

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Summer Palace, an Imperial Garden in Beijing

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

•China

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

880

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Summer Palace, an Imperial Garden in Beijing	39.911 / 116.141	0	0	0	1998
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

the updated data will be available on the retrospective inventory which is to be submitted soon.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Protective buffer zones around the Summer Palace	04/09/1997	

Comment

the retrospective inventory will be submitted to the world heritage centre before February 1 2012

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Beijing Municipal Administration of Cultural Heritage No.36 Fuxue Hutong, Dongcheng District, Beijing Tel:010__64032023 Fax:010__64074377 E-mail:das@bjww.gov.cn

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

•Kan Yue

The Management Office of the Summer Palace under Beijing Municipal Administration Center of Parks

Comment

Telephone (86)010-62881610

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

Comment

<http://www.summerpalace-china.com/>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The SOUV has been submitted to the world heritage committee for examination before February 2011

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(i):The Summer Palace is a large landscape garden mainly consisting of the Longevity Hill, the Kunming Lake and a number of palace architectures. (ii): the design concept of the garden, the formulation of the Kunming Lake. (iii): The architectures, lake, corridor, colour painting, vegetation of the garden. The Summer Palace epitomizes practice of Chinese garden design.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

none

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No comment

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

legal factor: lack of relevant legal provision.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact					Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.3 Industrial areas						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.2.5 Underground transport infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.3 Surface water pollution						
3.4.4 Air pollution						
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.4 Water (extraction)						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind						
3.7.2 Relative humidity						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7 Pests						
3.7.8 Micro-organisms						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.3 Drought						
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
3.12.3 Invasive / alien freshwater species						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						

Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside
--------	---------	-----------	----------	----------	--------	---------

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1 Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.3	Industrial areas	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution						
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	decreasing
3.4.4	Air pollution	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	low capacity	decreasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	decreasing
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

none

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

To better maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value, we suggest that the World Heritage committee draw up and provide the scientific standard for the boundaries of the buffer zone of the world Heritage property.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Summer Palace is protected by various legislative measures, notably the 1982 Law on Protection of Cultural Relics (amended 1991); this is elaborated in the 1992 Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the Law of the People's Republic of China on Protection of Cultural Relics. Certain provisions of the Laws on Environmental Protection and on City Planning are also applicable. Violations are punishable under the provisions of the Criminal Law of the People's Republic of China.

On 4 March 1961 the Summer Palace was included by the State Council of the People's Republic of China on the first group of important monuments and sites to be protected at national level. It had already been declared a key cultural monument under protection by the Beijing National Government on 20 October 1957.

At municipal level, the Regulations of Beijing Municipality of the Protection of Cultural Relics (1987) reinforce the national protection. In 1987 the Summer Palace was specifically mentioned and its boundaries defined in the Notice of Beijing Municipal Government on Endorsing the Report submitted by the Municipal Bureau of Construction Planning and the Bureau of Cultural Relics concerning the Delimitation of Protection Ranges and Construction Control Areas of the Second Group of 120 Cultural Relics under Protection (1987).

Comment

The Summer Palace, the State Priority Protected Cultural Heritage of China, is protected by various legislative measures, notably the 1982 Law on Protection of Cultural Relics (amended 2007); this is elaborated in the 1992 Operational Guidelines and in the 2003 Operational Regulations for the Implementation of the Law of the People's Republic of China on Protection of Cultural Relics.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

none

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Garden is owned by the People's Republic of China. Overall management is the responsibility of the State Bureau of Cultural Relics and the Ministry of Construction of the People's Republic of China. At local level, management is in the hands of the Summer Palace Management Office (created in 1949), an agency of the Beijing Municipal Bureau of Parks and Gardens, which works in collaboration with the Municipal Bureau of Cultural Relics. The Management Office, which employs over 1500 people, 70% of them professional and technical staff, has a number of departments covering, *inter alia*, relics protection, gardening, park administration, construction and protection, and archives.

The Management Office has a general management plan which includes a number of management rules and regulations for different aspects of its work. These measures are kept under constant review. The plan provides for the active involvement of three centres, for computer networks, research and training, working in collaboration.

