

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Ensemble of the Potala Palace, Lhasa

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• China

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

707ter

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1994, 2000, 2001


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Potala Palace, Lhasa , Tibet Autonomous Region , China	0 / 0	13	0	13	1994
Jokhang Temple Monastery, Lhasa , Tibet Autonomous Region , China	0 / 0	7.5	130	137.5	2000
Norbulingka, Lhasa , Tibet Autonomous Region , China	0 / 0	40	68.8	108.8	2001
Total (ha)		60.5	198.8	259.3	

Comment

Name Coordinates (longitude / latitude) Property (ha) Buffer zone (ha) Total (ha) Inscription year
 Potala Palace north latitude 29°7', east longitude 91°2' 33.31 74.08 107.39 1994
 Jokhang Temple Monastery north latitude 29°39'10", east longitude 91°07'50" 7.5 130 137.5 2000
 Norbulingka north latitude 29°39'10", east longitude 90°07'40" 40 68.8 108.8 2000

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Chart of location relationship of Norbulingka and Jokhang Monastery with the Potala Palace	30/06/2000	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Organization: Administration of Cultural Heritage of Tibetan Autonomous Region
 Address: Norbulinka Road, Lhasa City, Tibet Autonomous Region
 Tel: 0891-6821095 Fax: 0891-6826332

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Da Qiong
 Administrative Office of Potala Palace

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [Patrimonium-mundi.org : visit this site in panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images](http://Patrimonium-mundi.org)
- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

The State Priority Protected Cultural Heritage sites.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The retrospective statement of OUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Center for examination before February 1st, 2011.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

I, IV: The three-in-one historic ensemble of the Potala Palace, with Potala the palace-fort complex, Norbulingka the garden residence and the Jokhang Temple Monastery the temple architecture, for its design, its decoration and its harmonious setting within a dramatic landscape, is an outstanding example of traditional Tibetan architecture. VI: Its landmark image, setting and all its symbolic associations as a historic, religious and cultural center of the region

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

no comment

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

no comment

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

none

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact					Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4 Air pollution						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7 Pests						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.4	Pollution				
3.4.4 Air pollution	extensive	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric				
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7.7 Pests	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage				
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

no comment

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

no comment

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

In accordance with Article 9 of the Law on the Protection of Cultural Relics of the People's Republic of China (1982), the protection zone of the Potala Palace has been defined, with the approval of the Regional Government, and registered with the State cultural and administrative departments. No construction is allowed within the protection zone without the approval of the appropriate agencies at Provincial and State level. The monument was on the first list of national protected monuments designated by the State in 1961.

Under the provisions of the Law on Protection of Cultural Relics, the Jokhang Temple Monastery was a major site to be protected for its historical and cultural value by the State Council of the PRC in 1961. In addition, the property is protected by the Criminal Law of the PRC. The

Regulations of the Tibet Autonomous Region on the Protection of Cultural Relics also apply to the Jokhang Temple Monastery.

The site of Norbulingka is in the ownership of the People's Republic of China. It was designated a major site of protection for its historical and cultural value, at the national level, on 13 January 1988. Protection is thus subject to the laws and regulations of the People's Republic of China (especially the Law on the Protection of Cultural Heritage), and the Regulations of Tibet Autonomous Region on the Protection and Management of Cultural Heritage. The principal protection area is defined by the surrounding wall of Norbulingka; the area within 200m from this wall is a construction-control zone. Funds are allocated yearly for maintenance and protection.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

no comment

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Potala Palace is administered by the Potala Palace Management Department of the Tibet Autonomous Region's Bureau of Culture.

The Jokhang Temple Monastery is managed according to an integrated set of rules relating to the conservation and protection of the Barkhok Street area of Lhasa. There is a Detailed Plan for this area, promulgated in 1992, which is integrated into the Urban Plan for Lhasa City. The area of 7.5ha surrounding the Temple Monastery, which constitutes the

buffer zone, is under the highest level of protection, and no interventions are permitted that may affect the setting of the monument. Beyond this are three further zones of protection covering 130ha, in all of which controls are in force to prevent undesirable or inappropriate construction or demolition. Permission must be obtained from the relevant authority for activities coming within the scope of the protection regulations.

