

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Lushan National Park

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• China

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

778

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1996


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Lushan National Park	29.433 / 115.867	0	0	0	1996
Total (ha)		0			

Comment

Property: 30200 ha Buffer zone; 50000 ha The detailed information will be submitted to the World Heritage Centre as requested in the retrospective inventory before 1 February 2012.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map of Lushan scenic district	15/08/1995	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Zheng Xiang
Lushan National Park

Comment

No. 2 Huiyuan Rd., the Administrative Bureau of Mt. Lushan Scenic and Historic Interest Region, Lushan, Jiangxi, P.R. China

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. Patrimonium-mundi.org : visit this site in panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images

Comment

<http://www.china-lushan.com/>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

1.Global Geopark under the UNESCO network, 2004 2. national park, 1982

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

the retrospective statement of outstanding universal value has been submitted to the world heritage committee for examination before February 2011

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criteria ii: more than 600 well preserved western style villas
Criteria iii: archaeological properties and stone inscriptions
Criteria iv: a group of ancient architecture in White Deer Cave Academy, the resort villas and churches on the mountain, the beautiful landscape of Mount Lushan, the perfect integration of the historic buildings and the environment. Criteria vi: Pure Land Sect in the East Grove Temple (Dong Lin Temple), LiXue Study founded in White Deer Cave Academy

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

At the time when Mt. Lushan National Park started the application for the world heritage enlisting, it was in 1996. Related documents was not so systematic and perfect. Meanwhile Mt. Lushan was applying World Natural and Cultural Heritage. and finally being approved as the 1st World Cultrual Landscape in China, the related requirements and understanding were not fully developed yet.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No Comment

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

manangement activity: In 2010, Workshop on the resotration of the old villas in Mt. Lushan supported by UNESCO, Beijing Office was held. 7 specialists on colonial construction are invited to this workshop. Positive suggestions were received and will be used on the future restoration on the villas.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7 Pests						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.1 Translocated species						
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development				
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure				
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	frequent	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric				
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.10.2	Flooding	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.10.6	Temperature change	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing

byelaws, regulations, and administrative decrees also have functions in the overall protection.

Comment

The Villa Ensemble and Original Building for Lushan Meeting in Mt. Lushan have been designated as the State Priority Protected Cultural Heritage Property. The protection and restoration of the cultural heritage sites have been under the framework of Law on the Protection of Cultural Relics and its Regulations. the word "byelaws" should be changed into "bylaws".

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

none

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The area that is the subject of this nomination is the property of the People's Republic of China. Its management and protection are the responsibility of the Administrative Bureau of Lushan National Park, with a staff of 630 scientists and technicians specializing in scientific research, technical development, and management of scenic gardening, nature protection, and protection of cultural properties. The Bureau works under the guidance of the Jiangxi Provincial Bureau of Construction.

Management follow strictly the central government's Overall Plan for Scenic Places. The provisions of this plan include the formulation of

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Thouderstorm and Typhoon are the main negative climate factors. Sometimes when the rain is too heavy, it might cause landslide.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

none

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The State Council of the People's Republic of China declared Lushan to be one of the major places of scenic and historic interest in the country in 1982, under the provision of Article 9 of the Constitution, which states that "Mineral resources, waters, forests, mountains, grasslands, unreclaimed land, beaches, and other natural resources are owned by the State."

Various Chinese statutes provide the legislative protection for the Park and its components. These include laws on forestry, environmental protection, and the protection of cultural relics. Other local laws,

local regulations and plans, coordination of activities, and comprehensive management. Strict control is exercised over the numbers of permanent residents and all immigration is prohibited. Visitor numbers and access is controlled and plans are being prepared for greater dispersion of visitors. New construction projects within the Park will be strictly controlled, and their planning and design will be subject to approval and authorization. Tourist developments will be planned so as to avoid impact on natural and cultural values.

Comment

Updated number of staff: 762 scientists and technicians. The protection and restoration of the cultural heritage sites have been under the framework of Law on the Protection of Cultural Relics and its Regulations. The restoration projects and the new construction work in the construction-restricted area of the property must gain the permission of the related authorities for cultural heritage such as State Administration of Cultural Heritage, Jiangxi Provincial Administration of Cultural Heritage

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

1. 1996 Jiangxi Province Lushan Scenic and Historic Interest Area Management Regulations 2. 2009 Mt. Lushan plan and its carrying out, resources protection report was promulgated. 3. 2010 Mt. Lushan protection and management of the heritage introduction and report was promulgated. 4. China Principal for Conservation of Cultural Heritage 5.. Rules on the Formulation and Review of the Conservation Plan for State Priority Protected Cultural Heritage Properties

