

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Mount Huangshan

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• China

Type of Property

mixed

Identification Number

547

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1990



1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mount Huangshan	30.167 / 118.183	15400	0	15400	1990
Total (ha)		15400	0	15400	

Comment

The boundary of the property is not modified. The area measurement value is updated just because of new measure methods which is more accurate than the manual measurement before. The area measurement value of the property is now updated to 16060 ha, the buffer zone is 49000ha.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Topographical map of scenic spot of Mt. Huangshan	29/09/1989	
Topographical map of scenic spot of Mt. Huangshan	01/01/1989	

Comment

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Name: Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development of the People's Republic of China Address: NO. 9 Sanlihe Road, Beijing, China Postcode: 100835 Telephone: +86-10-58933014 Fax: +86-10-58933014 E-mail: zuoxp@mail.cin.gov.cn npo@mail.cin.gov.cn

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Mr. Hu Xuefan
Administrative Committee of Mt. Huangshan
- Xu Jiwei
Huangshan Management Committee of Scenic District

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)

Comment

<http://www.heritagehuangshan.gov.cn/>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

1982, national park 2004, world geo-park

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

It has been submitted to WHC.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(vii)(x)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion(ii) : The high esteem accredited to Huangshan throughout much of Chinese history has given rise to the Huangshan culture. Ever since it was named Huangshan by imperial order in 747, the property has attracted many visitors, including hermits, poets and landscape artists to eulogise the mountain, resulting in a rich legacy of art and literature. Paintings and drawings of the mountain appeared as early as the mid-16th century (Ming Dynasty). By the Yuan Dynasty (1271-1368) 64 temples had been constructed on the mountain. In 1606, Monk Pumen came to Huangshan and built Fahai Meditation Temple and Wonshu Temple, connecting them by steps cut into the mountain. Today it holds the same fascination for visitors, poets, painters and photographers who come on pilgrimage to the site.

Criterion(vii) : Mount Huangshan is renowned for its magnificent scenery made up of many granite peaks and rocks emerging out of a sea of clouds, showing the exceptional natural beauty and a fine combination of natural and cultural elements. The magnificent scenery, including forests of stone pillars, grotesquely-shaped rocks, waterfalls, caves, lakes and hot springs etc. is formed with an interesting but also complex geological history. It features numerous imposing peaks (77 exceed an altitude of 1,000m), culminating with Lianhua Peak at 1,864.8m. Criterion(X) : Mount Huangshan provides habitat for a number of locally or nationally endemic plant species, several of which are threatened with extinction. The flora is diverse, with one-third of China's bryophyte (mosses and liverworts) families and over half of its pteridophyte (ferns) families represented. Endemics to Huangshan total 13 species of pteridophytes and 6 of higher plants. The vertebrate fauna comprises 300 species and includes 170 of birds, 38 of reptiles, 20 of amphibians and 24 species of fish. A total of 13 species is under state protection, including clouded leopard *Neofelis nebulosa* (V) and Oriental white stork *Ciconia boyciana* (E).

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value



















































3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact	Origin
3.1 Buildings and Development		
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	    	
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	  	
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure		
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	   	
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	   	
3.3 Services Infrastructures		
3.3.1 Water infrastructure	   	
3.3.4 Localised utilities	   	
3.3.5 Major linear utilities	   	
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage		
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	  	
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	    	
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events		
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts	   	
3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events		
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition	   	
Legend	 Current	 Potential
	 Negative	 Positive
	 Inside	 Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Proclaimed a site of scenic beauty and historic interest by the State Council of the People's Republic of China in 1982. Protection measures date back to 1935 when the administrative area of Mt Huangshan was delimited, the boundaries providing the basis to the configuration of the existing designation. Management and preservation of the property have been strengthened with the establishment of the Huangshan Municipality directly under Anhui Provincial Government, providing a unified administration to better protect the mountain and its surrounding natural heritage. Legal protection measures are provided under the state's Forestry Law, Environment Protection Law and Provisional Regulations Concerning Scenic Beauty and Historic Interest Sites. Provincial regulations regarding the Conservation of Huangshan Scenic Beauty and Historic Interest Site were issued on 13 April 1989. Regulations have also

been promulgated for forest protection and fire prevention (28 September 1987).