Overall responsibility for conservation is vested in the State Administration for Cultural Heritage of the PRC, working in cooperation with the State Bureau of Religious Affairs. Within the Tibet Autonomous Region responsibility is with the corresponding bodies: Bureau of Culture Affairs, Administration of Cultural heritage, Management Committee of National and Religious Affairs, and Bureau of Construction. They in turn work through the appropriate agencies and bureaux of Lhasa City administration.

The management of Norbulingka is in the hands of the State Administration of Cultural Heritage of the People's Republic of China, the Department of Culture of the Tibet Autonomous Region, and the Administration of Cultural Heritage of the Tibet Autonomous Region. Management is exercised within the framework of two master plans, the Urban Plan of Lhasa and the Norbulingka Protection Plan. Local government and residents are not allowed to undertake any construction, renovation, or addition within the protective zone. In exceptional cases, if any change is required, authorization is given by the relevant authorities after an opinion by experts.

There is a management office on the site, also responsible for visitor management and tour guides. The number of visitors is increasing, reaching 100,000 in 1999. The site is located in a new urban area of Lhasa, where there are several hotels and visitor services.

Comment

no comment

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Conservation Law of the People's Republic of China, Tibet Autonomous Region Cultural Relics Management Ordinance. The Potala Palace Management Ordinance

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and many activities are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good

Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have some input into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to some decisions relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but little or no cooperation with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comment.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

None.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	39.7%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	60%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0.3%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

not applicable

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

no comment

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	59%
Part-time	41%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

none

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Map of Chinese Cultural Relics-Tibet Volume. 2011.4 Tibet Autonomous Region of Cultural Notes. 2010.2

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

none

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate

Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Respect for traditional culture is one principle for education and awareness building.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Tibet Autonomous Region Cultural Relics Management Regulations. Potala Palace Management Regulations.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

no comment

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

no comment

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

no comment

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	Criteria IV, the surface of the ancient buildings, the wall paintings, the colour paintings of the buildings	strengthen the monitoring of the paintings and buildings.	monitoring the change of the paintings and carry out the clean and restoration work when necessary	monitoring will be taken as daily work for a long time	Administrative Office of Potala Palace, Administrative Office of Norbulinka	no comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7	Pests						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Criteria IV, the safety of the ancient buildings has been threatened	take measures to limit the number of the visitors such as the ticket reservation of Potala Palace	monitoring the component and structures of the buildings to see if they were really affected	the measures of low down the numbers of the visitors have been taken and will be carried out in the future	Administrative Office of Potala Palace	no comment

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	establish some signs and make some promotion to inform local residents of the buffer zones of the property	after the buffer zones is adopted by the World Heritage Committee	Administrative Office of Potala Palace, Administrative Office of Norbulingka, the administrative Office of Jokhang Temple	no comment	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	cooperate with other administrative industry to better preserve the property	mid-term	the Cultural Heritage Administration of Tiben Autonomous Region, Administrative Office of Potala Palace, Administrative Office of Norbulingka, the administrative Office of Jokhang Temple	no comment	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	buy necessary equipment and facilities for protection and management of the property	regular and long term	Administrative Office of Potala Palace, Administrative Office of Norbulingka, the administrative Office of Jokhang Temple	no comment	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

no comment

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

none

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts

Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

none

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Fair
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Not all of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The retrospective statement of OUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Center for examination before February 1st, 2011.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Name Coordinates (longitude / latitude) Property (ha) Buffer zone (ha) Total (ha) Inscription year Potala Palace north latitude29°7', east longitude91°2' 33.31 74.08 107.39 1994 Jokhang Temple Monastery north latitude29°39'10", east longitude91°07'50" 7.5 130 137.5 2000 Norbulingka north latitude29°39'10", east longitude90°07'40" 40 68.8 108.8 2000

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or
recommendations related to the Assessment of the
Periodic Reporting exercise**

no comment