

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Complex of Koguryo Tombs

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Korea, Democratic People's Republic of

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1091

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2004

1.3 - Geographic Information Table


Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Tomb of King Tongmyong and Jinpha-ri group of tombs, Pyongyang , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.889 / 125.93	220	0	220	2003
Homam-ri Sasin (Four Deities) Tomb, Pyongyang , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	39.081 / 125.922	0.8	0	0.8	2003
Tokhwa-ri Tombs No. 1,2,3, South Phyongan Province (Taedong area) , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	39.151 / 125.53	0.32	0	0.32	2003
Kangso Three Tombs, Nampho (Kangso & Ryonggang areas) , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.965 / 125.425	1.9	0	1.9	2003
Tokhung-ri Tomb, Nampho , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.961 / 125.447	2.5	0	2.5	2003
Yaksu-ri Tomb, Nampho , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.919 / 125.413	1.1	0	1.1	2003
Susan-ri Tomb, Nampho , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.921 / 125.361	1.2	0	1.2	2003
Ryonggang Great Tomb, Nampho , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.863 / 125.415	0.34	0	0.34	2003
Twin-Column Tomb, Nampho , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.863 / 125.415	0.29	0	0.29	2003
Anak Tomb No. 1, South Hwanghae Province (Anak area) , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.484 / 125.543	0.19	0	0.19	2003
Anak Tomb No. 2, South Hwanghae Province , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.484 / 125.543	0.21	0	0.21	2003

Anak Tomb No. 3, South Hwanghae Province , Democratic People's Republic of Korea	38.44 / 125.508	4.1	0	4.1	2003
Total (ha)		232.95	0	232.95	

Comment

According to nomination: Tombs of King Tongmyong and Jinpha-ri group of Tombs: buffer (527), total (747) Homan-ri Sasin: buffer (331), total (331.8) Tokhwa-ri: buffer (92.3), total (92.62) Kangso Three Tombs and Tokhung-ri Tomb share same buffer zone (473) so total (477.4) Yaksu-ri: buffer (67), total (68.1) Susan-ri: buffer (34.4), total (35.6) Anak 1: property (0.54), buffer (85), total (86.08) Anak 2: property (0.54) - same buffer as Anak 1 Anak 3: property (5.2), buffer (91.5), total (96.7)

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Maps showing the location of each component of the inscribed property	25/01/2002	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

The Management Bureau for Cultural Property Conservation under the Ministry of Culture developed into the National Bureau for Cultural Property Conservation under the Cabinet on 2 April 2008.

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Beatrice Kaldun
UNESCO
Programme Specialist for Culture
Culture

Comment

National Bureau for Cultural Property Conservation (NBCPC) Jong Min YUN Director of the Department of Foreign Affairs Address Oesong-dong, Central District, Pyongyang, Democratic People's Republic of Korea Telephone 00850-2-18111 exit381-6146 Fax 00850-2-381-4410 Email nbcpc@co.chesin.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion i- masterpieces of the culture and period of the Koguryo kingdom, ingenious engineering solutions Criterion ii- special burial customs Criterion iii- exceptional testimony of the Koguryo culture Criterion iv- important example of burial typology

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution						
3.4.3 Surface water pollution						
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.5 Crop production						
3.5.10 Forestry /wood production						
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.1 Mining						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind						
3.7.2 Relative humidity						
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.8 Micro-organisms						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

There was no buffer zone for two individual tombs at the time of inscription, but it is necessary to define the buffer zones for them.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The main three laws protecting cultural heritage and its management are: Presidential decree 35, 'Socialist Constitution of the democratic Peoples' Rep. of Korea' and the 'Law of the Dem. Peoples' Rep. of Korea'. Other relevant laws are land, environment, forests laws as well as different by-laws, regulations and operational guidelines.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the

Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain


4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

N/A

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan of the Complex of the Koguryo Tombs	N/A	Available	25/01/2002	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Non-existent
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