Comment

'Constitution of the People's Republic of China', "PRC Cultural Relics Protection Law", "Forest Law of PRC", "Environmental Protection Law of PRC", "Water Law of PRC", "Wild Animal Protection Law of PRC", "Nontional Park Regulations of PRC" , "Huangshan Scenic Area Management Regulations" etc.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

First of all, management by law ensures effective protection of the property with all activities standardized pursuant to relevant laws and regulations. Secondly, complete management system facilitates efficient and scientific operation of protection and management work of the property. Thirdly, drawing valuable experiences and basing on practical situation enable good control of its

own protection and management pattern. At last, sufficient fund ensures normal operation of different protection and management work.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan	In Force	Not available	01/12/1987	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer

zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	3%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	3%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	90%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	4%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Urgent works for the safeguarding of Mount Huangshan, hit by storms and flooding in July 1991	1991	20000.00	
Contribution to cover the participation costs of 2 specialists at the International Workshop on "Sustainable Tourism Development in the Huangshan Scenic Area", 21-30 October 1991	1991	5000.00	
N, Atelier formation Mont Huangshan	1993	20000.00	
Mt. Huangshan - World Heritage mixed site	1998	5000.00	
Total		50000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium

Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Cui ZJ, Chen YX, Yang XY. Granite landform characteristics, distribution and evolution patterns in Mt.Huangshan. Chinese Sci Bull, 2009, 54, doi:10.1007/s11434-009-0309-x.
<http://www.scichina.com:8080/kxtb/fileup/PDF/09kz3364.pdf>
 Luo ZH, Li D, Pan Y, et al. The mountain-building process of Mt. Huangshan, East China, and its tectonic implications. Earth Science Frontiers, 2009, 16(3): 250-260.
<http://sess.pku.edu.cn/esf/2009/200903/20090320.pdf>
 Zhang S, Zhang ZC, Ai Y, et al. The petrology, mineralogy and geochemistry study of the Huangshan granite intrusion in Anhui Province. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 2009, 25(1)
http://d.wanfangdata.com.cn/Periodical_ysxb98200901003.aspx
 Wang Q, Zhang JH, Yang XZ. Study on Tourism Water Supply and Demand System Safety and Dynamic Control in Mt. Huangshan. Journal of Natural Resources, 2007, 22(6).

http://d.wanfangdata.com.cn/Periodical_zrzyxb200706006.aspx Song P, Hong W, Wu CZ et al. Spatial Distribution Pattern of Natural Pinus taiwanensis Population in Shouning County. Acta Agriculture Uniersitatis Jiangxiensis, 2008, 30(5).
 http://d.wanfangdata.com.cn/Periodical_jxnydxxb200805015.aspx Gong J, Lu L, Jin X, et al. Impacts of tourist disturbance on plant communities and soil properties in Huangshan Mountain scenic area. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 2009, 29(5).
 http://d.wanfangdata.com.cn/Periodical_stxb200905008.aspx Chen R, Li JH, Zhu Y, et al. Amicable behavior, dominance rank, and the function of socica stability in Tibetan macaques (Macaca thibetana) Mt. Huangshan. Acta Theriologica Sinica, 2009, 29(3).
 http://d.wanfangdata.com.cn/Periodical_slxb-200903003.aspx

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following

visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

"Huangshan Scenic Area Management Regulations" ' Entry into Undeveloped Areas of Huangshan National Park is Prohibited"

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Excellent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	1. The world heritage criteria (vii) is potentially affected. 2. A few visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure affect the natural beauty inside the property, such as the imposing peaks.	1. Relocate the facilities outside of the property, such as the office facilities, living facilities, emergency center and so on. 2. The natural beauty is protected according to the detailed planning of each area.	1. Standardize the construction projects inside the property. 2. Rectified some major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure. 3. Monitor the natural beauty resources by level-to-level surveys and establish a database.	1. Most of the relocated projects have been finished. 2. The general planning and some specific plans have been mapped out. 3. The Yungu cableway has been reformed, and 20,000 m ² building of the south gate area has been removed since 2009.	Huangshan National Park Administrative Committee and relevant planning departments.	none
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	1. The world heritage criteria (x) is potentially affected. 2. The tourism activities affect the living environment of some endemic species.	1. Rotationally open the scenic spots to the public. 2. Reduce the human disturbance to the sensitive scenic area by dispersing tourists. 3. Monitor the tourists' impact to the endemic species, and set down a system protection planning.	1. Endemic species are protected by relevant laws and regulations. 2. Restrict the tourists' activities by dividing protection areas. 3. Monitor the number and living environment of the endemic species, establish a database.	1. Hot scenic spots are being closed for 3-5 years by turn. 2. Restrict the tourists' activities in the sensitive scenic area for whole year. 3. The monitoring work are carried out in certain sites for whole year.	Huangshan National Park Administrative Committee and relevant scientific departments.	none

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Please select your top management needs in question 4.9 before filling in the summary table.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: It has been submitted to WHC.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: The boundary of the property is not modified. The area measurement value is updated just because of new measure methods which is more accurate than the manual measurement before. The area measurement value of the property is now updated to 16060 ha, the buffer zone is 49000ha.
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update:

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise