

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Kaiping Diaolou and Villages

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• China

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1112

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2007


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Yinglong Lou (at Sanmenli Village) , Chican	22.357 / 112.614	0.048	704.952	705	0
Zili Village and the Fang Clan Watch Tower , Tangkou	22.373 / 112.579	252	988	1240	0
Majianlong Village Cluster , Baihe	22.286 / 112.566	103	417	520	0
Jingjiangli Village , Xiangang	22.264 / 112.521	16.9	628.1	645	0
Total (ha)		371.948	2738.052	3110	

Comment

Name Coordinates (longitude / latitude) Property (ha) Buffer zone (ha) Total (ha) Inscription year Yinglong Lou (at Sanmenli Village) , Chican 22.21/112.36 0.048 704.952 705 2007 Zili Village and the Fang Clan Watch Tower , Tangkou 22.22/ 112.34 252 988 1240 2007 Majianlong Village Cluster , Baihe 22.17/112.33 103 417 520 2007 Jingjiangli Village , Xiangang 22.15/112.31 16.9 628.1 645 2007 Total (ha) 371.948 2738.052 3110

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing the core areas and the buffer zones of the nominated properties	25/09/2006	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Guangdong Cultural Heritage Bureau

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

The Kaiping Protection & Management Office of Diaolou and Villages Tan Weiqiang
1, Guanghua Road, Kaiping, GD, 529300, China.
kaipingdiaolou@yahoo.com.cn (0086-0750) 2263888
(0086-0750) 2263637 Kaiping Cultural Heritage Bureau Jiakai Li
10/F, Govt Bldg, No.1, Guanghua Rd, Kaiping City, Guangdong P.R.C
China Diaolouban@kaiping.gov.cn 0750-2263638
0750-2263637

Comment

Kaiping Cultural Heritage Bureau ,Li Jiakai Kaiping World Heritage Management Centre 10/F, Govt Bldg, No.1, Guanghua Rd, Kaiping City, Guangdong P.R.C
diaolouban@kaiping.gov.cn (0086-0750) 2263888 (0086-0750) 2263637 Kaiping World Heritage Management Centre is the subordinate unit of Kaiping Cultural Heritage Bureau. People's Government of Kaiping city authorized it to manage Kaiping Diaolou and Villages.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in [panophotographies](http://panophotographies.com) - 360 x 180 degree images

Comment

<http://www.kaipingdiaolou.com>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Kaiping Diaolou became State Level Priority Protected Cultural Heritage Site in 2001.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Diaolou and their surrounding villages demonstrate Outstanding Universal Value for their complex and confident fusion between Chinese and western architectural styles, for their final flowering of local tower building traditions, for their completeness and unaltered state resulting from their short life span as fortified dwellings and their comparative abandonment and for harmonious relationship with their agricultural landscape.

Criterion (ii): The Diaolou represent in dramatic physical terms an important interchange of human values - architectural styles brought back from North America by returning Chinese and fused with local rural traditions - within a particular cultural area of the world.

Criterion (iii): The building of defensive towers was a local tradition in the Kaiping area since Ming times in response to local banditry. The nominated Diaolou represent the final flourishing of this tradition, in which the conspicuous wealth of the retuning Chinese contributed to the spread of banditry and their towers were an extreme response.

Criterion (iv): The main towers, with their settings and through their flamboyant display of wealth, are a type of building that reflects the significant role played by émigré Kaiping people in the development of several countries in South Asia, Australasia, and North America, during the late 19th and early 20th centuries, and the continuing links between the Kaiping community and Chinese communities in these parts of the world.

The wholeness and intactness of the nominated properties are evident insofar as all the elements that express their values are still in place; the size of each of the properties is adequate as the features and processes that convey the significance are fully represented in the towers and their surrounding villages of small houses and farmland. The nominated Diaolou, their surrounding village houses, and the agricultural landscape are all authentic, apart from certain houses in Sanmenli Village. Since 2001, all the Diaolou are protected as national monuments under the Law for the Protection of Cultural

