

**1. World Heritage Property Data****1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Ruins of the Buddhist Vihara at Paharpur

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details****State(s) Party(ies)**

- Bangladesh

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

322

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**

1985

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

| Name                                     | Coordinates     | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|--|-----------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Ruins of the Buddhist Vihara at Paharpur | 25.033 / 88.983 | 8.9           | 0                | 8.9        | 1985             |
| <b>Total (ha)</b>                        |                 | <b>8.9</b>    | <b>0</b>         | <b>8.9</b> |                  |

**1.4 - Map(s)****Comment**

The preparation of map is on process. By December 2011 it will be submitted to the World Heritage Center.

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property****Comment**

Department of Archaeology, Ministry of Cultural Affairs, Bangladesh.

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Mahabub-ul Alam  
Department of Archaeology

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

1. [1001wonders.org](http://1001wonders.org) : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)

**Comment**

<http://www.archaeology.gov.bd>

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)****Comment**

Deputy Commissioner of Naogaon District, Bangladesh

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value****2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance****Comment**

Draft of "SOUV" has been submitted to the World Heritage Committee.

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(i)(ii)(vi)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

The biggest Buddhist monastery lies on south of Himalayas, famous masterpiece of human creative genius fabric and architecture as it influenced much the same of the other countries in hole south Asia

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

Not applicable

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

All the information related to " SOUV" has been sent to the World Heritage Center at 1st February2011 by the state party.

**3. Factors Affecting the Property****3.14. Other factor(s)****3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

not applicable

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| Name   | Impact  |           |          |          |        |         | Origin |
|--|---|-----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|--------|
| <b>3.1</b>   | <b>Buildings and Development</b>                        |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities                             |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>3.2</b>   | <b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>                    |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure                                      |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>3.3</b>   | <b>Services Infrastructures</b>                         |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.3.1 Water infrastructure   |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>3.7</b>   | <b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>       |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.7.1 Wind   |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.7.2 Relative humidity  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.7.3 Temperature  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.7.4 Radiation/light  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.7.5 Dust   |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)   |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.7.7 Pests  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.7.8 Micro-organisms  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>3.8</b>   | <b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>                 |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation                            |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>3.9</b>   | <b>Other human activities</b>                           |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.9.1 Illegal activities   |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage                                   |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>3.10</b>  | <b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>         |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.10.2 Flooding  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.10.7 Other climate change impacts  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>3.11</b>  | <b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>           |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition                                   |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>3.12</b>  | <b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b> |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.12.1 Translocated species  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species                                  |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>3.13</b>  | <b>Management and institutional factors</b>             |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities                         |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| 3.13.3 Management activities   |   |           |          |          |        |         |        |
| <b>Legend</b>  | Current   | Potential | Negative | Positive | Inside | Outside |        |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

|                                | Spatial scale                                     | Temporal scale           | Impact      | Management response | Trend      |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|
| <b>3.7</b>                     | <b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b> |                          |             |                     |            |
| 3.7.1 Wind                     | restricted  | intermittent or sporadic | significant | medium capacity     | static     |
| 3.7.2 Relative humidity        | localised   | on-going                 | significant | medium capacity     | increasing |
| 3.7.3 Temperature              | localised   | on-going                 | significant | medium capacity     | static     |
| 3.7.4 Radiation/light          | localised   | on-going                 | significant | medium capacity     | static     |
| 3.7.5 Dust                     | extensive   | on-going                 | minor       | medium capacity     | static     |
| 3.7.6 Water (rain/water table) | localised   | on-going                 | significant | medium capacity     | static     |

|        |  | Spatial scale | Temporal scale           | Impact        | Management response | Trend      |
|--------|--|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|
| 3.7.7  | Pests  | localised     | one off or rare          | minor         | medium capacity     | increasing |
| 3.7.8  | Micro-organisms                                  | localised     | on-going                 | significant   | medium capacity     | static     |
| 3.8    | Social/cultural uses of heritage                 |               |                          |               |                     |            |
| 3.8.6  | Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation        | localised     | on-going                 | significant   | medium capacity     | increasing |
| 3.9    | Other human activities                           |               |                          |               |                     |            |
| 3.9.1  | Illegal activities                               | extensive     | one off or rare          | minor         | medium capacity     | increasing |
| 3.9.2  | Deliberate destruction of heritage               | restricted    | intermittent or sporadic | minor         | high capacity       | increasing |
| 3.10   | Climate change and severe weather events         |               |                          |               |                     |            |
| 3.10.2 | Flooding   | localised     | one off or rare          | minor         | medium capacity     | static     |
| 3.10.7 | Other climate change impacts                     | localised     | one off or rare          | minor         | medium capacity     | static     |
| 3.12   | Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species |               |                          |               |                     |            |
| 3.12.1 | Translocated species                             | localised     | one off or rare          | insignificant | medium capacity     | static     |
| 3.12.2 | Invasive/alien terrestrial species               | localised     | one off or rare          | minor         | medium capacity     | static     |

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

not applicable

### 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

#### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

##### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

##### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

##### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

##### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

##### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

##### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

To demarcate the Buffer Zone area is very essential. Department of Archaeology will take steps to demarcate the Buffer Zone area with the help of Local consultation and expert opinion soon.

