

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Fatehpur Sikri

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- India

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

255

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1986


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Fatehpur Sikri	27.094 / 77.664	0	0	0	1986
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Name Coordinates (longitude / latitude) Property (ha) Buffer zone (ha) Total (ha) Inscription year Fatehpur Sikri
27.05.50/77.39.56 61 475 536 1986 Total (ha)

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Revenue map of the area around the monuments at Fatehpur Sikri	18/12/1984	

Comment

As per 2005 submission corresponding to Auto CAD file 3-7-0511.dwg (item# 26) boundary of the inscribed site depicted in red line is correct. The medieval city of Fatehpur Sikri is enclosed by city wall on three sides i.e east, west and south. Whereas, northern side of the city was protected by a natural lake which is the reason of abrupt ending of the protection zone on the northern side.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Archaeological Survey of India

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Superintending Archaeologist
Archaeological Survey of India

Comment

Superintending Archaeologist Address Archaeological Survey of India, Agra Circle, 22, The Mall, Agra - 282001(U.P.) India Telephone 0562-2227261,2227263,2227264 Fax 0562-2227262 Email: circleagr.asi@gmail.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
3. [Fatehpur Sikri Project Homepage](#)

4. [Fatehpur Sikri \(Visions\)](#)

Comment

www.asi.nic.in www.asiagracitycircle.in

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

The Ancient Monuments Preservation Act, 1904 (7 of 1904) The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958 (24 of 1958) The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Rules, 1959 The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Act, 2010 (10 of 2010)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Center by State Party for review and adoption.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criteria (ii) The construction of this city has exercised a definite influence on the evolution of Mughal town planning, namely, Shahjahanabad. Criteria (iii) The city of Fatehpur Sikri demonstrates the most spectacular building activities which bears an exceptional testimony to the Mughal civilization at the end of 16th century AD. Criteria (iv) The city as a whole is an unique example of architectural ensembles of very high quality constructed between 1571 & 1585 AD.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

1. An area of 10,400 sq km around the Taj Mahal is defined as Taj Trapezium Zone (TTZ) by prohibiting use of coal/coke in industries located in TTZ. 2. The Archaeological Survey of India has recently undertaken construction of boundary wall on the protected limits of the Palace complex to prevent the entry of unauthorized persons in the tourist movement area and to avoid encroachments in the core area. The work is in progress.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development		
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure			
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities			
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure		
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure			
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure			
3.3	Services Infrastructures		
3.3.1 Water infrastructure			
3.4	Pollution		
3.4.5 Solid waste			
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage		
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses			
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation			
3.9	Other human activities		
3.9.1 Illegal activities			
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events		
3.10.4 Desertification			
3.10.6 Temperature change			
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events		
3.11.2 Earthquake			
3.13	Management and institutional factors		
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities			
3.13.3 Management activities			
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative Positive Inside Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.5	Solid waste	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	frequent	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

1. A Site museum is under organisation within the property . Visitor Facilitation center is proposed outside the property at present Parking complex. 2. Household rubbish has been thrown outside which surrounds the monument occasionally which spoils the landscape. 3. Commercial occupation of space within a periphery of 100 m of the monument was evacuated in recent past. However, some illegal constructions are reported outside the monuments which are being addressed as per the legal framework.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The Archaeological Survey of India has undertaken construction of boundary wall around the protected limits of the main imperial complex for demarcating the boundaries and to check the encroachment threats.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Fatehpur Sikri has been notified as a Centrally Protected Monument of national importance under the "Ancient Monuments, Sites and Remains Act", 1958. Rules to the Act were formulated in 1959 provide for its implementation.

Comment

The Fatehpur Sikri has been notified as a Centrally Protected Monument of national importance under the "Ancient Monuments, Sites and Remains Act", 1958. Rules to the Act were formulated in 1959 provide for its implementation. A significant amendment to the provisions of the Act was made in 1992. Further, the Government of India enacted the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation), Act, 2010 (10 of 2010) with more stringent provisions.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

In addition to the existing legal frameworks, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has further directed 300 metres around the monument as no construction zone which is being effectively monitored.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Conservation Assistant (Site Manager) supervises the management and administration of the property under the guidance of the Superintending Archaeologist of the Agra Circle. ASI is responsible for

- Administration of the site.
- Carrying out the repairs, restoration, maintenance and conservation work. Waqf Board is responsible for the religious activities in the Dargah precinct. Other Agencies exercising authority in and/or around the World Heritage site are
- Town and Country Planning Organization (TCPO) is responsible for a Master Plan for Fatehpur Sikri.
- Agra Development Authority (ADA) manages the constructional activities.
- Nagar Palika (Municipal Corporation) maintains the water supply, sewage and other municipal services.
- Public Works Department (PWD) maintains the roads within the site.
- Indian Railway has a rail link to Fatehpur Sikri.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

