

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

China Danxia

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

•China

Type of Property

natural

Identification Number

1335

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2010

Comment

Type of Property natural

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Chishui - West Section	28.37 / 105.794	10142	?	10142	
Chishui - East Section	28.422 / 106.043	17222	?	17222	
Taining - North Section	27.01 / 117.219	5277	?	5277	
Taining -South Section	26.866 / 117.039	5810	?	5810	
Langshan	26.34 / 110.779	6600	6200	12800	
Danxiashan	24.965 / 113.703	16800	12400	29200	
Longhushan: Longhushan Section	28.071 / 116.985	16950	?	16950	
Longhushan: Guifeng Section	28.318 / 117.419	2740	?	2740	
Jianglangshan	28.37 / 105.794	610	571	1181	
Total (ha)		82151	19171	101322	

Comment

The buffer zone(Chishui - West Section,Chishui - East Section,Taining - North Section,Taining -South Section,Longhushan: Longhushan Section,Longhushan: Guifeng Section) need to be supplemented.they are: 25341; 19473; 4247; 8154; 41030; 18790(ha). the total area should be: 35483; 36695; 9524; 13964; 57980; 21530(ha). The coordinates (longitude / latitude) of Jianglangshan is wrong, which should be 28.529 / 118.56. The total area is wrong. All the datum is submitted in Nomination Text

1.4 - Map(s)

Comment

The maps have been submitted to World Heritage Centre with the Nomination Text, Appendix 6 – Maps.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Ministry of Housing and Urban-rural Development, P.R.C. Address: 9 Sanlihe Road,Beijing,China Postalcode: 100835 Tel: 86-10-58933014 Fax: 86-10-58933014 E-mail: zuoxp@mail.cin.gov.cn npo@mail.cin.gov.cn

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Comment

Name: The Protection Commission of China Danxia World Natural Heritage Address: 86 Jiefang Road,Changsha City, Hunan Province, China Postalcode: 410003 Tel: 86-371-2214030, 2214070 Fax: 86-371-2212782, 2214070 E-mail: hnjst1003@126.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Comment

http://www.dxdm.com/

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Name/Type of protection Danxiashan national park,national nature reserve,global geo-park Chishui national park,national nature reserve Taining national park Langshan national park Longhushan national park Jianglangshan national park

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

It is availbale in the final decision 34com 8B.1.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(vii)(viii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact				Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.3 Surface water pollution						
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3 Land conversion						
3.5.5 Crop production						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.7 Pests						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.3 Drought						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	frequent	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	restricted	frequent	minor	medium capacity	decreasing

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.5	Crop production	restricted	frequent	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.10.2	Flooding	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.10.3	Drought	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Option 3.10.1 does not cover all the facts.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Comment

The legislative laws, Arts and Relevant Articles are submitted in 5.b-2-1 of Nomination Text.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or

Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

The details are referred to in Nomination Text with Appendix 2 - Management Plan, which has been submitted to World Heritage Centre

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The details are referred to in Nomination Text with Appendix 2 - Management Plan, which has been submitted to World Heritage Centre

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
---	----

International donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	20%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	20%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	30%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	30%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

We haven't received any donations up to now, but we are eager to get International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD).

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

In 4.4.6, there are some adequate equipment and facilities and still room to advance, we are eager to get International Assistance in funds and equipments.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	85%
Part-time	15%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	70%
Seasonal	30%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	95%
Volunteer	5%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and

decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

[1] Kuang Jianjun, Kuang Baigen, Peng Zhenbao, etc. Species diversity of natural evergreen broadleaf forest community in Danxia landform area of Langshan Mountain[J]. Science of Soil and Water Conservation, 2011, (01) [2] JIANG Yong-biao, GUO Fu-sheng, LIU Lin-qing, etc. A Study on the Structure Features and Its Relationship with the Danxia Landforms in Xinjiang Basin[J]. Journal of East China Insti