#### 4.2. Protective Measures

##### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The property is managed under the powers of the Antiquities Act, 1976. In addition the DoA operates under the Antiquities Export Control Act, 1947, the Immovable Antiquities Preservation Rules, 1976, the Conservation Manual, 1923 and the Archaeological Works Code, 1938.

##### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the

##### Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

##### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

##### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

##### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

##### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

not applicable

#### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

##### 4.3.1 - Management System

##### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

##### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but **it could be improved**

##### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

|                               |                |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Fair           |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Fair           |
| Indigenous peoples            | Fair           |
| Landowners                    | Fair           |
| Visitors                      | Fair           |
| Researchers                   | Fair           |
| Tourism industry              | Fair           |
| Industry                      | Not applicable |

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Advance management plan need to develop for the betterment of this World Heritage Site.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

Not applicable


**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)       | 2% |
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Governmental (National / Federal)                                     | 83% |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)                          | 0%  |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal)                                      | 0%  |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)                        | 0%  |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)  | 15% |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0%  |
| Other grants  | 0%  |

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

| Title   | Year | Amount   | Link to source  |
|---|------|----------|---|
| Installation of a drainage system at Paharpur | 1986 | 20000.00 |  |
| <b>Total</b>                                  |      | 20000    |   |

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Infrastructure needs more experts involvement for appropriate conservation. Fund flow required to be available considering the overall management.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

|           |      |
|-----------|------|
| Full-time | 100% |
| Part-time |      |

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

|           |      |
|-----------|------|
| Permanent | 100% |
| Seasonal  |      |

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

|           |      |
|-----------|------|
| Paid      | 100% |
| Volunteer |      |

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

|                                  |      |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring          | Poor |
| Promotion                        | Poor |
| Community outreach               | Fair |
| Interpretation                   | Fair |
| Education                        | Fair |
| Visitor management               | Fair |
| Conservation                     | Fair |
| Administration                   | Fair |
| Risk preparedness                | Fair |
| Tourism                          | Fair |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Research and monitoring          | Low           |
| Promotion                        | Low           |
| Community outreach               | Low           |
| Interpretation                   | Low           |
| Education                        | Medium        |
| Visitor management               | Medium        |
| Conservation                     | Medium        |
| Administration                   | Medium        |
| Risk preparedness                | Low           |
| Tourism                          | Not available |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Low           |

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Need to increase human resources, expertise and regular training program.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and**

**decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

1. Paharpur : The World Cultural Heritage by Md. Mosharraf Hossain and Dr. Alam, DOA, Dhaka 04, 120/- 2. Paharpur and Bagerhat two World Cultural Heritage sites of Bangladesh by Dr. Alam, DOA UNESCO, Dhaka 2004, 125/- 3. Proceedings of the International Seminar on Elaboration of An Archaeological Research Strategy for Paharpur WHS and its Environ 04, DOA & UNESCO, Dhaka.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

not applicable

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

|  |         |
|--|---------|
| Local communities / residents                                    | Average |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Average |
| Local Indigenous peoples   | Average |
| Local landowners   | Poor    |
| Visitors   | Poor    |
| Tourism industry   | Average |
| Local businesses and industries                                  | Poor    |

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme



**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

|                           |                         |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Visitor centre            | Poor                    |
| Site museum               | Adequate                |
| Information booths        | Poor                    |
| Guided tours              | Poor                    |
| Trails / routes           | Poor                    |
| Information materials     | Poor                    |
| Transportation facilities | Not provided but needed |
| Other                     | Not needed              |

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

needs to increase educational, informational and awareness building program.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

|                 |                |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Last year       | Minor Increase |
| Two years ago   | Minor Increase |
| Three years ago | Static         |
| Four years ago  | Minor Increase |
| Five years ago  | Minor Increase |

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

|                              |
|------------------------------|
| Entry tickets and registries |
|------------------------------|

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to

present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

need a modern visitor management plan to aware the visitor on the use of World Heritage Property.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

|  |                |
|--|----------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Average        |
| Local / Municipal authorities                    | Poor           |
| Local communities                                | Poor           |
| Researchers                                      | Average        |
| NGOs   | Not applicable |
| Industry   | Not applicable |
| Local indigenous peoples                         | Poor           |

