

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Mausoleum of the First Qin Emperor

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**

**State(s) Party(ies)**

• China

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

441

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**

1987



**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

| Name                               | Coordinates    | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Mausoleum of the First Qin Emperor | 34.383 / 109.1 | 0             | 0                | 0          | 1987             |
| <b>Total (ha)</b>                  |                |               | <b>0</b>         |            |                  |

**Comment**

the updated data will be available on the retrospective inventory which is currently under preparation.

**1.4 - Map(s)**

| Title                              | Date       | Link to source  |
|------------------------------------|------------|---|
| Map showing the inscribed property | 29/12/1986 |  |
| Map showing the inscribed property | 29/12/1986 |  |

**Comment**

the retrospective inventory will be submitted before February 2012

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

**Comment**

Shaanxi Provincial Bureau of Cultural Heritage Address: No. 193, Yanta west Road, Xi'an, Shaanxi Province City and postal code: Xi'an 710061 Tel: 029-5360136

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

• Wu Yongqi  
Qin Shi Huang Terracotta Army Museum

**Comment**

Emperor Qin Shihuang's Mausoleum Site Museum (Qin Shi Huang Terracotta Army Museum ) Address: Lintong District, Xi'an City, Shaanxi Province City and postal code: Xi'an 710060 Tel: 029-81399020

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

- [1001wonders.org](http://1001wonders.org) : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [The Museum of Qin Shihuang Terracotta Warriors and Horses](#)

**Comment**

<http://www.bmy.com.cn/>

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**Comment**

no other designation/convention

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Comment**

The retrospective statement of the outstanding universal value of the property has been submitted before February 1, 2011

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(i)(iii)(iv)(vi)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

I: Many color-painted terra-cotta warriors and horses, 2 sets of color-painted bronze chariots II: The battle formation consists of footmen, chariots, cavalymen, and crossbowmen.(475BC-210BC) IV: The First Qin Emperor's Mausoleum is built according to specific planning, consisting of tomb mound and many affiliated constructions, such as satellite pits and satellite tombs. VI: The First Qin Emperor's Mausoleum, centered on tomb mound, covers 2.13 square kilometers.

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

the SOUV of the property was not required nor submitted at the time of inscription

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

none

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

none

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| Name   | Impact   | Origin    |
|--|----------|-----------|
| <b>3.1 Buildings and Development</b>                                       |          |           |
| 3.1.3 Industrial areas   |          |           |
| 3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure            |          |           |
| 3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities                             |          |           |
| <b>3.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>                                   |          |           |
| 3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure                                      |          |           |
| 3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure            |          |           |
| <b>3.3 Services Infrastructures</b>  |          |           |
| 3.3.4 Localised utilities  |          |           |
| 3.3.5 Major linear utilities   |          |           |
| <b>3.4 Pollution</b>   |          |           |
| 3.4.2 Ground water pollution   |          |           |
| 3.4.4 Air pollution  |          |           |
| <b>3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>                                |          |           |
| 3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage  |          |           |
| 3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community |          |           |
| 3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation                            |          |           |
| <b>3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events</b>                         |          |           |
| 3.11.2 Earthquake  |          |           |
| 3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)  |          |           |
| <b>3.13 Management and institutional factors</b>                           |          |           |
| 3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities                         |          |           |
| 3.13.3 Management activities   |          |           |
| <b>Legend</b>  | Current  | Potential |
|  | Negative | Positive  |
|  | Inside   | Outside   |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

|                               | Spatial scale | Temporal scale           | Impact | Management response | Trend  |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| 3.1 Buildings and Development |               |                          |        |                     |        |
| 3.1.3 Industrial areas        | restricted    | intermittent or sporadic | minor  | high capacity       | static |

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Under participation of property manager, the related departments of the authorities shall undertake the detailed measures for promoting the enterprise in energy saving and emission reduction, and finally make them relocated.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

none

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Public property of the PRC.  
Declared a major national preservation of cultural relics by decree promulgated by the State Council of the PRC, in 1961. Protected under the Constitution of the PRC, the Criminal Law of the PRC, the Cultural Relics Protection Law of the PRC, the Environment Protection Law of the PRC, and other relevant act. The Qin Shi Huang Mausoleum is open to the public, and a Museum of Qin Shi Huang Terracotta Warriors and Horses

has been established with an annual tourist flow of more than two million.