Relics, 1982 and also covered by Provincial and Municipal Regulations. A buffer zone has been established. The overall state of conservation of the Diaolou is good; the state of conservation of village houses and the agricultural landscape is reasonable. No extensive conservation works have been undertaken. Nevertheless minor repair works, are carried out where necessary, and inappropriate building interventions have been reversed. A Management Plan for the nominated property has been drawn up by Beijing University under the auspices of the People's Government of Kaiping City. It has been implemented since 2005.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (ii): There are 43 Diaolous in core areas. They are unique examples of a cross-cultural fusion of Chinese and the Western architecture. Criterion (iii): Kaiping Diaolou are more than two storeies, thick walls with loopholes, narrow windows and doors, iron gates behind bars, can prevent and defend bandits and floods. Criterion (iv): Many towers contain the original fittings, furniture, and traditional farming tools, different sorts of documents, and other articles of day-to-day use.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

None

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

None

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

None

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name		Impact		Origin								
3.1		Buildings and Development										
3.1.3 Industrial areas												
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities												
3.3		Services Infrastructures										
3.3.5 Major linear utilities												
3.4		Pollution										
3.4.2 Ground water pollution												
3.4.5 Solid waste												
3.5		Biological resource use/modification										
3.5.2 Aquaculture												
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals												
3.5.5 Crop production												
3.6		Physical resource extraction										
3.6.4 Water (extraction)												
3.7		Local conditions affecting physical fabric										
3.7.1 Wind												
3.7.2 Relative humidity												
3.7.3 Temperature												
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)												
3.8		Social/cultural uses of heritage										
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses												
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage												
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community												
3.10		Climate change and severe weather events										
3.10.1 Storms												
3.13		Management and institutional factors										
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities												
3.13.3 Management activities												
Legend		Current		Potential		Negative		Positive		Inside		Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.3	Industrial areas	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

None

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

None

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Since 2001, all the Diaolou are protected as national monuments under the Law for the Protection of Cultural Relics, 1982 and also covered by Provincial and Municipal Regulations. This protection also extends to cover all contemporary furniture, furnishings, books, archives and ephemera within the buildings that have commemorative, educational or historical value.

The Diaolou and their surrounding villages are protected under Regulations on Local Customs of Cultural Heritage Protection Zones. These regulations are established by the villagers jointly for common endorsement and observance, and agreed by voting at public meetings. These regulations were established in 2003 for each of the villages. They put all buildings, flood defence facilities, trees, bamboo and grassland under protection and official management of village leaders.

Management arrangements for all communal elements of the landscape are to be put in place. Any rebuilding or alteration to buildings needs permission in advance and must comply with agreed design guidance. Non-compliance may bring fines or the destruction of buildings.

The areas are surrounded by buffer zones which include large areas of agricultural landscape. The buffer zone does not however extend to cover what might be considered the entire setting of the Diaolou towers which would include the hills surrounding some of the villages which provide forests and grazing for animals. The wider visual setting of the villages (acknowledged in the nomination as being as beautiful as a painting) thus remains to some extent vulnerable.

Comment

Since 2001, Kaiping Diaolou are protected as the State Level Priority Protected Cultural Heritage Site under the Law for the Protection of Cultural Relics, 1982. Zili Village was announced to be the National-level historical and cultural city by MOC and State Administration of Cultural Heritage in 2005.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

None

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

A Management Plan for the nominated property has been drawn up by Beijing University under the auspices of the People's Government of


Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Kaiping City. It was implemented in 2005. The objectives of the Plan cover the Diaolou, the villages and their setting. The implementation of the Plan is through the Kaiping Protection and Management Office of Diaolou and Villages established in 2000. It has 15 full-time staff of which 80% are degree holders in the fields of history, architecture, conservation and maintenance. There are 300 Diaolou keepers working in the villages who all received training before starting work. Allied to this Office is the Kaiping Diaolou Research Department established in 2004 to undertake research on the background history and culture of overseas Chinese and to promote the culture of Diaolou and their villages. The Kaiping Protection and Management Office of Diaolou and Villages is fully integrated into, and gets support from, the National protection system through the State Cultural Relics Bureau, the provincial Government through the Cultural Bureau of Guangdong Province, and the city level through the Kaiping Cultural Bureau. It also works closely with Management Offices established at village level who appoint Diaolou Protectors and Security Personnel.

Comment

All functions of the Protection and Management Office of Kaiping Diaolou and Villages have been transferred to Kaiping Cultural Heritage Bureau. Kaiping Cultural Heritage Bureau has signed agreements with the World Heritage users to further urge them to comply with conservation obligations of the World Heritage. Kaiping World Heritage Management Centre (the same department as Kaiping Diaolou Research Department) is the subordinate unit of Kaiping Cultural Heritage Bureau.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Protection and Management Plan on Kaiping Diaolou and Villages (extracts)	N/A	Available	13/01/2006	

Comment

According to the decision of the WHC-07/31.COM/8B, Protection and Management Plan on Kaiping Diaolou and Villages need to be revised. It will be reported to the Centre of World Heritage after passing. Provincial Regulations of Protecting Kaiping Diaolou of Guangdong Province was announced by People's Government of Guangdong Province in 2002, and it was revised in 2006. The provisional Regulations on the Protection and Management of Cultural Heritage Kaiping Diaolou and Villages, Jun.4th,2008.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

Section II - Kaiping Diaolou and Villages (1112)

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The existing management system/plan needs continuous improvement in the management.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No change.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Governmental (National / Federal)	20%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	30%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	30%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	3%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	17%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

not applicable

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

None

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	15%
Part-time	85%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	95%
Seasonal	5%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	94%
------	-----

Section II - Kaiping Diaolou and Villages (1112)

Volunteer	6%
-----------	----

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

None

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Wu Jiuliang Investigation and Analysis of Touristic Resources of Kaiping Diaolou Qinghua University Press•2004. Zhang Guoxiong & Tan Weiqiang Kaiping Diaolou Guangdong People's Publishing House•2005 . Mei Weiqiang Collective Letters Connect Five continents 2007. Huang jiye& Tan Weiqiang The Road of Inscription Zhuhai Press•2007. <http://www.kptour.com/list.php?fid=27-page-1.htm>

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

It is necessary to further strengthen the international cooperative research.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

Section II - Kaiping Diaolou and Villages (1112)

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Excellent

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

None

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Tourist Notice The Provisional Regulations on the Protection and Management of Cultural Heritage Kaiping Diaolou and Villages

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

None

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Excellent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Excellent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

The implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee are helpful to the protection and management of the World Heritage. They are also helpful to maintain the authenticity and integrity of the World Heritage.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

None

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.3	Industrial areas	The industrial area in the buffer zone of Jingjiangli Village has the influence on the World Heritage criteria ii and criteria iv . It may cause pollution to the world heritage site. It will affect the natural and man-made environment.	Strengthen urban planning and the coordination of different departments. Control the industrial area according to the World Heritage Convention.Confine construction activities strictly.Forbid the pollution industries to enter the protected areas.	Control the industrial area strictly according to the Protection and Management plan on Kaiping Diaolou and Villages. Enhance the inspections and daily supervision and management. Limit the height, dimension, materials, colors, criteria and scale.	In 5 years.	Kaiping Cultural Heritage Bureau Kaiping World Heritage Management Centre	No comment.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	It has the influence on the Criterion (ii) and Criterion (iii) . harmful to the beneficial insects and fish, to the metal fabric, and can damage the movable heritage, eg. books.	Open and close doors and windows according to the humidity. Strengthen the routine maintenance .	Strengthen the inspection and monitoring. Solve the problems timely.	Every spring and early summer from 2007 to 2011.	Kaiping Cultural Heritage Bureau Kaiping World Heritage Management Centre	No comment.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Increase the number the management workers. Attract qualified personnel in all aspects to improve the quality of management. Increase in the preparation of the professional staffs.	From 2011 to 2015.	Kaiping Cultural Heritage Bureau Kaiping World Heritage Management Centre	No comment.	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Improve the management of World Heritage, and make the authenticity, integrity and outstanding Universal Value of World Heritage be maintained permanently.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The support from the government ,the participation of the public and research institutions, and the consummation of the management institutions are the crucial to protect the World Heritage.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
Indigenous peoples
Local community
Donors
External experts
Advisory bodies
Others

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

None

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: Name Coordinates (longitude / latitude) Property (ha) Buffer zone (ha) Total (ha)
 Inscription year Yinglong Lou (at Sanmenli Village) , Chican 22.21/112.36 0.048 704.952 705 2007 Zili Village and the Fang Clan Watch Tower , Tangkou 22.22/ 112.34 252 988 1240 2007 Majianlong Village Cluster , Baihe 22.17/112.33 103 417 520 2007

Jingjiangli Village , Xiangang 22.15/112.31 16.9 628.1
645 2007 Total (ha) 371.948 2738.052 3110

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

The process of periodic reports helps World Heritage management agencies know more about the World Heritage Convention and the property's Outstanding Universal Value. And it is conducive to the protection and management of World Heritage. It also helps maintain the authenticity and integrity of World Heritage.