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.5.2 The options don't cover all possibilities.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The documents in relation to visitor management can be found in Chapter Ten " Visitor Management " in " China Danxia Management Plan" , which can be found in the attachment two and three of the nomination file.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World

Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.8.1 The options don't cover all possible.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	Criterion vii In some areas, the localized utilities are visually incompatible with the natural beauty	The existing infrastructures which have negative impact on the visual landscape will be removed, moved to other position, or camouflaged. New utilities should not impact the visual landscape.	Step up inspections; periodic inspection	By2011, most of the actions have been implemented. By 2015, the infrastructure with negative effects to the natural beauty will be basically eliminated.	Lead agency :The heritage management institutions at all levels; Protection Committee of China Danxia World Nature Heritage others involved; Local government sectors, including electric power , communications , radio and television sector, etc.	none
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	Criterion vii In some areas, the water pollution has negative effects on water landscape to some extent.	To control sources of pollution; to construct and renovate sewage treatment facilities; to strengthen monitoring and supervision.	Periodic monitoring of water quality; Inspections will be strengthened continuously.	By 2015, the sources of pollution with negative effects to the water landscape will be basically eliminated.	Lead agency : The local government and related departments others involved : local community	none
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.7	Pests						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Criterion vii Tourism activities, having pressure on environment to a certain extent, give rise to indirect influence on natural beauty.	To clean timely the pollutants; To strengthen the education and propaganda on environmental protection consciousness; To manage tourists number and distribution.	To implement real-time monitoring on tourists influence, by tourism information management system and environmental protection monitoring system.	Long-term, constantly implementation.	Lead agency : The heritage management institutions at all levels; Protection Committee of China Danxia World Nature Heritage others involved:Tourism service operators	none
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms	Criteria vii and viii The worst rainstorm and ice disasters have caused extensive damage to vegetation and give rise to water loss and soil erosion, which have negative effects on the natural beauty and geomorphologic landscape.	To strengthen forecast; To increase corresponding conservation and preventive measures for the key area vulnerable to disasters; To strengthen post-disaster recovery and measures.	monitoring on rainstorms, and carrying out post-disaster evaluation	Long-term, constantly implementation	Lead agency : The heritage management institutions at all levels; Protection Committee of China Danxia World Nature Heritage others involved:Local government related functional departments, such as Meteorological and water conservancy , forestry.	none
3.10.2	Flooding	Criteria vii and viii The worst flooding has caused extensive damage to vegetation and give rise to water loss and soil erosion, which have negative effects on the natural beauty and geomorphologic landscape	To strengthen forecast; To increase corresponding conservation and preventive measures for the key area vulnerable to disasters; To strengthen the management of sub-catchments, and gradually improve the regional environment and climate; To strength	monitoring the conditions of sub-catchments and the key area vulnerable to disasters, and carrying out post-disaster evaluation.	Long-term, constantly implementation.	Lead agency : The local government and related departments such as Meteorological and water conservancy in sub-catchment area others involved:The heritage management institutions at all levels; Protection Committee of China Danxia.	none

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Please select your top management needs in question 4.9 before filling in the summary table.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Some options don't cover all possibility, some options words are fuzzy and difficult to understand.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: It is available in the final decision 34com 8B.1.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: The buffer zone(Chishui - West Section,Chishui - East Section,Taining - North Section,Taining -South Section,Longhushan: Longhushan Section,Longhushan: Guifeng Section) need to be supplemented.they are: 25341; 19473; 4247; 8154; 41030; 18790(ha). the total area should be: 35483; 36695; 9524; 13964; 57980; 21530(ha). The coordinates (longitude / latitude) of Jianglangshan is wrong, which should be 28.529 / 118.56. The total area is wrong. All the datum is submitted in Nomination Text

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The maps have been submitted to World Heritage Centre with the Nomination Text, Appendix 6 – Maps.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or
recommendations related to the Assessment of the
Periodic Reporting exercise**