#### Comment

The Mausoleum of the First Qin Emperor was approved by the State Council to be the National Priority Protected Cultural Heritage Property in 1961. In 1984, it was approved to be included as a part of Lishan National Scenic District. In 1987, it was listed by the UNESCO as the World Cultural Heritage.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Through the popularization and education of the Protection of the Mausoleum of the First Qin Emperor, the local community and residents 's consciousness of the heritage protection has been enhanced . The promulgation of the protection plan protects heritage's authenticity and integrity from the law , to limit the local urbanization's influencing on heritage sites.

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

The Shaanxi Provincial Cultural Relics Bureau is responsible for the all cultural relics within the province. Its subordinate --- the management of Qin Shi Huang Mausoleum Museum is specifically responsible for the protection, study, excavation and publicity of the property. The Mausoleum's cultural relics

administrative institute is charged with administrative and law-enforcement work.

**Comment**

In Oct, 2005, Regulation for the Protection of First Qin Emperor's Mausoleum of Shaanxi was issued by the People's Congress of Shaanxi Province. In July, 2010, Shaanxi Government published the Plan For the Conservation of First Qin Emperor's Mausoleum, making clear the scope of protection and construction area. Up till now, the 12th five-year Plan for the Protection of First Qin Emperor's Mausoleum (2011-2015) is under examination and approval.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

The regulations and management documents already implemented are: Regulation for the Protection of First Qin Emperor's Mausoleum of Shaanxi(2005), the Plan For the Conservation of First Qin Emperor's Mausoleum(2010), Handbook of Integrated management of the Terra-cotta Army Museum.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

|                               |                |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Good           |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Good           |
| Indigenous peoples            | Not applicable |
| Landowners                    | Good           |
| Visitors                      | Good           |
| Researchers                   | Good           |
| Tourism industry              | Good           |
| Industry                      | Good           |

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

none

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

In July 2005, the Shaanxi Provincial People's Congress passed the Shaanxi Provincial Regulation on the Protection of Qinshihuang Mausoleum and established the protection mechanism of Qinshihuang Mausoleum. On the basis of the Museum of the Terra-Cotta Warriors and Horses of Qinshihuang, the Shaanxi Provincial Bureau of Cultural Heritage established the Qinshihuang Mausoleum Museum in 2009, which takes charge of the overall planning, future construction, archaeological excavation, scientific research and daily management of the Qinshihuang Mausoleum's historical sites. In order to response to the pressure of city development and tourism, the Shaanxi provincial government passed the Plans for Protection of Qinshihuang Mausoleum in July 2010, which clarifies the range of protection and construction control areas in the mausoleum and prohibits the development of Lintong district from infringing on the mausoleum. The measure has effectively preserved the mausoleum and surrounding environment, prevented destruction activities, and ensured the authenticity and integrity of the historical site.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

|   |       |
|---|-------|
| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)                           | 0%    |
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)                     | 0.9%  |
| Governmental (National / Federal)                                     | 0%    |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)                          | 0%    |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal)                                      | 0%    |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)                        | 0%    |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)  | 99.1% |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0%    |
| Other grants  | 0%    |

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

not applicable

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

The museum's major conservation work and projects still need funds.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

|           |     |
|-----------|-----|
| Full-time | 92% |
| Part-time | 8%  |

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

|           |     |
|-----------|-----|
| Permanent | 93% |
| Seasonal  | 7%  |

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

|           |     |
|-----------|-----|
| Paid      | 95% |
| Volunteer | 5%  |

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

|                                  |      |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring          | Fair |
| Promotion                        | Fair |
| Community outreach               | Fair |
| Interpretation                   | Fair |
| Education                        | Fair |
| Visitor management               | Fair |
| Conservation                     | Fair |
| Administration                   | Fair |
| Risk preparedness                | Fair |
| Tourism                          | Fair |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

|                                  |        |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Research and monitoring          | Low    |
| Promotion                        | Medium |
| Community outreach               | Medium |
| Interpretation                   | Medium |
| Education                        | Medium |
| Visitor management               | High   |
| Conservation                     | Low    |
| Administration                   | Medium |
| Risk preparedness                | Medium |
| Tourism                          | Medium |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Low    |