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

none

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There is no significant change since the inscription.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0.15%
Governmental (National / Federal)	16.85%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	4.5%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	23%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	55%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0.5%
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

There is no international assistance received from the World Heritage Fund. But there is international assistance with 100,000 USD received from World Cultural Landscape Heritage Programme by UNESCO Beijing Office in 2009.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

none

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	80%
Part-time	20%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	95%
Volunteer	5%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good

Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	Medium
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

none

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1. General Impression of the villas in Mt.Lushan, by Luo shi xu,2005,Chinese Construction Publishing House 2. The Investigation and Research on the Bio-diversity of Mt.Lushan Natural Protected Zone, by Liu Xin Zhong, 2010, China Science Publishing House 3. Modern Lushan Architecture, by Luo Qi, 2008, Chinese Construction Publishing House

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

none

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate

Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

none

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Jiangxi Province Lushan Scenic and Historic Interest Area Management Regulations Mt. Lushan Conservation Master Plan

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

none

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Excellent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

none

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

There are 35 monitoring cameras working 24 hours in the scenic spots and in the pathways.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Criteria iv: the beautiful landscape of Mount Lushan, the perfect integration of the historic buildings and the environment.	Environmentally friendly buses project has been started. All the tourists need to take the public environmentally friendly buses for their sightseeing. The aim of the project is to reduce the air pollution from the traffic vehicles.	Transportation department has the controlling bar inspection booth in at everywhere entrance. Both manual inspection and automatic monitoring are used.	The environment buses project started from 2009. The car parking limit project started (from 2011., (tourist buses are forbidden to go up to the mountain and can only be parked at the foot of the mountain in the buffer zone.)	The transportation department of Mt. Lushan. These two projects greatly helped to reduce the cars and buses number in the property, and reduced the air pollution and the noise in the property.
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Criteria iv: the beautiful landscape of Mount Lushan, the perfect integration of the historic buildings and the environment.	Environmentally friendly buses project has been started. All the tourists need to take the public environmentally friendly buses for their sightseeing. The aim of the project is to reduce the air pollution from the traffic vehicles.	Transportation department has the controlling bar inspection booth at in everywhere entrance. Both manual inspection and automatic monitoring are used.	The environment bus project started from 2009. The car parking limit project started (from 2011., (tourist buses are forbidden to go up to the mountain and can only be parked at the foot of the mountain in the buffer zone.)	The transportation department of Mt. Lushan. These two projects greatly helped to reduce the cars and buses number in the property, and reduced the air pollution and the noise in the property.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criteria ii: more than 600 well preserved western style villas Criteria iii: archaeological properties and stone inscriptions Criteria iv: a group of ancient architecture in White Deer Cave Academy, the resort villas and churches on the mountain	precautions such as strengthening the slopes are made to reduce the impact from heavy rain which might sometimes cause the mud slide and collapse. Once the mud slide or the collapse happened, the emergency group would also be on the site to help.	24 hours automatic monitoring	Since 2005	the Department of Land and Resources the actions help greatly to reduce the impact of the rain.
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change					
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	Criteria ii: more than 600 well preserved western style villas Criteria iii: archaeological properties and stone inscriptions Criteria iv: a group of ancient architecture in White Deer Cave Academy, the resort villas and churches on the mountain	precaution step and emergency event plans are made each year according to the latest local geology and climate situation.	Monitoring cameras are placed in the spots. 24 hours automatic monitoring.	Each year.	Department of Land and Resources. the steps are effectively working.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	Specialists are invited to give lectures on the World Heritage property. During the low season in winter, different courses are arranged for residents, and communities.	every winter	World Heritage Office of Mt. Lushan and Tourism Bureau of Mt. Lushan	The activity helped people to understand better the property's OUV.
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Specialists are invited to give lectures on the World Heritage property. During the low season in winter, different courses are arranged for local residents, and communities.	every winter	World Heritage Office of Mt. Lushan and Tourism Bureau of Mt. Lushan	The activity helped people to understand better the property's OUV.
4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	All the management plan and regulations are strictly followed. Biological tea garden is in operation.	since 1996	Lushan Tea Research Institute	In the property of Mt. Lushan, there is no industry, no farming. Only some tea plantation.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

none

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Very positive

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

none

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Indigenous peoples
Local community

External experts
Advisory bodies
Others

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

no comment

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: the retrospective statement of outstanding universal value has been submitted to the world heritage committee for examination before February 2011

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Property: 30200 ha Buffer zone; 50000 ha The detailed information will be submitted to the World Heritage Centre as requested in the retrospective inventory before 1 February 2012.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

none