As per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India who is monitoring various issues related to Fatehpur Sikri, a draft proposal was submitted by the ASI for integral development of Fatehpur Sikri. The document contains various development plan and restriction of various illegal activities in around Fatehpur Sikri. The plan has been implemented by the concerned agencies emphatically and monitored periodically by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

As per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India who is monitoring various issues related to Fatehpur Sikri, a draft proposal was submitted by the ASI for integral development of Fatehpur Sikri. The document contains various development plan and restriction of various illegal activities in around Fatehpur Sikri. The plan has been implemented by the concerned agencies emphatically and monitored periodically by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The Government of India enacted the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation), Act, 2010 (10 of 2010) with more stringent provisions. In the new act there is enhancement in the penalty and punishment for violation of the provisions in connection with illegal constructions in prohibited and regulated area.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

UNESCO Extra-budgetary Funds Total amount provided to the property- USD 158,200 under the UNESCO/Aventis project " Preservation of Taj Mahal and other monuments of Agra"

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Sufficient fund is available for the purpose.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Not applicable.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1.Sharma, D.V. 2006. Archaeology of Fatehpur Sikri, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis submitted to Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University, Agra 2. Sharma, D.V. 2008. Archaeology of Fatehpur Sikri, New Delhi Pradhan , A. 2007. "Jaina Remains from Agra region with special reference to Bir Chhabili Tila,Fatehpur Sikri_ in S.K. Dwivedi & Navneet Ku.Jain (eds) Sumati-Jnana Perceptive of Jainism- A Commemoration volume

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The archaeological excavations has proven the antiquity of the site to Pre-Islamic period.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Excellent

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Awareness programme are organised at the Site to create cultural awareness among the mass. World Heritage series Guide book on Fatehpur Sikri and other informative brochures on history and archaeology of the property are published by the ASI.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

As per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India who is monitoring various issues related to Fatehpur Sikri, a draft proposal was submitted by the ASI for integral development of Fatehpur Sikri. The document contains various development plans including the visitor management. The plan has been implemented by the concerned agencies emphatically and monitored periodically by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to

present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Regarding map, as per 2005 submission corresponding to Auto CAD file 3-7-0511.dwg (item# 26) boundary of the inscribed site depicted in red line is correct. The medieval city of Fatehpur Sikri is enclosed by city wall on three sides i.e east, west and south. Whereas, north side was protected by a natural lake which is the reason of abrupt ending of the protection zone on the northern side.

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	None of the criterion are affected by providing visitation facilities.	Site Museum is under organization within the monument complex.	Director (Museum), ASI is directly monitoring the progress of organization of the museum.	It may be completed in the next fiscal year.	Archaeological Survey of India (ASI)	Antiquities highlighting the archaeology and the history of the site will be exhibited in the museum.
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.5	Solid waste	None of the criterion are affected by providing visitation facilities.	Archaeological Survey of India is drawing attention of the local agencies for eradication of the problem.	Local Municipal authorities are responsible for up gradation of the ambience of the monument.	It is an ongoing process.	Local Municipal Authority.	The activity is taking place outside the property.
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	None of the criterion are affected by providing visitation facilities.	Archaeological Survey of India is drawing attention of the local police and tourism department for eradication of the problem.	The hawkers/vendors problem outside the monument is monitored insufficiently by the responsible agencies.	It is an ongoing process.	1. Local Police authorities 2. State Tourism Department.	In comparison to other World Heritage property of Agra, the tourist inflow at Fatehpur Sikri is less.
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	None of the criterion are affected by providing visitation facilities.	Archaeological Survey of India is drawing attention of the local agencies for eradication of the problem.	Monitoring of the illegal constructions around the monument is vested upon a committee.	It is an ongoing process.	1. Archaeological Survey of India 2. Uttar Pradesh Police 3. Agra Development Authority 4. District Administration, Agra.	The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India is also monitoring certain issues like illegal constructions and has ordered for no construction within 300 metres from the Dargah complex.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Please select your top management needs in question 4.9 before filling in the summary table.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Not applicable.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Not applicable.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The options of answers were difficult to choose from because in some cases it was far from the reality.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Center by State Party for review and adoption.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Name Coordinates (longitude / latitude) Property (ha) Buffer zone (ha) Total (ha) Inscription year Fatehpur Sikri 27.05.50/77.39.56 61 475 536 1986 Total (ha)

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: As per 2005 submission corresponding to Auto CAD file 3-7-0511.dwg (item# 26) boundary of the inscribed site depicted in red line is correct. The medieval city of Fatehpur Sikri is enclosed by city wall on three sides i.e east, west and south. Whereas, northern side of the city was protected by a natural lake which is the reason of abrupt ending of the protection zone on the northern side.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

Question 4.9 and 5.2 are not automatically generating, hence the form remains incomplete. This issue may be resolved in this particular form.