

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

The Great Wall

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• China

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

438

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1987

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

| Name | Coordinates | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| The Great Wall | 40.417 / 116.083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1987 |
| Total (ha) | | | 0 | | |

Comment

The updated data will be available on the retrospective inventory which is currently under preparation.

1.4 - Map(s)

Comment

The retrospective inventory will be submitted to the world heritage centre before February 2012

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

State Administration of Cultural Heritage of China Address: No 10 Chaoyangmen Street, Beijing Tel & Fax: 010-59881637 E-mail: saeach@yahoo.com.cn

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Liu Shuguang
Chinese Academy of Cultural Heritage

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
3. [Meet the Great Wall \(Meet the Great Wall Studio\)](#)
4. [Lanzhou University \(chinese only\)](#)
5. [Great Wall of China \(TravelChinaGuide.com\)](#)

Comment

http://202.41.245.17/

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Principles for the Conservation of Heritage Sites in China.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The retrospective statement of outstanding universal value has been submitted to the world heritage centre for examination before 1 February 2011

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

1.approx. 20,000 kilometers long in total of the Great Wall(the Ming Great Wall is 8,851.8km and the Great Wall of Qin, Han and other dynasties is about 13,000km). 2,4.such military facilities as ramparts (including bridle ways, watchtowers etc.), beacon towers, castles, and passes.3.indigenous building technology of rammed clay and brick & stone laying.6. the incomparable symbolic significance of the Great Wall in China

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The SOUV was not required nor submitted at the time of inscription.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No comment.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

None

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| | Name | Impact | Origin |
|---|---|-----------|----------|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | |
| 3.1.1 Housing | | | |
| 3.1.3 Industrial areas | | | |
| 3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | | | |
| 3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities | | | |
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | |
| 3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure | | | |
| 3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | | | |
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | | |
| 3.3.1 Water infrastructure | | | |
| 3.3.5 Major linear utilities | | | |
| 3.4 | Pollution | | |
| 3.4.2 Ground water pollution | | | |
| 3.4.3 Surface water pollution | | | |
| 3.4.5 Solid waste | | | |
| 3.5 | Biological resource use/modification | | |
| 3.5.3 Land conversion | | | |
| 3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals | | | |
| 3.5.5 Crop production | | | |
| 3.6 | Physical resource extraction | | |
| 3.6.1 Mining | | | |
| 3.6.2 Quarrying | | | |
| 3.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | |
| 3.7.1 Wind | | | |
| 3.7.2 Relative humidity | | | |
| 3.7.6 Water (rain/water table) | | | |
| 3.7.7 Pests | | | |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | |
| 3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses | | | |
| 3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage | | | |
| 3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation | | | |
| 3.9 | Other human activities | | |
| 3.9.1 Illegal activities | | | |
| 3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage | | | |
| 3.10 | Climate change and severe weather events | | |
| 3.10.1 Storms | | | |
| 3.10.2 Flooding | | | |
| 3.10.4 Desertification | | | |
| 3.11 | Sudden ecological or geological events | | |
| 3.11.2 Earthquake | | | |
| 3.13 | Management and institutional factors | | |
| 3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities | | | |
| 3.13.3 Management activities | | | |
| Legend | Current | Potential | Negative |
| | Positive | Inside | Outside |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

| | Spatial scale | Temporal scale | Impact | Management response | Trend | |
|---|---|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 3.1 Buildings and Development | | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 | Housing | localised | intermittent or sporadic | minor | high capacity | static |
| 3.1.3 | Industrial areas | localised | intermittent or sporadic | minor | high capacity | increasing |
| 3.2 Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | |
| 3.2.4 | Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | restricted | one off or rare | insignificant | medium capacity | static |
| 3.3 Services Infrastructures | | | | | | |
| 3.3.1 | Water infrastructure | restricted | one off or rare | insignificant | low capacity | static |
| 3.3.5 | Major linear utilities | restricted | one off or rare | insignificant | low capacity | static |
| 3.5 Biological resource use/modification | | | | | | |
| 3.5.3 | Land conversion | localised | intermittent or sporadic | minor | medium capacity | increasing |
| 3.5.4 | Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals | localised | intermittent or sporadic | minor | medium capacity | increasing |
| 3.5.5 | Crop production | restricted | one off or rare | minor | medium capacity | increasing |
| 3.6 Physical resource extraction | | | | | | |
| 3.6.1 | Mining | localised | intermittent or sporadic | minor | medium capacity | increasing |
| 3.6.2 | Quarrying | restricted | one off or rare | insignificant | medium capacity | static |
| 3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | | |
| 3.7.1 | Wind | localised | intermittent or sporadic | minor | medium capacity | static |
| 3.7.7 | Pests | restricted | one off or rare | insignificant | low capacity | static |
| 3.10 Climate change and severe weather events | | | | | | |
| 3.10.1 | Storms | localised | intermittent or sporadic | minor | low capacity | static |
| 3.10.4 | Desertification | localised | intermittent or sporadic | minor | low capacity | static |