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

DOA is committed to the implementation of the recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

not applicable

#### **4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

##### **4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

|   | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions            | Monitoring  | Timeframe  | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment  |             |
|---|---|--------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|-------------|
| <b>3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b> |   |                    |   |  |                                   |  |             |
| 3.7.2   | Relative humidity                               | criteria-i, ii,vi. | necessary consultation will be done with the respective field experts.                      | The management of the site will monitor the matter                               | next 2 years                      | Department of Archaeology.Ministry of Cultural Affairs.Bangladesh                          | no comments |
| 3.7.3   | Temperature                                     | criteria-i, ii,vi. | necessary consultation will be done with the respective field experts.                      | The management of the site will monitor the matter                               | next 2 years                      | Department of Archaeology.Ministry of Cultural Affairs.Bangladesh                          | no comments |
| 3.7.4   | Radiation/light                                 | criteria-i, ii,vi. | necessary consultation will be done with the respective field experts.                      | The management of the site will monitor the matter                               | next 2 years                      | Department of Archaeology.Ministry of Cultural Affairs.Bangladesh                          | no comments |
| 3.7.6   | Water (rain/water table)                        | criteria-i, ii,vi. | necessary consultation will be done with the respective field experts.                      | The management of the site will monitor the matter                               | next 2 years                      | Department of Archaeology.Ministry of Cultural Affairs.Bangladesh                          | no comments |
| 3.7.8   | Micro-organisms                                 |                    |   |  |                                   |  |             |
| <b>3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>           |   |                    |   |  |                                   |  |             |
| 3.8.6   | Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation       | criteria-i, ii,vi. | necessary steps will be taken to improve tourism/visitor/recreation facilities of the site. | The management of the site along with Tourism Department will monitor the matter | next 2 years                      | Department of Archaeology.Ministry of Cultural Affairs. & Department of Tourism,Bangladesh | no comments |

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

| <b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>                   |   |   |                                   |   |             |  |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|--|
|  | Actions   | Timeframe   | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment   |             |  |
| 4.1.2  | Boundaries could be improved  | Boundaries will be demarcated   | next 2 year                       | Department of Archaeology,Ministry of Cultural Affairs.Bangladesh | no comment  |  |
| 4.1.4  | The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners | Necessary steps will be taken to inform the local residents/communities/landowners. | next 2 year                       | Department of Archaeology,Ministry of Cultural Affairs.Bangladesh | no comments |  |
| <b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>           |   |   |                                   |   |             |  |
| 4.3.10   | There is little or no contact with industry regarding management  | Necessary steps will be taken to make a contact with industry regarding management  | next 2 year                       | Department of Archaeology,Ministry of Cultural Affairs.Bangladesh | no comments |  |
| <b>4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building</b> |   |   |                                   |   |             |  |
| 4.6.3  | There is a limited education and awareness programme  | Necessary steps will be taken to increase education and awareness programme         | next 2 year                       | Department of Archaeology,Ministry of Cultural Affairs.Bangladesh | no comments |  |

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

not applicable

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

|  |                |
|--|----------------|
| Conservation   | Positive       |
| Research and monitoring                                      | Positive       |
| Management effectiveness                                     | Positive       |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Positive       |
| Recognition  | Positive       |
| Education  | Positive       |
| Infrastructure development                                   | Positive       |
| Funding for the property                                     | Positive       |
| International cooperation                                    | Positive       |
| Political support for conservation                           | Positive       |
| Legal / Policy framework                                     | Positive       |
| Lobbying   | Not applicable |
| Institutional coordination                                   | Positive       |
| Security   | Positive       |
| Other (please specify)                                       | Not applicable |

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

not applicable

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

|   |
|---|
| Governmental institution responsible for the property |
|---|

|  |
|--|
| Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff |
| Staff from other World Heritage properties             |

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

not applicable

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

|                            |           |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| UNESCO                     | Good      |
| State Party Representative | Very good |
| Advisory Body              | Very poor |

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

|   |
|---|
| The World Heritage Convention                                     |
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value                        |
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value                        |
| The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity                    |
| The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity                    |
| Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting  |
| Management effectiveness  |

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

|                 |                |
|-----------------|----------------|
| UNESCO          | Satisfactory   |
| State Party     | Satisfactory   |
| Site Managers   | Satisfactory   |
| Advisory Bodies | Not Applicable |

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Draft of "SOUV" has been submitted to the World Heritage Committee.

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The preparation of map is on process. By December 2011 it will be submitted to the World Heritage Center.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**

not applicable