

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Mount Emei Scenic Area, including Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

• China

#### Type of Property

mixte

#### Identification Number

779

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1996



### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mount Emei Scenic Area, Emeishan City, Sichuan Province, China	29.732 / 103.361	0	0	0	1996
Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area, Leshan City, Sichuan Province, China	29.545 / 103.773	0	0	0	1996
<b>Total (ha)</b>			<b>0</b>		

#### Comment

According to the Nomination Document of Mount Emei Scenic Area, including Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area in 1995, the area of the property is 15,400ha and the buffer zone is 46,900ha.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Topographic Sketch of the Natural Resources Protection of Mt. Emei Scenic	15/09/1995	
Leshan: the Giant Buddha Scenic Area	15/09/1995	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Name: Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development of the People's Republic of China Address: NO. 9 SanLiHe Road, Beijing, China Postcode: 100835 Telephone: +86-10-58933014 Fax: +86-10-58933014 E-mail: zuoxp@mail.cin.gov.cn npo@mail.cin.gov.cn Website: http://www.cin.gov.cn/

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Xu Ke

Administration Committee of Mount Emei and Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [1001wonders.org](http://1001wonders.org) : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images

2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

3. [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

In 1982, Mount Emei Scenic Area, including Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area was listed as a national park.

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

It has been submitted to WHC.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)(vi)(x)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

It has been submitted to WHC.

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

None.

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.1 Water infrastructure							
3.3.4 Localised utilities							
3.3.5 Major linear utilities							
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>						
3.4.3 Surface water pollution							
3.4.4 Air pollution							
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>						
3.5.2 Aquaculture							
3.5.3 Land conversion							
3.5.5 Crop production							
3.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection							
3.5.10 Forestry /wood production							
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.2 Relative humidity							
3.7.7 Pests							
3.7.8 Micro-organisms							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>						
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
3.10.1 Storms							
3.10.2 Flooding							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>						
3.11.2 Earthquake							
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition							
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>						
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
3.12.3 Invasive / alien freshwater species							
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3 Management activities							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
<b>3.1 Buildings and Development</b>					
<b>3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
<b>3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
<b>3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure</b>	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	increasing
<b>3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</b>	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	increasing
<b>3.3 Services Infrastructures</b>					
<b>3.3.1 Water infrastructure</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.3.4 Localised utilities</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.3.5 Major linear utilities</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	increasing
<b>3.4 Pollution</b>					
<b>3.4.3 Surface water pollution</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.4.4 Air pollution</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
<b>3.5 Biological resource use/modification</b>					
<b>3.5.2 Aquaculture</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.5.5 Crop production</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.2 Relative humidity</b>	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.7.8 Micro-organisms</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
<b>3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
<b>3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	extensive	frequent	minor	high capacity	increasing
<b>3.9 Other human activities</b>					
<b>3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage</b>	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

None

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

None

## 4.2. Protective Measures

### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

#### Comment

The site was listed as National Park in 1982 under the management by the the Regulations on Scenery Areas (Fengjing mingshengqu tiaoli) issued by the central government, the Regulations on World Heritage Protection of Sichuan Province (Sichuansheng shijie yichan baohu tiaoli) and the Regulations on Scenery Areas of Sichuan Province (Sichuansheng fengjing mingshengqu tiaoli) issued by the provincial government.

### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

None

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

Management and protection of the property are the responsibility of the Mount Emei Administration, which is an agency of Emeishan City. There are four branch administrative offices (Baoguo Temple, Jiluaodong, Wannlan Temple, Golden Summit) that are responsible for executive management and implementation of the protection and preservation plans. Overall supervision at central level is exercised by the Ministry of construction of the People's Republic of China, and provincial level by the Sichuan Provincial construction Commission.

#### Comment

Management and protection of the property are the responsibility of the Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area, with 27 sectors has been established in order to protect and manage the site. Overall supervision at central level is exercised by the Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development of the People's Republic of China.

### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

#### Comment

The Revised Master Plan for the Mount Emei Scenic Area and the Leshan Giant Plan Buddha Scenic Area (Emeishan, Leshan fengjingqu zongti guihua) has provided legal basis and policy framework for the site management and conservation.

### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Good

### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer

### zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Under the management system, the construction of managing system and ability are being enhanced in the property with good results.

### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

None

## 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	100%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

#### Comment

none.

### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	70%
Part-time	30%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	80%
Seasonal	20%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	95%
Volunteer	5%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	High
Conservation	Medium

Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Technical trainings should be carried out for the managing authority of the property continuously as before in order to enhance the entire quality.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the World Heritage property is **not sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of**

**the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

Great attention have been paid to the education, information and awareness building of the property and are being enhanced continuously.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

Handbook for Tourist Complaint.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

It is suggested that education and promotion on the knowledge of world heritage be enhanced all around the society, keeping them involved in the protection of the property while enjoying it.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
--	---------

Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is underway

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.1 Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Negative Impact on Biological Diversity around the construction area for accommodation	Developing plans for the accommodation area, evaluating the impact on the environment and monitoring the construction projects	Monitoring the construction scale and reducing the number of construction projects	Annually assessment	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	Identifying the carrying capacity of the site in the Conservation Plan
<b>3.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Negative Impact on vegetations around the road and parking areas	Developing Plans for routes of the roads, the location and capacity of parking	Examining the total amount and the scale	Annually assessment	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	none
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Some impact on the air quality of the heritage site and slight impact on its biological diversity	Using Clean-Energy vehicles for management; controlling other vehicles to enter into the heritage site	Monitoring and managing the vehicles to enter in the heritage site	on a regular basis	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	none
<b>3.4 Pollution</b>							
3.4.4	Air pollution	Some impact on the air quality of the heritage site and slight impact on its biological diversity	Using clean energy vehicles for management; regular watering to reduce dust	All tour buses must use clean energy.	on a regular basis	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	none
<b>3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms						
<b>3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	The tourists at the site will have slight negative impact on the conservation of historical and cultural relics.	Planning and Dividing sightseeing area and protection area; controlling the increasing commercial activities in the heritage site	Identifying the carrying capacity of the site and examining the business activities	Regular management	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	none

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	Announcing the boundaries of the Heritage property	on a regular basis	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	none	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Announcing the area of buffer zone	on a regular basis	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	none	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	Increasing facilities and equipment	Long term management	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	none	
<b>4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>						
4.5.1	Knowledge about the property is insufficient	Training staffs specialized on heritage conservation and management	on a regular basis	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	none	

4.5.3	No active outreach of research results to national or international agencies	Enhancing the communication with other academic institutions	on a regular basis	Management Committee of the Mt. Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	none.
-------	--	--	--------------------	--	-------

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

The conservation and restoration plan is performed with very strict standard and hence effectively maintains the Outstanding Universal Value of the heritage site with its authenticity and integrity.

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Positive

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

##### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: It has been submitted to WHC.

##### • Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: According to the Nomination Document of Mount Emei Scenic Area, including Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area in 1995, the area of the property is 15,400ha and the buffer zone is 46,900ha.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or  
recommendations related to the Assessment of the  
Periodic Reporting exercise**