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

none

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Currently the State Administration of Cultural Heritage is carrying out the Conservation Project and organizing to formulate the Master Plan for the Conservation of the Great Wall, which will put forth specific requirements on the property areas (protected area) and buffer zones (construction constricted area) of the Great Wall, thereby retaining the integrity and authenticity of the property.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Great Wall is under the protection of THE CONSTITUTION, THE CRIMINAL LAW, THE STATE LAW FOR THE PRESERVATION OF CULTURAL RELICS, THE LAW OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

Comment

Some sections of the Great Wall have been designated as the State Priority Protected Cultural Heritage Site. For example, the Shanhaiguan Pass, the Jiayuguan Pass, the Badaling etc. With the progress of the Conservation Project of the Great Wall, the identification of the Great Wall is underway and more sections will be designated as the State Priority Protected Cultural Heritage Site based on the scientific research and following the routine procedure.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

All the components of the Great Wall have been declared under state or provincial protection. The Great Wall conservation Ordinance promulgated by the State Council of China in 2006 is specifically dedicated to the conservation & management of the Great Wall. The plans on the conservation of the Great Wall have played an important role in ensuring the implementation of the integrated protection and management of the World Heritage property.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

All the components of the Great Wall have been declared under state or provincial protection. Relevant provincial Cultural Heritage administrative agencies and responding

municipal agencies are responsible for the conservation and management of the Great Wall. The State Council of China has promulgated the Great Wall Conservation Ordinance in 2006 which is specifically dedicated to the conservation & management of the Great Wall. The outline of the Master Plan of the Great Wall is under work.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The Great Wall Conservation Ordinance Conservation Plan of Jiayuguan Pass Conservation Plan of Shanhaiguan Pass

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Fair |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Fair |
| Visitors | Fair |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Fair |
| Industry | Good |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Thanks to the publicity efforts of the government agencies/organs concerned to promote the conservation of the Great Wall, the Great Wall conservation awareness of people living along the Wall is gradually increasing.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report


At the inscription of the Great Wall on the List, there were no clear identification, boundaries and SOUV of the property. Chinese government has worked hard to protect it ever since. From 2005, State Administration of Cultural Heritage of China has carried out the Conservation Project and invested great number of money in the investigation, planning, restoration and management of it. Until now, the investigation of the Great Wall in Ming has finished and it reaches 8851.8 km long.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) | |
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | |
| Governmental (National / Federal) | 80% |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State) | 15% |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal) | |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 5% |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.) | |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | |
| Other grants | |

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

| Title | Year | Amount | Link to source |
|--------------------|------|-----------|---|
| C, Grande Muraille | 1990 | 146000.00 |  |
| Total | | 146000 | |

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Some sections of the Great Wall have been developed into sightseeing areas. As tourism has been an important source of income of the local governments, complete infrastructure has been built in these areas. In the management of the Great Wall sections, attention should be paid to the influence of the tourism facilities on the surroundings of the property.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Full-time | 90% |
| Part-time | 10% |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Permanent | 80% |
| Seasonal | 20% |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Paid | 80% |
| Volunteer | 20% |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|-------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring | Fair |
| Promotion | Fair |
| Community outreach | Good |
| Interpretation | Fair |
| Education | Fair |

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Visitor management | Good |
| Conservation | Good |
| Administration | Good |
| Risk preparedness | Good |
| Tourism | Good |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Research and monitoring | High |
| Promotion | Medium |
| Community outreach | Medium |
| Interpretation | Medium |
| Education | Low |
| Visitor management | High |
| Conservation | Medium |
| Administration | Medium |
| Risk preparedness | Medium |
| Tourism | High |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Medium |

