

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Temple de Preah Vihear

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Cambodge

Type of Property

culturel

Identification Number

1224rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2008

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Temple de Preah Vihear	14.388 / 104.684	154.7	2642.5	2797.2	2008
Total (ha)		154.7	2642.5	2797.2	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Temple of Preah Vihear - Revised graphic plan of the property (R.G.P.P.)	13/05/2008	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Chuch Phoeurn
Secrétaire d'État en charge du Patrimoine culturel et des Musées
S. Exc. Mr.
Ministère de la Culture et des Beaux-arts

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001merveilles.org : visitez ce site en panophotographies - images à 360 x 180 degrés

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Déclaration de valeur universelle exceptionnelle

Le Temple de Preah Vihear, ensemble architectural unique composé d'une série de sanctuaires reliés entre eux par un système de chaussées et d'escaliers le long d'un axe de 800 mètres, est un chef-d'œuvre remarquable de l'architecture khmère, de par sa topographie, ses décors et sa relation avec le cadre naturel spectaculaire.

Critère (i) : Preah Vihear est un chef-d'œuvre remarquable de l'architecture khmère. Il est très « pur » dans sa configuration comme dans la finesse de ses décors.

Section II - Temple of Preah Vihear (1224)

L'authenticité a été établie du fait que les édifices et leurs matériaux expriment parfaitement les valeurs du bien. Les attributs du site sont constitués par l'ensemble du temple ; l'intégrité du bien est compromise, dans une certaine mesure, par l'absence d'une partie du promontoire dans le périmètre du bien. Les mesures de protection du temple sont satisfaisantes en termes de protection juridique ; les progrès réalisés pour définir les paramètres du plan de gestion doivent être consolidés dans un plan de gestion complet approuvé.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Critère (i) : Preah Vihear est un chef-d'œuvre exceptionnel de l'architecture khmère, d'une pureté sans pareille tant sur le plan que dans les détails des décorations.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

La Déclaration de Valeur universelle exceptionnelle n'a pas besoin d'être révisée, le temple de Preah Vihear étant inscrit en juillet 2008.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Aucun commentaire.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Les facteurs affectant le bien proviennent du bombardement par l'artillerie lourde de l'armée thaïlandaise sur le bien, endommageant des bas-reliefs et des murs.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact			Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development			
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities				
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure			
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure				
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage			
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses				
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation				
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events			
3.10.3 Drought				
3.10.6 Temperature change				
3.13	Management and institutional factors			
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities				
3.13.3 Management activities				
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive
			Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Les facteurs négatifs affectant le bien ne sont pas d'ordre naturel, mais provoqués par les conflits armés.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, and it is not needed

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Une zone tampon garantirait l'intégrité du bien.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Preah Vihear est protégé par la *Loi sur la protection du patrimoine culturel* (NS/0196/26 du 25.01.1996) contre les destructions illégales, le vandalisme, le transfert illicite de propriété, les fouilles clandestines ainsi que les importations et exportations illégales. La loi s'applique aux biens mobiliers et immobiliers, privés ou publics. Le *Conseil supérieur de la culture nationale* (CSCN), organisme interministériel, est responsable du développement des politiques qui sont ensuite mises en oeuvre par le ministère de la Culture et des Beaux-Arts. Le décret royal NS/RKM/0303/115 du 11.03.2003 définit les trois zones de protection du Site sacré du temple de Preah Vihear qui couvrent à

Section II - Temple of Preah Vihear (1224)

la fois le site culturel et son environnement. Plusieurs autres décrets se rapportent à la protection des ressources animales et végétales dans la zone ainsi qu'à la protection et à la gestion des ressources naturelles.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is excellent capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Les mesures pour mieux protéger le bien doivent provenir d'une assistance du Comité du patrimoine mondial.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Un plan d'action a suscité la création d'un Comité de coordination interministériel (novembre 2005), un amendement du décret royal NS/RKM/0303/115 du 11.03.2003 impliquant une extension de la zone 2 (février 2006), la promulgation d'un *sous-décret* mettant en oeuvre le décret royal en question (juillet 2006) et la création d'une autorité pour la conservation et la gestion de Preah Vihear, basée sur celle de Angkor Siem Reap et dénommée APSARA (décembre 2006).

Des détails ont été fournis sur le contenu d'un éventuel plan de gestion, qui sera élaboré après une étude préparatoire exhaustive menée par des spécialistes cambodgiens et internationaux dans les domaines concernés.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No **local communities** are resident in or living near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No **indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

Section II - Temple of Preah Vihear (1224)

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Quand le site sera fréquenté de manière par les touristes, il sera nécessaire d'établir plus de contacts avec le secteur privé.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Des infrastructures d'accueil ont été construites en 2010, notamment un écomusée.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Conservation and Management of the Preah Vihear Temple	2009	30000.00	
Total		30000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Un écomusée a été construit en 2010, d'autres infrastructures sont prévues pour améliorer l'accueil des visiteurs.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

Section II - Temple of Preah Vihear (1224)

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Des jeunes techniciens expérimentés ont été envoyés sur le site pour les travaux de conservation et de développement. Des sessions de formation sont aussi organisées pour en former d'autres.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Un rapport sur la conservation a été produit, suivi d'un plan de gestion a été préparé et soumis à la 34ème session du Comité du Patrimoine mondial à Brasilia en 2010.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Des projets de recherche sur le patrimoine immatériel sont effectués par de jeunes chercheurs cambodgiens.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Des panneaux d'informations culturelles et directionnelles ont été posées sur le site pour sensibiliser et éduquer le public, notamment la population vivant dans les environs du bien.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

Section II - Temple of Preah Vihear (1224)

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

While there is the **authority to collect fees** they are not **collected**

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Il est prévu de construire un centre d'interprétation et d'informations pour faciliter la compréhension du site.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Les recommandations sont mises en oeuvre au maximum pour maintenir au maximum la valeur universelle exceptionnelle du bien.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Le suivi est effectué par des experts nationaux et internationaux avec l'assistance du Bureau local de l'UNESCO, basé à Phnom Penh.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	Il n'y a pas de zone industrielle dans les environs immédiats du bien.	Pas de calendrier.	Pas d'organisme. Pas de commentaire non plus.
4.7 Visitor Management				
4.7.5	There is little or no contact with the tourism industry	Le temple ne reçoit pas encore assez de visiteurs pour coopérer avec l'industrie touristique.	Le calendrier sera mis en place dès que le site sera ouvert largement au public.	Autorité Nationale pour Preah Vihear. Pas d'autre commentaire.
4.7.6	While there is the authority to collect fees they are not collected	N/A	N/A	Il est envisagé de faire payer les entrées pour les visites dans un proche avenir.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Le Centre du patrimoine mondial a prévu d'envoyer une équipe d'experts pour évaluer les dégâts causés sur le bien suite aux conflits armés du mois d'avril 2010.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Not applicable
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Le statut du patrimoine mondial permet au temple de Preah Vihear d'être mieux préservé et protégé contre les attaques armées de l'état voisin.

Section II - Temple of Preah Vihear (1224)

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Des questions avec plus d'options de réponse pour que chaque site y trouve ses marques en fonction des spécificités de leurs biens.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

Très utile pour le point focal, qui pourra par la suite former les gestionnaires des sites et d'autres personnels.