1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Humayun's Tomb, Delhi

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)
● India

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

232

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 1993

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Humayun's Tomb, Delhi	28.593 / 77.251	0	0	0	1993
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property (ha): 25.11; Buffer Zone (ha): 33.4 ha; Total (ha): 58.51 ha. Following WHC comments on the 2003 PR, the listed property follows the 1993 proposal. As per SOUV, the property demonstrate the development of garden-tombs and form an important ensemble of the early Mughal era. Since 2007, the ASI has acquired additional land to the north on which the 16th C Batashewala garden-tomb complex stands; this area is now included in the buffer zone, apart from the standard 100 m prohibited zone.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title		Link to source
Site Plan of Humayun's Tomb and Adjacent monuments at Delhi, showing in green the inscribed property and in red the buffer zone	18/10/1993	B

Comment

Area to the east - up to Sabz Burj - of the property is now included within the property as this area represents part of the original extent of the 16th century Nila Gumbad contemporary and attached to Humayun's Tomb. Following archaeological discoveries this area now been acquired by the ASI from the railways. Similarly land of the Batashewala complex on the north, another ASI 16th century tomb-garden has been acquired by ASI and included in the Buffer zone. These are minor modification.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Archaeological Survey of India, Ministry of Culture, Government of India

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Superintending Archaeologist Archaeological Survey of India

Section II - Humayun's Tomb, Delhi (232)

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1. <u>1001wonders.org : visit this site in</u> panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
- 2. <u>View photos from OUR PLACE the World</u> Heritage collection

Comment

http://asi.nic.in/asi_monu_whs_humayuntomb.asp; http://www.nizamuddinrenewal.org

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act 1958 and Rules 1959; Delhi Master Plan 2021; Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Act 2010, Delhi Municipal Corporation Act 1957, Land Acquisition Act 1894, Delhi Urban Art Commission Act 1973, Urban Land (Sealing and Regulation) Act 1976, Environmental Pollution Act, 1986

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

SOUV Submitted in 2011. The tomb of Humayun, is the first of the grand dynastic mausoleums that were to become synonyms of Mughal architecture. The tomb stands in an extremely significant archaeological zone and the only surviving ensemble of early Mughal/16th century gardentombs.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criteria ii - Persian and Indian craftsmen worked together to build the garden-tomb, far grander than any tomb built before in the Muslim world. The monumental scale attained was to become the characteristic of Mughal imperial projects, culminating in the Taj Mahal. Criteria iv - Within the Humayun's Tomb complex stand the other contemporary garden-tombs– making it the most significant 16th century ensemble of Mughal garden-tombs.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Important structures - physically connected to Humayun's Tomb - were suggested for exclusion in the ICOMOS report on the 1993 nomination. Following comments on 2003 PR, the attached map now defines the property and buffer. All structures included are contemporary to Humayun's Tomb, stand within the same complex and clearly demonstrate evolution of tomb-gardens in the early Mughal era. It is proposed to eventually request inclusion of the 6.8 ha northern Batashewala complex within the property

3. Factors Affecting the Property

- 3.14. Other factor(s)
- 3.14.1 Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	N				Name	Impa	ct			Origin	
3.1	3.1 Bi			Buildings and Development							
3.1.5 Interp	retative and visitatio	n facilities				\odot			9	۲	S
3.2 Tra				Transp	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1 Groun	d transport infrastru	icture				\odot			9		S
3.8						Social/	cultur	al use	s of h	eritag	e
3.8.1 Ritual	/ spiritual / religious	and associative use	S				۲	9		۲	5
3.8.2 Societ	y's valuing of herita	ge				\odot		9	9	۲	G,
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation			\odot		9	9	۲	Ś			
3.13			Manag	ement	and i	institu	tional	factors			
3.13.1 Low	impact research / m	onitoring activities				0		9		۲	S.
3.13.3 Management activities			\odot			9		Ś			
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	🖲 In	side		Ċ	Outs	ide	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale		Management response	Trend
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

A Gurudwara (Sikh temple) built on the north-east corner abutting the property in the 1980's and a mosque within the property - Afsarwala - is in use as a place of worship. Requests from both to build additional structures/ infrastructure have so far been rejected.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local** residents / communities/landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The Property & Buffer boundaries are being clearly defined for the first time in this PR exercise. Following this steps will be taken to inform all stakeholders of the property and boundary edges will be physically marked. The property is owned entirely by the ASI & the Buffer Zone extends in all directions to 100 m except on the N & W where additional monuments and land is ASI owned.

Section II - Humayun's Tomb, Delhi (232)

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Comment

Legal, regulatory, planning

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures n/a

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Archaeological Survey of India is the overall authority for administrative and management arrangements for all the monuments within the Humayun's Tomb complex. Delhi Circle of the Archaeological Survey of India headed by Superintending Archaeologist and assisted by Dy. Superintending Archaeologists, Assistant Superintending Archaeologist, Assistant Archaeologists, Dy. Superintending Archaeological Engineer and Assistant Superintending Archaeological Engineer in fact manages the property through the Humayun's tomb Sub -Circle headed by Conservation Assistant. The Horticulture Branch and the Science Branch of Page 4

the Archaeological Survey of India render necessary assistance in their area of expertise Superintending Archaeologist. The Circle and sub-circle offices maintain coordination with other Govt. /State Govt. authorities such as Deputy Commissioner (Revenue), Deputy Commissioner of Police, Vice-Chairman, Delhi Development Authority, Commissioner, Municipal corporation of Delhi, etc. in the management of the area around the property falling in buffer zone.