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The management of the Great Wall has been mainly undertaken by local cultural heritage authorities. The personnel concerned possess the expertise needed; and their knowledge and expertise will constantly improve in the work. The state and local cultural heritage authorities have provided them with a lot of training opportunities.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

A History of Chinese Great Wall, Jing Ai, Shanghai People's Press, 2006. Problems and Suggestions for the Conservation and Tourism Development of World Heritage Sites—The Great Wall in China, Wu Dongfan, Chinese Landscape Architecture, 2008.24(5). Yifeng, Scientific Development of Geography, 2009.28(2).

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Studies of the Great Wall have been kept on. In recent years, the State Administration of Cultural Heritage have carried out projects like 'Survey of the Great Wall' and 'Studies of the Great Wall and Its Conservation Management'. It is currently drawing up investigation reports on the resources of the Great Wall.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Average |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |
| Local Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Local landowners | Average |
| Visitors | Average |
| Tourism industry | Average |
| Local businesses and industries | Poor |

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following

visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Visitor centre | Adequate |
| Site museum | Excellent |
| Information booths | Poor |
| Guided tours | Adequate |
| Trails / routes | Adequate |
| Information materials | Adequate |
| Transportation facilities | Adequate |
| Other | Not provided but needed |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Thanks to the government's increased publicity efforts, people living along the great wall are gradually improving their understanding of the Great Wall conservation. The State Government and local governments are strengthening the public awareness by holding exhibitions, publishing books, etc.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Last year | Minor Increase |
| Two years ago | Minor Increase |
| Three years ago | Minor Increase |
| Four years ago | Minor Increase |
| Five years ago | Static |

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

| |
|------------------------------|
| Entry tickets and registries |
| Transportation services |
| Tourism industry |

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The Administrative Regulations of Huludao City on the Conservation of the Jiumenkou Great Wall promulgated by the People's Government of Huludao City, Liaoning Province; The Administrative Regulations of Tianjin City on the Conservation of the Huangyaguan Great Wall promulgated by Tianjin Municipal Bureau of Cultural Heritage; The Administrative Measures of Beijing City on the Conservation of the Great Wall promulgated by Beijing Municipal People's Government.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to

present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

assigned Great Wall rangers, who have played a important role in monitoring the Great Wall.

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Tourism at the heritage sites of the Great Wall may help tourists to appreciate the universal value of the property. As some of the economic returns are expended on the conservation and management of the Great Wall. However, Cultural heritage conservers and tourism developers have different ideas/concepts on the conservation and development of the Great Wall. The former attach more importance to preserving the property's integrity and authenticity.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

| | |
|--|--------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Average |
| Local communities | Average |
| Researchers | Poor |
| NGOs | Average |
| Industry | Poor |
| Local indigenous peoples | Non-existent |

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

No comment.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

The everyday monitoring of the Great Wall is chiefly undertaken by local cultural heritage administrators. To better monitor the conditions of the World Heritage property, the local cultural heritage agencies along the Great Wall have

