh Archaeological Park (1101)

N.			Name	Impact				Origin		
3.13					Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities			(1)		A		•	E		
3.13.3 Management activities			(1)		A	9	•	C		
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside		Outside	Э		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.3	Services Infrastructures				·	
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
.4	Pollution	<u> </u>			·	
3.4.5	Solid waste	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	static
.5.5	Crop production	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	increasing
.7	Local conditions affecting physical fa	bric				
.7.1	Wind	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
.7.2	Relative humidity	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
.7.3	Temperature	restricted	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
.7.5	Dust	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
.7.7	Pests	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage		•		•	•
.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.3	Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	static
.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
.9	Other human activities				·	
.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	frequent	significant	high capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather e	vents				
.10.2	Flooding	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	static
.11	Sudden ecological or geological even	ts				
.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abund	lant species				
.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
.13	Management and institutional factors					
1.13.3	Management activities	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

No Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The additional protective cover of buffer zone to a WH property is essential and practical proposition to protect and promote the OUVs of a WH site. However, it would be useful if such natural or cultural parameters as the river, brook or stream, ditch, mountainous rim, highway, road, railway line, canal, dam, etc. are made to delineate the Buffer Zone boundary.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The constitution of India recognises the value of cultural heritage. India has effective legislation to protect archaeological sites but it is not mentioned in the nomination file whether Champaner, in total or parts of it, are declared as protected

archaeological sites. ASI does protect 39 standing monuments at the site.

Much of the area comes under a 'Reserved Forest Act', but according to the nomination dossier it has no provisions to protect cultural heritage.

Comment

39 monuments, which are both individuals as well as in groups, and located both in the Core Zone and Buffer Zone areas, are protected by the Archaeological Survey of India, Central Government, and remaining all others by the Champaner Pavagdh Archaeological Park World Heritage Area Management Authority through the instrument of the Champaner Pavagdh Archaeological Park World Heritage Area Management Authority Act, enacted by the Government of Gujarat in 2006.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

After the inscription of the CPAP on the WHL, the State Government of Gujarat set up the "Champaner-Pavagadh Archaeological Park World Heritage Area Management Authority_ (hereinafter the Authority), which was notified on 9th November 2006 in response to a new special-purpose state Act, called the "Champaner-Pavagadh Archaeological Park World Heritage Area Management Authority Act, 2006_ (hereinafter the Act). The composition of the Authority is inclusive of all stakeholders.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

As the Chief Secretary to the Government of Gujarat is exofficio Chairperson of the Authority, Director-General, ASI, and other senior-most officers of all other concerned departments including private persons its members, the Authority receives complete information to understand the issues, and takes decisions to resolve and manage all natural and cultural heritage resources of the entire WH site in order to preserve its outstanding universal values.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Based on "Master Plan for the Champaner-Pavagadh Archaeological Park_, by Dept. of Landscape, University of Illinois, U.S, Baroda Heritage Trust, Vadodara, Gujarat, and People for Heritage Concerns, Surat, Gujarat, 2001; the "Champaner Draft Action Plan, Nalini Thakur, 1972; and Participatory Conservative Collaborative – A Regeneration Programme for Champaner-Pavagadh, Nalini Thakur, 1999, State Party has submitted on 1.2.2011 operative part of the MP for the period from 2010-11 to 2015-16.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer

zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly participate in **all relevant** decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The CPAP World Heritage Area Management Authority system has been found productive and effective in providing a participatory platform to all stakeholders and jointly safeguarding OUVs of the site. The Authority, chaired by the highest functionary of the state and offers quickest guidelines without any hassles or loss of time. It has so far ensured smooth functioning and implementation of plans and policies that are prerequisite for effective management and maintenance of the site.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The Government of India has enacted the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Act 2010, an amendment to an earlier existing Act 1958, incorporating provisions of declaring a minimum area of 100 m surrounding the protected limits or its protected area in all directions, called "Prohibited Area_, and beyond it, a minimum of 200 m area in all directions, called "Regulated Area_, to be strictly controlled by a high level National Monument Authority.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%

Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

None.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the longterm

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

There is no dearth of funds to manage the World Heritage site effectively.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
ruii-tiiile	100 /6
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

	-	_	_	-	
Permanent					100%
Seasonal					0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

	 	 	-	
Paid				100%
Volunteer				0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

· .
Poor
Fair
Fair
Poor
Poor
Fair
Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Management of a WH site of the magnitude of the CPAP provides a good opportunity to work only in the interest of heritage management, but also in the interest of local communities who are real and perpetual guardians of this finite resources of humankind. All opportunities should be explored in order to ensure as wider participation of locals as possible.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and

decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report Not yet.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The ASI has undertaken a collaborative project of excavation at CPAP with the M.S.University, Vadodara and Directorate of Archeology, Govt, of Gujarat to realize multidisciplinary goals which are targeted to enhance the OUVs of the site. The results so far obtained are encouraging and have thrown a new light on a Maratha period (18th century) palatial complex, close to Three cells in citadel.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Not provided but needed
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The planned cultural awareness programmes every year are increasingly becoming popular amongst the local communities and administrative authorities, and they have started responding by enthusaistic participation. At times, these have been linked with the eco-cultural programmes which are helpful conveying a convincing message of preserving cultural vis-a-vis natural setting.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The visitors' statistics for the CPAP as shown above, both religious and non-religious, are indicative of tourism potential which is as yet far from being optimal considering carrying capacity of the site. All these footfalls have not been observed impacting adversely the status of the site, and remain one of

the prospective resources to offer heritage incentives to locals as well as to the State.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Compared to the over about 22 lakhs of pilgrims, the number of visitors coming to see the heritage monuments of the Jami Masjid and Shaher-ki-Masjid, which are the ticketed monuments of the Archaeological Survey of India, are far less, albeit the footfalls show yearly steady upward-going trend since the monuments of the CPAP were inscribed in the WH list. Putting up more creative interpretation panels at the site has potential to harness larger number of visitors to these and other monuments.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

