

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Mountain Resort and its Outlying Temples, Chengde

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• China

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

703

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1994


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mountain Resort and its Outlying Temples, Chengde	40.987 / 117.938	0	0	0	1994
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property (ha):611.2; Buffer zone (ha):888.8; Total (ha):1500
The updated data will be available in the retrospective inventory which is currently under preparation.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
The Protection Area of the Mountain Resort and its Outlying Temples	26/10/1993	

Comment

The retrospective inventory is under preparation and will be submitted before 1 Feb, 2012.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Hebei provincial Bureau of Cultural Heritage. 46 West Street, Shijiazhuang, Hebei Province 0311—85377022 0311—85377023 hebww089@163.com

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Lang Zunshan
Chengde Heritage Bureau

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images

Comment

www.shanzhuang.gov.cn

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The SOUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Center for examination before February 2011.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criteria ii: Temples integrating design features of Tibetan architecture and traditional Han Chinese architecture ; The stone and the wooden tablets written in Chinese, Manchu, Tibetan and Mongolian; the Manchu architrave painting on the Han buildings; Buddhist sculptures combining Tibetan and Han styles. Criteria iv: The property is the largest existing imperial garden and temple complex in China, the overall extent buildings, ruins, stone sculptures, wall paintings and figures of Buddha etc.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The statement of outstanding universal value of the property was not required nor submitted at the time of inscription.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No comment

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No comment

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
3.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities						
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind						
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.4 Radiation/light						
3.7.5 Dust						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7 Pests						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.10.3 Drought						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	widespread	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7.4	Radiation/light	extensive	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.5	Dust	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change	widespread	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	restricted	frequent	insignificant	high capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

No comment

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries and buffer zones of the Mountain Resort and Outlying Temples are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Mountain Resort and its Outlying Temples, which belong to the People's Republic of China, are protected by a series of legal instruments deriving from the Constitution of the People's Republic of China; among these are the Penal Code of the People's Republic of China, the Laws on the Protection of Cultural Relics and Ruins of the People's Republic of China, and the Environmental Protection Laws of the People's Republic of China. This is comprehensive interlocking legislation with severe penalties for transgression.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

No comment

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Chengde Mountain Resort and its outlying temples are under the administration of the People's Government of Chengde City; management operation is under the direction of Hebei Provincial Bureau of Cultural Relics, which is under the supervision and direction of the State Bureau of Cultural Relics.

Cheng Mountain Resort Museum is responsible for the management of the central palaces in the resort, and the rest part of the resort is in the charge of Chengde Mountain Resort Management Section. The Temple of Puning has its own management section while the rest temples are under the management of Eight Outlying Temples Management Section.

Comment

The world heritage management organization is Chengde Bureau of Cultural Heritage, which is under the supervision and direction of the State Administration of Cultural Heritage and Hebei Provincial Bureau of Cultural Heritage.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Regulations on the Protection and Management of Chengde Mountain Resort and Its Outlying Temples; Master Conservation Plan of Chengde Mountain Resort and Its Outlying Temples has been made, currently being reviewed for approval.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer

zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comment

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report




No comment

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	6%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	1%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	93%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
C, Equipement sécurité Chengde	1996	34150.00	
C, Cours Chengde	1996	20000.00	
Procurement of Equipment for the Chengde Mountain Resort Museum	1999	34150.00	
Total		88300	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

No comment

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	98%
Part-time	2%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	95%
Seasonal	5%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	98%
Volunteer	2%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

We suggest to intensify the efforts on the training for high-tech professional ability.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1. The Study of History of Qing Dynasty and the Chengde Mountain Resort, LiaoNing National Publishing Press;2005
2. Heritage Conservation and the Chengde Mountain Resort, LiaoNing National Publishing Press;2006
3. The Thesis Album of Memorizing the 300th Anniversary of Chengde Mountain Resort, LiaoNing National Publishing Press;2005

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

No comment

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

No comment

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

none

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Adequate

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

No comment

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management

needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

No comment

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

No comment

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind	criteria ii: painted architectural surfaces criteria iv: Architecture, stone relics	Routine maintenance is strengthened.	Carry out routine monitoring	long-term	Chengde cultural heritage bureau	No comment
3.7.3	Temperature	criteria iv: Stone relics	Contact the relevant research institutions to protect the stone artifacts from being affected by cold-heated temperature changes.	Carry out routine monitoring	long-term	Chengde heritage bureau	No comment
3.7.4	Radiation/light	criteria ii: painted architectural surfaces criteria iv: Architecture, stone relics	Routine maintenance is strengthened.	Carry out routine monitoring	long-term	Chengde Cultural heritage bureau	No comment
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	criteria ii: painted architectural surfaces criteria iv: Architecture, stone relics	carry out the drainage project, restore the ancient drainage equipment of the buildings to better solve the drainage problems; build the protection and waterproof equipment of the stones and inscriptions for the time being.	monitoring the effect of water on the buildings and stones and inscriptions	2011-2015	Chengde Cultural heritage bureau	no comment
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.6	Temperature change	criteria iv: Stone relics	Contact the relevant research institutions to protect the stone artifacts from being affected by cold-heated temperature changes.	Carry out routine monitoring	long-term	Chengde heritage bureau	No comment
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/deposition	criteria iv: lakes, waterway	Regular cleaning silt .	Carry out routine monitoring	According to the circumstances of regular cleaning the lakes and waterway.	Chengde heritage bureau	No comment

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.4 Financial and Human Resources							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	Now we are short of funds. In the future, we will make efforts to raise fund to buy equipment to meet the management needs at the World Heritage property.	In the next 10 to 50 years.	Chengde cultural heritage bureau	No comment		

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

No comment

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Positive

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No comment

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No comment

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The SOUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Center for examination before February 2011.

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Property (ha):611.2; Buffer zone (ha):888.8; Total (ha):1500 The updated data will be available in the retrospective inventory which is currently under preparation.

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The retrospective inventory is under preparation and will be submitted before 1 Feb, 2012.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No comment