Comment

under Beijing Municipal Administration Center of Parks

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The Law of the People's Republic of China on the Protection of Cultural Relics (amended 2007). The Operational Regulations for the Implementation of the Law of the People's Republic of China on Protection of Cultural Relics. Stipulations of Beijing Municipal Government on Strictly Controlling the Construction Projects in the Vicinity of the Summer Palace and the relics Garden of Yuan Ming Yuan. The Rules and Regulations of the Management Office of the Summer Palace.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair

Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

will play a more active role on the regional contact and cooperation for the World Heritage management.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No significant changes in the legal status and other aspects.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	29.53%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	70.47%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

not applicable

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Recently, there are two problems. 1.funding sources are limited (e.g. no multilateral funding or International donations, etc) 2.The monitoring equipment and facilities of the World Heritage are inadequate and below optimum to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
-------------------------	------

Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Non-existent
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Non-existent
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Not available
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Increasing human resources and providing more training opportunities for management needs.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

The record of the construction of the Summer Palace-- the Hall of Dispelling Clouds, the Tower of Fragrance of Buddha, and Long Corridor Author: The Management Office of the Summer Palace under Beijing Municipal Administration Center of Parks Date: 9, 2006 Tianjin University Press, Architectural Creation Magazine Company

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

We will gradually improve scientific studies and research projects.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate

Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Improving presentation and interpretation of this World Heritage.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

no comment

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management

needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

no comment

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

1. plan to set up a secretary allied agencies for monitoring. 2. the administrative agency plan to make full-time staff in monitoring. 3. the administrative agency plan to monitor the site systematically .

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	Visual pollution caused by the modern construction.	Strengthen planning, legislation and Coordination.	Staffs go around and inspect, and take pictures for record.	2011-2015	State Administration of Cultural Heritage, Beijing Municipal Government, Beijing Municipal Administration of Cultural Heritage, The Management Office of the Summer Palace	no comment
3.1.3	Industrial areas	As industrial area developed, visual pollution caused by the increasing number of skyscrapers.	Strengthen planning, legislation and Coordination.	Staffs go around and inspect, and take pictures for record.	2011-2015	State Administration of Cultural Heritage, Beijing Municipal Government, Beijing Municipal Administration of Cultural Heritage, The Management Office of the Summer Palace	Suggest that limit the increase of tall buildings in the industrial area.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Lakes in the property are polluted by the air pollution and acid rain.	Ask government to reduce the number of polluting factories in the surrounding area.	Regularly exam water quality	2011-2015	Local government	The government should be strengthened air pollution control. The water quality is improved. .
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts						

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	The Government and the heritage property are making more propaganda about buffer zones.	2011-2013	Local government and the Administration Office of the Summer Palace.	No comments.		
4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	strengthen the communication and collaboration with relevant industry for conservation of the cultural heritage	2011-2015	The Management Office of the Summer Palace under Beijing Municipal Administration Center of Parks	no comment		
4.4 Financial and Human Resources							
4.4.13	Promotion	The government and the World Heritage property gradually added more budget and funds to protect the Heritage.	2011-2015	Government and the Management Office of the Summer Palace under Beijing Municipal Administration Center of Parks	Suggest introducing and cultivating more talents related to the protection of the Heritage.		

4.4.15	No capacity development plan or programme is in place	some capacity development plan and programme are in place. The draft of the Conservation Master Plan has been completed and is in the process of reviewing and approval.	The master plan will be submitted to the World Heritage Centre when it is ready. 2005.-2025	The Management Office of the Summer Palace under Beijing Municipal Administration Center of Parks	no comment
4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects					
4.5.3	No active outreach of research results to national or international agencies	Strengthen scientific studies and research projects.	2011-2015	The Management Office of the Summer Palace under Beijing Municipal Administration Center of Parks	Pay more attention on research.
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	Gradually establish the system for monitoring.	No timeframe	The Management Office of the Summer Palace under Beijing Municipal Administration Center of Parks	1. Suggest to set up a secretary allied agencies for monitoring. 2. To make full-time staff in monitoring. 3. Systematically to monitor the World Heritage.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Visual pollution is caused by the modern construction(more and more bulidings).Suggest that the government utilize management function outside the World Heritage property.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

1.Suggest that the government makes the law to control the construction inside the buffer zone, and increase funds on protection of the World Heritage. 2. Help and direct the monitoring of the World Heritage.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Add more options properly.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The SOUV has been submitted to the world heritage committee for examination before February 2011

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: the updated data will be available on the retrospective inventory which is to be submitted soon.

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: the retrospective inventory will be submitted to the world heritage centre before February 1 2012

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No Comment.