N/A

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

N/A

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	8%
Governmental (National / Federal)	28%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	25%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	32%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	7%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

The World Heritage property **does not deliver any economic benefits** to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

N/A

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Non-existent
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	Low
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

N/A

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and

Section II - Complex of Koguryo Tombs (1091)

decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

- Conservation of Koguryo Mural Paintings, 2009, Encyclopedia Publishing House - Koguryo Civilization (murals), 2007, Dr. Ri Ki Ung, Korean Cultural Preservation Centre. - Electronic presentation World Heritage, Common Treasures of Humankind, 2009, Kim Jong Chol, Korean Cultural Preservation Centre.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

N/A

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

N/A

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

Section II - Complex of Koguryo Tombs (1091)

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

N/A

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

N/A

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

N/A

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.2	Relative humidity	The change of relative humidity inside the tombs produces discoloration of the mural paintings, and formation of encrustation, cracks and lacunae on the plastered walls.	The number of visitors is restricted, photographing is banned and the incandescent lamps are replaced with compact lights. When the relative humidity goes down, water is sprayed on the chamber floor to maintain normal humidity.	The relative humidity is being measured regularly in the tombs which are open to the public with data-loggers (HOBO U12) or hygrothermographs.	Long term	- Korean Cultural Preservation Centre - Relevant Cultural Relics Management Offices - Hamhung Branch of the State Academy	N/A
3.7.3	Temperature	The temperature changes inside the tombs bring about the discoloration of the mural paintings and the cracking of the renders.	It is being undertaken to reduce the number of light bulbs and replace the incandescent lights with compact lights inside the tombs. Photographing inside the tombs is prohibited and the number of visitors is restricted per time.	The temperature is being measured regularly in the tombs which are open to the public with data-loggers (HOBO U12) or hygrothermographs.	Long term	- Korean Cultural Preservation Centre - Relevant Cultural Relics Management Offices - Hamhung Branch of the State Academy	N/A
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	By the water infiltration during rainy seasons, some of the Koguryo Tombs are flooded inside, which affects the micro-climate of the burial chambers and results in softening of the plastered renders on the walls and the loss of mural paintings.	For a waterproofing project in the Susan-ri Tomb, several boreholes were drilled to install the piezometric tubes around the tomb in 2009 and drainage trenches were made around the mound in 2010.	By the piezometric tubes installed in two Koguryo Tombs, Susan-ri Tomb and Yaksu-ri Tomb, the water-levels are being measured and the flooding monitored inside regularly.	2009-2012	- Korean Cultural Preservation Centre - Kangso Cultural Relics Management Office - Central Hydro-meteorological Bureau	N/A
3.7.8	Micro-organisms						

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Confirm the buffer zones of the WH sites with the assistance of the city or county people's committees (local governments) and inform the local people about them.	2011-2012	- National Bureau for Cultural Property Conservation, - Local People's Committees at city and county levels.	N/A	
4.8 Monitoring						
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Improve the monitoring according to the key indicators for measuring the state of conservation.	On-going	- Korean Cultural Preservation Centre, - Cultural Relics Management Offices concerned	N/A	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

N/A

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Not applicable
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

N/A

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Local community
External experts

Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

N/A

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: According to nomination: Tombs of King Tongmyong and Jinpa-ri group of Tombs: buffer (527), total (747) Homan-ri Sasin: buffer (331), total (331.8) Tokhwa-ri: buffer (92.3), total (92.62) Kangso Three Tombs and Tokhung-ri Tomb share same buffer zone (473) so total (477.4) Yaksu-ri: buffer (67), total (68.1) Susan-ri: buffer (34.4), total (35.6) Anak 1: property (0.54), buffer (85), total (86.08) Anak 2: property (0.54) - same buffer as Anak 1 Anak 3: property (5.2), buffer (91.5), total (96.7)

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

N/A