	•
World Heritage managers / coordinators and	staff Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor

Local indigenous peoples Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Based on available management documents, fresh field inputs, and close interactions with all stakeholders, an action plan has been drawn covering the period 2011-12 and 2015-16, and proposed to undertake various conservation and site promotion works. All the work items are drawn up as short-term and long-term conservation and site management plans to preserve OUVs of the site.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

The system of periodical checking is proving very helpful for effective monitoring. Frequent periodical visits of site managers at the site, accurate documentation of all heritage components, including their present and original contexts, are essential tools to ensure meaningful monitoring. The monitoring mechanism also provides various other useful perspectives to test and follow.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

				1	1	1	
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.5	Crop production						
3.8	Social/cultural	uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	None.	The problem has been addressed and taken care of under the site management plan.	The problem will be monitored by the CPAP World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, India.	Financial Year 2012- 13 to 2015-16.	The CPAP World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, India.	The bias towards rituals and religious use of the site can be taken care of by heritage awareness and economic incentives that will be due to the preservation of the cultural heritage resources of the site.
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	None.	The problem has been addressed and taken care of under the site management plan.	The problem will be monitored by the CPAP World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, India.	Financial Year 2012- 13 to 2015-16.	The CPAP World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, India.	The local persons now started taking pride in introuducing themselves as the ones living within the WH site boundaries. The economic incentives coming to them have made them realize their equally imporatant role in sustaning and managing the site.
3.9	Other human ad	ctivities	1	•	•	•	•
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	None.	The problem has been addressed and taken care of under the site management plan.	The problem will be monitored by the CPAP World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, India.	Financial Year 2012- 13 to 2015-16.	The CPAP World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, India.	There is no encroachment, but unauthorized construction in the bordering areas in some cases. People are now understanding position, and co-operating in removal of illegal construction,
3.10	Climate change	and severe weather	events				
3.10.2	Flooding						
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.3	Management activities	None.	The problem has been addressed and taken care of under the site management plan.	The problem will be monitored by the CPAP World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, India.	Financial Year 2012- 13 to 2015-16.	The CPAP World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, India.	The basic managment mechanism at CPAP is perspective and participatory. Where all stakeholders have their respective yearly and more plans and programmes, these are assessed and cleared maintaing OUVs of the site.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.4 Fin	4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	The subject has been discussed in the management plan.	2015-16	CPAPWH Area Management Authority, and ASI	This is a multi-disciplinary aspect and will try to keep pace with advancing technologies. This is also an ongoing activity.	
4.4.13	Promotion	The subject has been discussed in the management plan.	2015-16	CPAPWH Area Management Authority, and ASI	No comment	
4.7 Vis	itor Managemen	t				

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II - Champaner-Pavagadh Archaeological Park (1101)

4.7.6	Fees collected	revenue earning from fees	No time frame	Archeological Survey of India	As per rules and regulation of the
	makes no	deposited regularly in Government			Ministry of Culture the fees are
	contribution to	account(0202 Ministry of Tourism			deposited in Government
	the	and Culture, other receipts)			account, Generally not spent directly
	management				for management of the property
	of the property				·

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Multidisciplinary approach to heritage site management has offered more inclusive strategies to further the very cause of heritage for all. It has leveraged all areas of research which together has the potential to contribute to general welfare of humankind, and thus promoting unfailingly understanding and sense of belonging with the culture and its authors in a respectful and shared manner.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Very positive

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The necessary but minimal interventions that have been necessitated by the need and philosophical approach of the built conservation, has, in fact, been very helpful in preserving

and protecting small features of the conserved property. It has helped a great deal to contribute to the wholeness of the site, and its maintenance in a wider context of the WH site status. The reputation of this site has inspired the peer conservation professionals to highlight significance of other sites.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Indigenous peoples

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

ves

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It will be better if more illustrative examples are given in the guidelines. Inadequacy of this may run the risk of developing a site specific perspective which can be understood in the context of a particular site, but not universally, whereas the basic purpose of the questionnaire is universal in design and approach. Illustrative examples or model answers can take the ambiguity away.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Not all of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: Retrospective Statement of

Outstanding Universal value has been submitted by the State Party to the World Heritage Centre for adoption.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

The exercise is very productive as it allows the site manager to look back his course of action, and learn from the pitfalls that might have happened in course of discharging the duty of effectively managing the WH site. The assessment also infuses confidence within the site manager when all questions appearing here are conveniently replied. The information that has been provided today shall create a check point to better resolve the future issues for good of heritage and the mankind as a whole