Comment

In the years 1999-2003 the ASI in partnership with the Aga Khan Trust for Culture (AKTC) undertook a garden restoration of the Humayun's Tomb gardens in keeping with the 1993 ICOMOS suggestion. Since 2007 ASI & AKTC have been undertaking a major conservation project on all structures in the property and buffer zone. The project has recourse to adequate funding and human resources.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

As part of the ongoing conservation project, ASI & AKTC project team will prepare and submit a Management Plan by February 2012.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ? There is excellent coordination between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is only partially being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer

Section II - Humayun's Tomb, Delhi (232)

zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value? Local communities have some input into discussions relating

to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value? No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Over 10 ha of land has been acquired by the ASI on the north, east and west of the property to further preserve the OUV. MoU's signed with the Aga Khan Trust for Culture in 1999 for Garden Restoration and 2007 for Conservation of the property and buffer zone has resulted in significant conservation works being undertaken under a not-for-profit Public Private Partnership.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	50%
Governmental (National / Federal)	20%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	30%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No assistance has been received from the World Heritage Fund for this Property.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the longterm

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are adequate equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained? Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

An interpretation centre with related facilities is being planned for the Property. Additional security is required at the Site.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	40%
Part-time	60%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	40%
Seasonal	60%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair

Section II - Humayun's Tomb, Delhi (232)

Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	High
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Ongoing research and activities is displayed at a Site Exhibit and also on the website http://www.nizamuddinrenewal.org/

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property? In one location and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent

Section II - Humayun's Tomb, Delhi (232)

Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

While a site exhibition has been installed a site interpretation is proposed to be built at the entrance zone of the World Heritage Site - a mostly underground building is planned at the site of the present parking area. A guidebook for Children is being published and will be available for sale at the Property.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Major Increase (100%+)

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor numbers are monitored through ticket sales and conservation works being carried out on monumnets in the Buffer Zone will give visitors additional sites to visit.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? There is some management of the visitor use of the World

Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

There is a 20% increase in visitor numbers each year. Most visitors are accompanied by a licensed tourist guide. Fee collected at all monuments in India reverts to the national purse and a portion of it is returned to the Archaeological

Survey of India. Additional security guards are required to prevent vandalism and misuse of the site.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Excellent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

The ICOMOS report suggested that the gardens of Humayun's Tomb be restored - this restoration was undertaken by the Aga Khan Trust for Culture in the years 1997-2003. Since 2007, Aga Khan Trust for Culture with co-funding from Sir Dorabji Tata Trust and World Monuments Fund and in partnership with ASI is undertaking a major conservation project on all buildings within the property.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

The project team undertaking the ongoing conservation project is multi-disciplinary and a model conservation process is being developed.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe		More info / comment
3.8	Social/cultural	uses of heritage					
3.8.1	associative uses	The 1980's Sikh temple abutting the property on NE corner can disfigure property if additional structures are built & similarly at the mosque in use for worship within the property it will need to be ensured that no inappropriate repairs are made.	necessary yet	Close monitoring is being carried out on a regular basis.	Ongoing	Archaeological Survey of India	No comment

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Bou	undaries and Bu	ffer Zones						
			Actions		Timeframe		Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.4	4 The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners		boundaries have been defined and will be marked on the		December 2011		Archaeological Survey of Indi Aga Khan Trust for Culture	a, No comment
4.1.5	The buffer zo World Heritag are not known residents / communities/	le property n by local	As part of the PR exert boundaries of the buffe have been defined and marked on the ground awareness amongst lo stakeholders initiated.	er zone I will be and	December 2011		Archaeological Survey of Indi Aga Khan Trust for Culture, Resident Welfare Association	
4.3 Mai	nagement Syster	m / Managen	nent Plan					
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
4.7 Vis	itor Managemen	t						
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	N/A		N/A		N/A	1	No comment
4.7.5	Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	No action is	required.	N/A		N/A		lo comment

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Considerable effort has been made since 1997 to ensure integrity is maintained by including a wider area and significant contemporary monuments to Humayun's Tomb within the property. Similarly a major conservation effort to remove inappropriate modern materials used in the 20th century and recover the architectural integrity have been undertaken.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Following the designation of the property, the garden restoration was carried out as per recommendations of the ICOMOS report. Since 2007 a major conservation project involving national and international agencies is now underway.

Section II - Humayun's Tomb, Delhi (232)

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire No suggestions

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Fair
Advisory Body	Poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention	
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value	
The property's Outstanding Universal Value	
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity	
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity	
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value	
Monitoring and reporting	
Management effectiveness	

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance Reason for update: SOUV Submitted in 2011. The tomb of Humayun, is the first of the grand dynastic mausoleums that were to become synonyms of Mughal architecture. The tomb stands in an extremely significant archaeological zone and the only surviving ensemble of early Mughal/16th century garden-tombs.
- Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: Property (ha): 25.11; Buffer Zone (ha): 33.4 ha; Total (ha): 58.51 ha. Following WHC comments on the 2003 PR, the listed property follows the 1993 proposal. As per SOUV, the property demonstrate the development of garden-tombs and

form an important ensemble of the early Mughal era. Since 2007, the ASI has acquired additional land to the north on which the 16th C Batashewala garden-tomb complex stands; this area is now included in the buffer zone, apart from the standard 100 m prohibited zone.

• Map(s)

Reason for update: Area to the east - up to Sabz Burj of the property is now included within the property as this area represents part of the original extent of the 16th century Nila Gumbad - contemporary and attached to Humayun's Tomb. Following archaeological discoveries this area now been acquired by the ASI from the railways. Similarly land of the Batashewala complex on the north, another ASI 16th century tombgarden has been acquired by ASI and included in the Buffer zone. These are minor modification.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No comment