

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Sanctuaires du grand panda du Sichuan - Wolong, Mt Siguniang et Montagnes de Jiajin

#### Comment

According to 30COM 8B.22, the decisions consider changing the name of the property to "The Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries" from the currently proposed name of: "The Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary: Wolong, Mt Siguniang and Jiajin Mountains";

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

• Chine

#### Type of Property

natural

#### Identification Number

1213

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2006

#### Comment

State(s) Party(ies): The People's Republic of China Type of Property: natural


### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Sanctuaires du grand panda du Sichuan - Wolong, Mt Siguniang et Montagnes de Jiajin	30.833 / 103	924500	527100	1451600	2006
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>924500</b>	<b>527100</b>	<b>1451600</b>	

#### Comment

According to 30COM 8B.22, the decisions suggest us change "Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries - Wolong, Mt Siguniang and Jiajin Mountains" to "Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries".

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map and revised boundary and buffer of WH Nomination Site with Townships and Counties	11/01/2005	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Name: Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development of the People's Republic of China Address: NO. 9 SanLiHe Road, Beijing, China Postcode: 100835 Telephone: +86-10-58933014 Fax: +86-10-58933014 E-mail: zuoxp@mail.cin.gov.cn npo@mail.cin.gov.cn

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Sichuan World Heritage Administrative Office

- Zhang Hu  
Management Office of Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries

#### Comment

Change "Management Office of Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries" to "Sichuan World Heritage Administrative Office"

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Découvrez les photos de OUR PLACE the World Heritage Collection](#)
2. [Le Courrier de l'UNESCO](#)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

There are seven nature reserves (national / province) and nine parks (national / province) in Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries. Please see the detailed description in declaration text of "Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries - Wolong, Mt Siguniang and Jiajin Mountains".

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

It's under preparation

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(x)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary includes more than 30% of the world's population of giant Panda and constitutes the largest and most significant remaining contiguous area of panda habitat in the world. It is the most important source of giant panda for establishing the captive breeding population of the species. The property is also one of the botanically richest sites of any temperate region in the world or indeed anywhere outside of the tropical rain forests.

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

None

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

According to 30COM 8B.22

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)







#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

None

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.1	Water infrastructure						
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities						
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities						
3.3.4	Localised utilities						
3.3.5	Major linear utilities						
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>						
3.4.3	Surface water pollution						
3.4.5	Solid waste						
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>						
3.5.2	Aquaculture						
3.5.3	Land conversion						
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals						
3.5.5	Crop production						
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection						
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production						
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>						
3.9.1	Illegal activities						
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
3.10.1	Storms						
3.10.2	Flooding						
3.10.3	Drought						
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>						
3.11.2	Earthquake						
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>						
3.12.1	Translocated species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species						
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						

Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside
--------	---	---	--	--	--	---

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.4	<b>Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.5	<b>Interpretative and visitation facilities</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.2	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1	<b>Ground transport infrastructure</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.2.4	<b>Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</b>	restricted	frequent	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.3	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.1	<b>Water infrastructure</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3.4	<b>Localised utilities</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.3.5	<b>Major linear utilities</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.4	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.3	<b>Surface water pollution</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.4.5	<b>Solid waste</b>	restricted	frequent	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.5	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>					
3.5.2	<b>Aquaculture</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.5.4	<b>Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals</b>	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.5.5	<b>Crop production</b>	restricted	frequent	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.5.7	<b>Subsistence wild plant collection</b>	restricted	frequent	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.5.10	<b>Forestry / wood production</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.1	<b>Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.8.6	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	restricted	frequent	insignificant	high capacity	increasing
3.9	<b>Other human activities</b>					
3.9.1	<b>Illegal activities</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.10	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
3.10.1	<b>Storms</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.10.2	<b>Flooding</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.10.3	<b>Drought</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.11	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
3.11.2	<b>Earthquake</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.11.4	<b>Avalanche/ landslide</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.11.5	<b>Erosion and siltation/ deposition</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.12	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>					
3.12.1	<b>Translocated species</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.12.2	<b>Invasive/alien terrestrial species</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.12.3	<b>Invasive / alien freshwater species</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

None

### 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

#### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

##### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

##### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

##### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

##### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

More signs should be set up along the boundary.

