

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Mahabodhi Temple Complex at Bodh Gaya

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- India

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

1056rev

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2002

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mahabodhi Temple Complex at Bodh Gaya	24.695 / 84.994	4.86	0	4.86	2002
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>4.86</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4.86</b>	

#### Comment

The property area 4.86 Ha is correct and Validated. The Reactive Monitoring Mission of UNESCO World Heritage Centre and its Advisory Boards visited the Property in February, 2011. Wherein, the Buffer zone was discussed and hence is in the process of rectification.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Mahabodhi Temple Guide Map	13/03/2002	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

The property is being maintained and managed by a Government constituted corporate body known as Bodhgaya Temple Management Committee (BTMC), under the provisions of Bodhgaya Temple Act of 1949, through Home(Special) Department, Government of Bihar State. So, the Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property is the Government of Bihar.

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

#### Comment

Property Manager: Member Secretary, Bodhgaya Temple Management Committee, P.O Box 2, Bodhgaya-824231, Gaya, Bihar, India. Bodhgaya Temple Management Committee, headed by the District Magistrate, Gaya, as the Ex-officio Chairman, is the local Institution

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [1001wonders.org](http://1001wonders.org) : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)
2. [Mahabodhi Mahavihara Buddhagaya Temple - Home Page \(Bodhgaya Temple Management Committee\)](#)

#### Comment

The property Website is [www.mahabodhi.com](http://www.mahabodhi.com)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

Bodhgaya Temple Act of 1949

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the WH Centre on January, 31st 2011.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (i): The grand 50m high Mahabodhi Temple of the 5th-6th centuries is of immense importance, being one of the earliest temple constructions existing in the Indian sub-continent. It is one of the few representations of the architectural genius of the Indian people in constructing fully developed brick temples in that era Criterion (ii): The Mahabodhi Temple, one of the few surviving examples of early brick structures in India, has had significant influence in the development of architecture over the centuries. Criterion (iii): The site of the Mahabodhi Temple provides exceptional records for the events associated with the life of Buddha and subsequent worship, particularly since Emperor Asoka built the first temple, the balustrades, and the memorial column. Criterion (iv): The present Temple is one of the earliest and most imposing structures built entirely in brick from the late Gupta period. The sculpted stone balustrades are an outstanding early example of sculptural reliefs in stone. Criterion (vi): The Mahabodhi Temple Complex in Bodh Gaya has direct association with the life of the Lord Buddha, being the place where He attained the supreme and perfect insight.

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

Not Applicable.

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Not Applicable.

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Nil.

**3.15. Factors Summary Table**

**3.15.1 - Factors summary table**

Name	Impact	Origin				
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	   					
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	   					
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	  					
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure	  					
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	   					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	   					
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	   					
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities	  					
3.13.3 Management activities	  					
<b>Legend</b>	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

**3.16. Assessment of current negative factors**

**3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors**

No factor is both current and negative.

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Nil.

### 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

#### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

##### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

##### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

##### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

##### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

##### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Reactive Monitoring Mission of UNESCO World Heritage Centre and its Advisory Boards visit to the Property site took place in February, 2011. The boundary of Buffer zone area mentioned as Nil will be rectified. The property 4.86 Ha is correct and Validated.

#### 4.2. Protective Measures

##### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Mahabodhi Temple is the property of the State Government of Bihar. On the basis of the Bodh Gaya Temple Act of 1949, the State Government is responsible for the protection, management, and monitoring of the Temple and its properties. The Act also makes provision for the Bodh Gaya Temple Management Committee (BTMC) and an Advisory Board by the Governor of Bihar, consisting of 20–25 members, half of them being from foreign Buddhist countries. There is a legal land act to protect the Temple's land from illegal constructions. All finds in the area are protected by the Treasure Trove Act of 1878.

The Temple is also protected by the Gaya Regional Development Authority (GRDA), which is responsible for the planned development of Bodh Gaya town and is advised by BTMC in matters relating to the Temple and its environs.

##### Comment

Agreed with current data, except in case of Gaya Regional Development Authority (GRDA) which now replaced by Gaya Nagar Nigam.

##### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

##### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

##### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

##### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

##### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Reactive Monitoring Mission of UNESCO World Heritage Centre and its Advisory Boards visit to the Property site took place in February, 2011. The boundary of Buffer zone area mentioned as Nil will be rectified.

#### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

##### 4.3.1 - Management System

The Mahabodhi Temple is the property of the State Government of Bihar. On the basis of the Bodh Gaya Temple Act of 1949, the State Government is responsible for the protection, management, and monitoring of the Temple and its properties. The Act also makes provision for the Bodh Gaya Temple Management Committee (BTMC) and an Advisory Board by the Governor of Bihar, consisting of 20–25 members, half of them being from foreign Buddhist countries. There is a legal land act to protect the Temple's land from illegal constructions. All finds in the area are protected by the Treasure Trove Act of 1878. The Temple is also protected by the Gaya Regional Development Authority (GRDA), which is responsible for the planned development

of Bodh Gaya town and is advised by BTMC in matters relating to the Temple and its environs.