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

none

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

《wenbo》2009 issue 6 (total 153) , ISSN 1000-7954, www.wenbozazhi.com

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

none

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

|  |                |
|--|----------------|
| Local communities / residents                                    | Excellent      |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent      |
| Local Indigenous peoples   | Not applicable |
| Local landowners   | Excellent      |
| Visitors   | Excellent      |
| Tourism industry   | Excellent      |
| Local businesses and industries                                  | Excellent      |

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

|                |          |
|----------------|----------|
| Visitor centre | Adequate |
| Site museum    | Adequate |

|                           |          |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Information booths        | Adequate |
| Guided tours              | Adequate |
| Trails / routes           | Adequate |
| Information materials     | Adequate |
| Transportation facilities | Adequate |
| Other                     | Adequate |

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

none

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

|                 |                |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Last year       | Minor Increase |
| Two years ago   | Decreasing     |
| Three years ago | Minor Increase |
| Four years ago  | Minor Increase |
| Five years ago  | Minor Increase |

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

|                              |
|------------------------------|
| Entry tickets and registries |
| Visitor surveys              |

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

Administration of the Visitors Tour

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

none

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

|  |                |
|--|----------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent      |
| Local / Municipal authorities                    | Average        |
| Local communities                                | Poor           |
| Researchers                                      | Excellent      |
| NGOs   | Poor           |
| Industry   | Average        |
| Local indigenous peoples                         | Not applicable |

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

The Plans for Protection of the Mausoleum of the First Qin Emperor ensured the authenticity and integrity of the historical site.

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

To increase the training of the monitoring content, indexes, system and evaluation system.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

|              | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions   | Monitoring   | Timeframe   | Lead agency (and others involved)  | More info / comment  |      |
|--------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|------|
| <b>3.1</b>   | <b>Buildings and Development</b>                |   |  |   |  |  |      |
| <b>3.1.3</b> | <b>Industrial areas</b>                         | Criterion VI, The Environment of Emperor Qin Shihuang's Mausoleum | The property manager continues to monitor and evaluate the influence about the emission , and recommends. The related departments of the authorities promotes the enterprise in energy saving and emission reduction, to stop or reduce the environmental influence of the mausoleum | The property manager continues to monitor the environment of the mausoleum.The environmental influences by the enterprise's discharge on the mausoleum will be evaluated at stated intervals time by related ecological organization. | The property manager continues to monitor. The related ecological organization will evaluate every six months. | Dominant service : the Administrative Bureau of Culture and Heritage of Shaanxi Province , the Lintong District Government Participant : Museum ,The ecological organization | none |

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Please select your top management needs in question 4.9 before filling in the summary table.



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

no

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

|  |                |
|--|----------------|
| Conservation   | Very positive  |
| Research and monitoring                                      | Very positive  |
| Management effectiveness                                     | Very positive  |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Positive       |
| Recognition  | Very positive  |
| Education  | Positive       |
| Infrastructure development                                   | Very positive  |
| Funding for the property                                     | Positive       |
| International cooperation                                    | Positive       |
| Political support for conservation                           | Very positive  |
| Legal / Policy framework                                     | Very positive  |
| Lobbying   | Not applicable |
| Institutional coordination                                   | Very positive  |
| Security   | Very positive  |
| Other (please specify)                                       | Not applicable |

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

none

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

|  |
|--|
| Governmental institution responsible for the property  |
| Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff |
| External experts                                       |
| Advisory bodies  |

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

no

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

Because of restrictions of the language and the translation ,the understanding of some individual problems has existed different.

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

|                            |      |
|----------------------------|------|
| UNESCO                     | Fair |
| State Party Representative | Good |
| Advisory Body              | Good |

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

|   |
|---|
| The World Heritage Convention                                     |
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value                        |
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value                        |
| The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity                    |
| The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity                    |
| Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting  |
| Management effectiveness  |

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

|                 |              |
|-----------------|--------------|
| UNESCO          | Satisfactory |
| State Party     | Excellent    |
| Site Managers   | Excellent    |
| Advisory Bodies | Satisfactory |

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The retrospective statement of the outstanding universal value of the property has been submitted before February 1, 2011

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: the updated data will be available on the retrospective inventory which is currently under preparation.

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: the retrospective inventory will be submitted before February 2012

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**

none