

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Agra Fort

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- India

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

251

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1983

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Agra Fort	27.183 / 78.033	0	0	0	1983
Total (ha)		0			

Comment

Name Coordinates (longitude/latitude) Property (ha) Buffer Zone (ha) Total (ha) Inscription year Agra Fort 27.10.44 N/78.01.18 E 34 101 135 1983

1.4 - Map(s)

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Archaeological Survey of India, Ministry of Culture, Government of India

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Superintending Archaeologist
Archaeological Survey of India

Comment

Superintending Archaeologist Address Superintending Archaeologist Archaeological survey of India Agra Circle, 22, The Mall, Agra (U.P.) Pin 282001 India Website: www.asiagracity.in Telephone 0562-2227261-63-64 Fax 0562-2227262 Email circleagr.asi@gmail.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
3. [Moghal Gardens \(World Heritage Review\)](#)

Comment

www.asi.nic.in www.asiagracity.in

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

1. The Ancient Monuments Preservation Act, 1904 (7 of 1904)
2. The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958 (24 of 1958)
3. The Ancient Monuments

and Archaeological Sites and Remains Rules, 1959 4. The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation), Act, 2010 (10 of 2010)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been sent to the World Heritage Center, through the Director General, Archaeological Survey of India (Nodal Agency for the State Party) on 31st January, 2011 to the World Heritage Centre for review and adoption.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criteria (iii): The monuments of Agra Fort are exceptional testimony of the Indo-Islamic Mughal art and architecture strongly marked by influences from Persia, which has now disappeared.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

Not applicable.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Not applicable.

3. Factors Affecting the Property
























































3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

1. An area of 10,400 sq km around the Agra Fort, Taj Mahal and Fatehpur Sikri, is defined as Taj Trapezium Zone (TTZ) by prohibiting use of coal/coke in industries located in TTZ.
2. A green belt on the reclaimed land of the Yamuna is proposed.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact	Origin				
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	  					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	  					
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure	  					
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.5 Crop production	   					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind	  					
3.7.5 Dust	  					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	   					
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	  					
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities	  					
3.9.3 Military training	   					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2 Earthquake	  					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities	   					
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric				
3.7.1 Wind	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7.5 Dust	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage				
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.9	Other human activities				
3.9.1 Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.9.3 Military training	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

The reclaimed land of Heritage corridor on the river Yamuna is proposed to be developed as a green belt to safeguard the monument from dust and wind erosion. The Impacts of tourism/visitor/recreation shall be addressed in the Management Plan. The occupation of the fort by army in 1803 AD, is a part of history before its notification as a protected monument of national monument. The army occupied area is proposed to be handed over to ASI. Some part of land has already been handed over by army

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries and buffer zone of the property are well defined and sufficient to maintain the OUV of the property.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Agra Fort has been notified as a Centrally Protected Monument of national importance under the "Ancient

Monuments, Sites and Remains Act", 1958. Rules to the Act were formulated in 1959 provide for its implementation. A significant amendment to the provisions of the Act was made in 1992.

Comment

The Agra Fort has been notified as a Centrally Protected Monument of national importance under the "Ancient Monuments, Sites and Remains Act", 1958. Rules to the Act were formulated in 1959 provide for its implementation. A significant amendment to the provisions of the Act was made in 1992. Further, the Government of India enacted the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation), Act, 2010 (10 of 2010) with more stringent provisions.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The Government of India enacted the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation), Act, 2010 (10 of 2010) with more stringent provisions. In the new Act there is enhancement in the penalty and punishment for violation of the provisions in connection with illegal constructions in prohibited and regulated area.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

There is an existing management system under the aegis of Archaeological Survey of India for the protection of the OUV of the World Heritage Property.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

A site management plan was prepared for Taj Mahal in 2003, but as per Committee decision a common integrated management plan for Taj Mahal and Agra Fort is to be prepared. The Site Management Plan of 2003 can be used as a basic data for preparation of Common Integrated Management Plan for Taj Mahal and Agra Fort.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Though the management system is in place, there is need of a comprehensive management plan to dovetail the various management needs.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The Government of India enacted the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation), Act, 2010 (10 of 2010) with more stringent provisions. In the new act there is enhancement in the penalty and punishment for violation of the provisions in connection with illegal constructions in prohibited and regulated area.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

Name of the fund Amount UNESCO/RHONE-POULENC/AVENTIS funds -in-trust project 570IND4071 for the conservation of The Taj Mahal and other monuments at Agra USD 20,000.00

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Not applicable.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair

Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Not applicable.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Siddiqui, W.H. 2009. World Heritage Series Guide book " Agra Fort" published by the Archaeological Survey of India.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The scholars interested for research on the property are encouraged and permitted by the department.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate

Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Excellent

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The Archaeological Survey of India organizes awareness programme like World Heritage Week, World Heritage Day, International Museum Day etc. by involving the schools children and local communities.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The visitor inflow data is based on the entry ticket sale register. The information indicates minor increase in the inflow rate. The visitor movement inside the monument is being managed by the ASI personnel with the assistance of private security deployment. The U.P. Police is responsible for visitor management outside the property.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Not applicable.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

State of Conservation report has been sent to the World Heritage Committee for examination.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

State of Conservation report has been sent to the World Heritage Committee for examination.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind	None.	Green belt is proposed on the riverside.	Archaeological Survey of India and District Administration, Agra	Four years.	Archaeological Survey of India, Ministry of Environment and Forest, and District Administration, Agra	The green belt is proposed on the reclaimed land of suspended Taj Heritage corridor.
3.7.5	Dust	None.	Green belt is proposed on the riverside.	Archaeological Survey of India and District Administration, Agra	Four years.	Archaeological Survey of India, Ministry of Environment and Forest, and District Administration, Agra	The green belt is proposed on the reclaimed land of suspended Taj Heritage corridor.
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	None.	Sound and light show is organised within the monument complex .	Archaeological Survey of India	It is an ongoing programme.	Uttar Pradesh Tourism Department and Archaeological Survey of India	It has no negative impact on the property. Only limited number of visitors are allowed to view the show.
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	None.	The ASI lodges Police complaints against any such illegal activities.	Archaeological Survey of India, Competent Authority, National Monuments Authority under Ministry of Culture Govt. of India.	Ongoing activities.	Archaeological Survey of India, Local Police administration, District Administration, Competent Authority, National Monuments Authority under Ministry of Culture Govt. of India.	The Government of India has recently enacted Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Act, 2010 with more stringent provisions to check the illegal constructions around the monuments.
3.9.3	Military training	None.	The area under Army occupation is also looked after by the ASI for the purpose of Conservation and preservation of the monuments.	Archaeological Survey of India.	Ongoing activities.	Indian Army.	The Engineering Core regiment of Indian Army has its office. The ASI conserves the monuments within the army occupied area.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The SOC has already been submitted before the 35th World Heritage Committee Meeting.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Not applicable
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Not applicable.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Some of the options to the answers were not exactly expressing the ground reality and hence it was difficult to give an appropriate answer.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been sent to the World Heritage Center, through the Director General, Archaeological Survey of India (Nodal Agency for the State Party) on 31st January, 2011 to the World Heritage Centre for review and adoption.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Name Coordinates (longitude/latitude) Property (ha) Buffer Zone (ha) Total (ha) Inscription year Agra Fort 27.10.44 N/78.01.18 E 34 101 135 1983

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

Question 4.9 and 5.2, are not automatically generated in this form whilst all the previous related questions have been answered. Hence the form remains incomplete.