#### 4.2. Protective Measures

##### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The property is covered by a range of laws and regulations at national and provincial levels. These include the "Regulations of the People's Republic of China on Nature Reserves" and "Regulations on the Management of Nature Reserves of Sichuan Province". A specific regulation relating to protection of World Heritage in Sichuan Province has been developed, to apply to the property, and this represents the first of its kind in China.

##### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the

##### Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

##### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

##### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

##### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

##### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

None


#### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

##### 4.3.1 - Management System

###### Comment

There is statutory management plan THE WORLD HERITAGE SICHUAN GIANT PANDA SANCTUARY—WOLONG, MT. SIGUNIANG AND JIAJIN MOUNTAINS Overall Management Plan, and it was approved in 2008.

##### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
The World Heritage Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary –Wolong, Mt. Siguniang and Jiajin Mountains	N/A	Disponible	11/01/2005	

##### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

None

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management**

**arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**


Without any significant changes

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0.2%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0.1%
Governmental (National / Federal)	70%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	10%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	15%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0.2%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	2%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	2.5%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Post-Earthquake Assistance for the Field Investigation and Rehabilitation of the Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries (Revised request)	2008	40000.00	
<b>Total</b>		40000	

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

None

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	90%
Part-time	10%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	80%
Seasonal	20%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	99%
Volunteer	1%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

strengthen expertise skills training

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

More than 100 papers published about giant panda sanctuary/habitat from 2006 to 2010, such as "YU Hui, et al. RS-based Analysis on the Impact of Wenchuan Earthquake on the Core Value of World Nature Heritage Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary. Journal of mountain science,8(3),2011. DOI: 10.1007/s11629-011-1006-1".

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent

Local businesses and industries	Average
---------------------------------	---------

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

None

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Static

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

The guide of tourism developing management for Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctaries

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

None

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

None

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

The researches about monitoring system and project plan have been finished, but it's need the setting up of the program by nation.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.5	<b>Interpretative and visitation facilities</b> (X) Disturb the activities of wild animals.	Limit camping	Monitoring the impact of camping on wild animals.	Periodic	Sichuan World Heritage Administrative Office and Administrative Office of 16 property management units	None
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.4	<b>Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</b> (X)	Limit the flow of traffic	No thoroughfare for vehicles in night during giant panda's breeding season	Periodic	Sichuan World Heritage Administrative Office and Administrative Office of 16 property management units	None
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.5	<b>Solid waste</b>					
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>					
3.5.4	<b>Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals</b> (X)	Research on environmental capacity of grasslands and develop grazing control programs	Periodic monitoring	Periodic	Sichuan World Heritage Administrative Office and Administrative Office of 16 property management units	None
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.6	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b> (X)	Limit the flow of tourist	Monitoring the ecological effect of tourism	Periodic	Sichuan World Heritage Administrative Office and Administrative Office of 16 property management units	None
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
3.11.2	<b>Earthquake</b> (X)	Post-disaster rehabilitation and reconstruction	Monitoring the ecological effect of earthquake by RS	Periodic	Sichuan World Heritage Administrative Office and Scientific Institutions	None

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.4.3	<b>The budget is inadequate for management needs</b>	Apply to the provincial and related city (state) government to establish the special funding system of Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries.	2012-2016	Sichuan World Heritage committee	None	

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

Enhance the monitoring and management capacity of national / province parks in property

## 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Implement the monitoring programme as early as possible.

### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

External experts
Advisory bodies

### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

None

### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### • Name of World Heritage Property

Reason for update: According to 30COM 8B.22, the decisions consider changing the name of the property to "The Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries" from the currently proposed name of: "The Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuary: Wolong, Mt Siguniang and Jiajin Mountains";

#### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: It's under preparation

#### • Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: According to 30COM 8B.22, the decisions suggest us change "Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries - Wolong, Mt Siguniang and Jiajin Mountains" to "Sichuan Giant Panda Sanctuaries" .

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or  
recommendations related to the Assessment of the  
Periodic Reporting exercise**

None