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| | | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions | Monitoring | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
|-------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | | | | | |
| 3.1.3 | Industrial areas | Industrial production has polluted ramparts, beacon towers and passes and damaged the defense facilities of the Great Wall such as ramparts.. | Move the sites of industrial production out of the protected areas and construction control areas of the Great Wall, clear the pollution sources affecting the property, and enact laws and regulations to penalize those who damage the Great Wall. | Local cultural heritage administrative agencies and Great Wall rangers monitor the Great Wall all year around and conduct regular inspections by law. Acts that damage the Great Wall, once found, will be handled in a timely way. | The state is now formulating the plan for the overall conservation of the Great Wall. It is estimated that the formulation will be completed in 2011-2015. During this period, local governments will phase the implementation of the conservation of the sections of the Great Wall in their areas. In 2009, the Great Wall Ordinance was promulgated. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. |
| 3.5 | Biological resource use/modification | | | | | | |
| 3.5.3 | Land conversion | Farmland erodes the ramparts, etc. of the Great Wall. | Launch publicity campaigns aimed at promoting local residents' awareness of Great Wall conservation; promulgate laws and regulations to penalize acts damaging the Great Wall. | Local cultural heritage administrative agencies and Great Wall rangers monitor the property all year around. Launch publicity efforts to promote the knowledge of Great Wall conservation of residents along the Great Wall so that they will consciously | The state is now formulating the plan for the overall conservation of the Great Wall. It is estimated that the formulation will be completed in 2011-2015. During this period, local governments will phase the implementation of the conservation of the sections of the Great Wall in their areas. In 2009, the Great Wall Ordinance was promulgated. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. |
| 3.5.4 | Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals | Cattle tramp on such facilities as ramparts etc. When building temporary shelters, herdsmen remove bricks from the property. | Launch publicity campaigns aimed at promoting local residents' awareness of Great Wall conservation; promulgate laws and regulations to penalize acts damaging the Great Wall. | Local cultural heritage administrative agencies and Great Wall rangers monitor the property all year around. Launch publicity efforts to promote the knowledge of Great Wall conservation of residents along the Great Wall so that they will consciously | The state is now formulating the plan for the overall conservation of the Great Wall. It is estimated that the formulation will be completed in 2011-2015. During this period, local governments will phase the implementation of the conservation of the sections of the Great Wall in their areas. In 2009, the Great Wall Ordinance was promulgated. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. |
| 3.6 | Physical resource extraction | | | | | | |
| 3.6.1 | Mining | Mining activities pollute and damage such facilities as ramparts. | Launch publicity campaigns aimed at promoting local residents' awareness of Great Wall conservation; promulgate laws and regulations to penalize acts damaging the Great Wall. | Local cultural heritage administrative agencies and Great Wall rangers monitor the Great Wall all year around and conduct regular inspections by law. Acts that damage the Great Wall, once found, will be handled in a timely way. | The state is now formulating the plan for the overall conservation of the Great Wall. It is estimated that the formulation will be completed in 2011-2015. During this period, local governments will phase the implementation of the conservation of the sections of the Great Wall in their areas. In 2009, the Great Wall Ordinance was promulgated. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. |
| 3.10 | Climate change and severe weather events | | | | | | |

| | | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions | Monitoring | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
|--------|-----------------|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------|
| 3.10.1 | Storms | Storms erode walls. | Reinforce the Great Wall for conservation. | Local cultural heritage administrative agencies and Great Wall rangers monitor the property all year round. Whenever contingencies occur, they should be reported in a timely way so that rescue-oriented protection measures can be taken. | The state is now formulating the plan for the overall conservation of the Great Wall. It is estimated that the formulation will be completed in 2011-2015. During this period, local governments will phase the implementation of the conservation of the sections of the Great Wall in their areas. In 2009, the Great Wall Ordinance was promulgated. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. |
| 3.10.4 | Desertification | The overall route of the Great Wall and all the components of the complicated defense works built over the past dynasties have been kept intact. They have preserved the ways of building the defense works characteristic of different periods and different areas. The Great Wall is an outstanding, unique example of military building complexes. | Reinforce the Great Wall for conservation. | Local cultural heritage administrative agencies and Great Wall rangers monitor the property all year round. Whenever contingencies occur, they should be reported in a timely way so that rescue-oriented protection measures can be taken. | The state is now formulating the plan for the overall conservation of the Great Wall. It is estimated that the formulation will be completed in 2011-2015. During this period, local governments will phase the implementation of the conservation of the sections of the Great Wall in their areas. In 2009, the Great Wall Ordinance was promulgated. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. |