**Comment**

The words typed as " by the Governor of Bihar" need to correct as " by the Government of Bihar. Gaya Regional Development Authority (GRDA) is replaced by Gaya Nagar Nigam.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Mahabodhi Temple Complex Bodhgaya. Site Management Plan. Addendum to Report sent in January 2004.	N/A	Available	01/01/2004	
Management Plan	N/A	Available	01/01/2004	
Heritage Led Development plan for Bodhgaya, Vision 2005-2031. Draft Report, 2 vols., February 2005. Prepared by HUDCO, consultants, for the Ministry of Tourism, (52 pp)	N/A	Available	01/01/2005	
Mahabodhi Temple Complex. Site Management Plan. Draft for Consultation. April 2005 [handwritten note: after peer review March 2005]. Archaeological Survey of India, (88 pp + Annexures)	N/A	Available	01/01/2005	

**Comment**

Based on the Reactive Monitoring Mission Report and administrative decisions of Bihar Government, necessary action would be taken in due course.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good

Industry	Not applicable
----------	----------------

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The property is well maintained by the Government constituted Management Committee which includes members of all concerned section of the people and no problem in the existing management system. Whereas, regarding the buffer zone boundary and SMP, the matter is under active reconsideration for necessary decision and action in consultation with the State Party based on Reactive Monitoring Mission Report and the State Government administrative decision.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

There is no significant changes in the legal status of the management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	00%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	70%
Governmental (National / Federal)	00%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	00%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	00%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	30%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	00%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	00%

Other grants	00%
--------------	-----

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

No international Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund so far.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

The main source of regular income for the maintenance and conservation works of the property is the public donation from visitors to the site of property ie pilgrims (90%) and general tourists (10%). Accounts are well maintained with annual auditing of the accounts and other required formalities such as FCRA reports.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	00%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	70%
Seasonal	30%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	00%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Not applicable
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

**No capacity** development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Human resources available for the maintenance and management of the property are well experienced in their respective fields of duties. But, there is no facilities at present for them for further trainings and capacity building refreshment module programmes to cope with changes of time to maintain and manage the world heritage property.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

The following are published by BTMC 1. A brochure for free distribution in 2008 2. Coffee Table Book on Mahabodhi Temple in 2010 3. A special publication to commemorate the 2600th Year of Buddha's Enlightenment in 2011. 4. Prajna, the annual magazine every year in May. 5. There are many books dedicated to Mahabodhi Temple by numerous Buddhist authors.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

No comments.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

No comments.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Static

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Accommodation establishments
Other

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

The rush of Visitors to the property is seasonal during the winter months of November to February. However, the gap of off season is decreasing every year since last few years. There is no management system of recording the flow of visitors in numbers accurately at present. The entry of visitors to the world heritage property as a living temple is free and no entry records maintained.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is little or no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

No fees are collected

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

The World Heritage property is a living temple and the most venerated Buddhist place of pilgrimage in the world. Visitors are on pilgrimage and practice of meditation mainly and not for sight seeings or pleasure trips. Entry to this World Heritage property is free but the maintenance, conservation and management of this property is supported financially by the donation made by these visitors. Visitors as pilgrims are the main contributors to the management of the World Heritage property.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Average

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is underway

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

The recommendations of the WH Committee are in the process of implementation. |Necessary action would be taken

based on the Reactive Monitoring Mission Report and administrative decision of the State Government in consultation with the State Party, the Archaeological Survey of India (ASI).

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

No comments.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	State Government constituted two Committees one at the local and one at the State level including the State Party (ASI) to look into this issue for a recommendation report for necessary decision to notify the buffer zone and its boundary.	This action scheduled to finalise within 2011	State Party ( ASI ), Bihar State Government and Bodhgaya Temple Management Committee.	No comments
4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	No comment	No comment	No comment	No Comment
4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects					
4.5.3	No active outreach of research results to national or international agencies	BTMC will advocate to promote this requirement	It will be a continuous process and time frame can not be mentioned.	BTMC and local NGOs.	No comments.
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.3	There is no education and awareness programme	BTMC will move to take up this issue with all concerned local stake holders.	It will be a continuous process and time frame can not be mentioned.	BTMC and local stakeholders.	No comments.
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.5	There is little or no contact with the tourism industry	This need will be taken up for necessary improvement and promotion in consultation with tourism industry agencies.	It will be a continuous process and time frame can not be mentioned.	No comment	No comment
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	This will be studied to define the Key indicators.	No time frame can be given in this matter.	BTMC.	Nil.

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

The conservation works of the WH Property are carried out by the Archaeological Survey of India as per the need and requirement of Bodhgaya Temple Management Committee, under the Bodhgaya Temple Act of 1949, Government of Bihar.

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

No comments.

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts
Advisory bodies

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

Nil.

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the WH Centre on January, 31st 2011.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: The property area 4.86 Ha is correct and Validated. The Reactive Monitoring Mission of UNESCO World Heritage Centre and its Advisory Boards visited the Property in February, 2011. Wherein, the Buffer zone was discussed and hence is in the process of rectification.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**

The WHC's Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise is appreciated as excellent.