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

| 4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| | | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment | | |
| 4.1.1 | There is a need for a buffer zone | With the formulation of the Outline of the Conservation Master Plan of the Great Wall and the Conservation plans of the primary sections of the Great Wall, the property area and the buffer zones of different sections of it will be clarified. | So far, the surveys of the Great Wall resources of all the past dynasties have been completed, which have laid a foundation for defining the protected areas and the development control areas. According to the Overall Work Scheme of the Great Wall Conservation Project, all the sections of the Great Wall shall have their protected areas and development control areas well-defined with necessary signs set up by 2014. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. | | |
| 4.1.2 | Boundaries could be improved | With the formulation of the Outline of the Conservation Master Plan of the Great Wall and the Conservation plans of the primary sections of the Great Wall, the property area and the buffer zones of different sections of it will be clarified. | So far, the surveys of the Great Wall resources of all the past dynasties have been completed, which have laid a foundation for defining the protected areas and the development control areas. According to the Overall Work Scheme of the Great Wall Conservation Project, all the sections of the Great Wall shall have their protected areas and development control areas well-defined with necessary signs set up by 2014. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. | | |
| 4.3 Management System / Management Plan | | | | | | | |
| 4.3.10 | There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management | In the making of the conservation planning of the Great Wall, opinions of the relevant departments of tourism, urban planning, forestry, and agriculture, etc. should be consulted; and in implementing the measures for the conversation of the Great Wall, cooperation with relevant industries should be enthusiastically carried out. | The opinions of the relevant departments on the overall conservation planning of the Great Wall to be completed in 2015 are being consulted. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. | | |

| 4.4 Financial and Human Resources | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|-------------|
| 4.4.6 | Inadequate equipment and facilities | Currently, the protective facilities have been established in only a few sections of the walls of the Great Wall and its subsidiary facilities.. | Because of the length, scale, and wide spread of the Great Wall, the overall conservation is faced with difficulties. Therefore, on the basis of the surveys of the Great Wall, the first priority lies in the protection of the sections which have great historical significance and demand urgent conservation. Presently, all the relevant provinces have reported to the State Administration of Cultural Heritage about their programs of conservation and renovation. The next step for the State Administration of Cultural Heritage to take is to financially support the key projects according to actual conditions so that the work for the conservation of the Great Wall can be carried out by steps in a planned way. | The State Administration of Cultural Heritage, local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. |
| 4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building | | | | | |
| 4.6.5 | The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted | establish some explanation band for the Great Wall to illustrate the OUV and strengthen the promotion of the OUV by means of Cultural Heritage Day activities, and publicity the OUV in coolabration with tourist agencies. | The OUV statement had been delivered to the World Heritage Centre by February 1, 2011 and is now being examined. | local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. |
| 4.8 Monitoring | | | | | |
| 4.8.1 | Some monitoring, but it is not planned | The Great Wall Conservation Ordinance is the legal foundation for the supervision of the Great Wall. The Great Wall rangers established by the cultural heritage administrative agencies of all levels along the Great Wall have carried out patrol and routine care of the Great Wall, and have played an important role in timely discovering and dealing with the existing problems in the conservation of the Great Wall. | The overall conservation planning of the Great Wall will be completed between 2011 and 2015, and all the relevant regions will advance the conservation and supervision of the Great Wall by steps. | State Administration of Cultural Heritage, the local cultural heritage administrative agencies | No comment. |

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

With the progress of the Conservation Project, the survey of the Great Wall resource of Ming Dynasty has finished and the survey on early dynasties' Great Wall is underway. The Outlines of the Master Conservation Plan and the conservation plans are under formulation and some complete. In next step, some urgent restoration work will be carried out. The authenticity and integrity have been preserved

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Conservation | Positive |
| Research and monitoring | Positive |
| Management effectiveness | Very positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Positive |
| Recognition | Positive |
| Education | Very positive |
| Infrastructure development | Positive |
| Funding for the property | Very positive |
| International cooperation | Positive |
| Political support for conservation | Not applicable |
| Legal / Policy framework | Positive |
| Lobbying | Positive |
| Institutional coordination | Positive |
| Security | Very positive |
| Other (please specify) | Not applicable |

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No comment.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

| |
|--|
| Governmental institution responsible for the property |
| Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff |
| External experts |
| Advisory bodies |

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

None

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|----------------------------|------|
| UNESCO | Good |
| State Party Representative | Good |
| Advisory Body | Good |

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

| |
|--|
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value |
| The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity |
| Management effectiveness |

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| UNESCO | Not Applicable |
| State Party | Excellent |
| Site Managers | Excellent |
| Advisory Bodies | Satisfactory |

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The retrospective statement of outstanding universal value has been submitted to the world heritage centre for examination before 1 February 2011

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: The updated data will be available on the retrospective inventory which is currently under preparation.

• Map(s)

Reason for update: The retrospective inventory will be submitted to the world heritage centre before February 2012

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No comment.