

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Mosque City of Bagerhat

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Bangladesh

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

321

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1985


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Mosque City of Bagerhat	22.667 / 89.8	0	0	0	1985
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

at the time of nomination the property area was not mentioned. Now the property area is 20.26 ha.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Bagerhat-Layout of Old City of Khalifatabad	30/12/1983	

Comment

The preparation of map preparing is on process. It will be submitted by December 2011.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Department of Archaeology, Ministry Cultural Affairs, Bangladesh

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Ysmin s

Regional Director
Department of Archaeology

Comment

Lovely Yasmin , Custodian/ Site Manager, Bagerhat Museum, Sunderghona, Bagerhat, Bangladesh. Tell+880-468-62786

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. Patrimonium-mundi.org : visit this site in [panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images](#)

Comment

<http://www.archaeology.gov.bd> <http://www.moca.gov.bd>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Deputy Commissioner of Bagehat District, Bangladesh.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

submitted to World Heritage Committee

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

Comment

Bagerhat Mosque City (known as Khalifatabad) of 15th century A.D. which illustrate the medieval Islamic architectural feature. The outstanding architecture of Tughlaq style which represent the Turkish Architecture. The Mosque City contains some of the most significant buildings of the initial period of the development of Muslim architecture in Bengal.

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The Mosque City (known as Khalifatabad) of 15th century A.D. which illustrate the medieval Islamic architectural feature city.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

not applicable

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

All the information related to 'SOUV' has been sent to World Heritage Center at 1st February 2011 by the state party.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

not applicable

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1 Housing							
3.1.2 Commercial development							
3.1.3 Industrial areas							
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.1 Water infrastructure							
3.3.4 Localised utilities							
3.3.5 Major linear utilities							
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.1 Pollution of marine waters							
3.4.2 Ground water pollution							
3.4.3 Surface water pollution							
3.4.5 Solid waste							
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources							
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals							
3.5.5 Crop production							
3.6	Physical resource extraction						
3.6.4 Water (extraction)							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1 Wind							
3.7.2 Relative humidity							
3.7.3 Temperature							
3.7.4 Radiation/light							
3.7.5 Dust							
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.7 Pests							
3.7.8 Micro-organisms							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1 Illegal activities							
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1 Storms							

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.3 Drought						
3.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.3 Tsunami/tidal wave						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
3.12.3 Invasive / alien freshwater species						
3.12.4 Invasive / alien marine species						
3.12.5 Hyper-abundant species						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.2 Commercial development	extensive	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.3 Industrial areas	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	widespread	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.3 Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4 Localised utilities	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.5 Solid waste	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.5 Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind	restricted	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7.2 Relative humidity	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7.3 Temperature	restricted	on-going	significant	low capacity	static
3.7.5 Dust	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7.8 Micro-organisms	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	widespread	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	widespread	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.9 Other human activities					
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.10.2 Flooding	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.12.3 Invasive / alien freshwater species	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

not comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

To demarcate the Buffer Zone area is very essential. Soon Department of Archaeology will take a step to demarcate the Buffer Zone area with the help of Local consultation and expert opinion.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The property is managed under the powers of the Antiquities Act, 1976. In addition the DoA operates under the Antiquities Export Control Act, 1947, the Immovable Antiquities Preservation Rules, 1976, the Conservation Manual, 1923 and the Archaeological Works Code, 1938.

Comment

The property is managed under 1. The Antiquities Act No. xiv of 1968 (amended in 1976) 2. The conservation manual, 1923 and 3. The archaeological works code 1938.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

DOA is working hard to implement the legal framework properly.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

We (The state party) need to formalize a total Management system/ Management plan more strongly.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The following documents listed in 4.3.1 are currently in force-
1. An annual work plan or business plan.
2. Traditional/Customary arrangements recognized by the traditional inhabitants of the area.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional /

provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

We have to improve the Management system/Management plan.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Not applicable

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	2%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	83%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	15%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

There is **no budget** for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Not applicable

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being

transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Need to increase human resources, expertise and training programme.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1. Khalifatabad>Bagerhat by Md. Mosharraf Hossain, Department of Archaeology, Dhaka-2003, 120 taka, 2. Paharpur & Bagerhat two World Cultural Heritage of Bangladesh by Dr. Md. Shafiqul Alam, DOA and UNESCO Dhaka 2004, 125 taka 3. Historic Mosque City of Bagehat, folder, DOA, Dhaka, 2003

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Not applicable

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location** and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average

Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Need to increase educational, informational and awareness building programme.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Not applicable

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

DOA is committed to the implementation of the recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Not applicable

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	criteria-iv	By pass roads to be constructed and visitors should be controlled	monitring system should be strengthened	next 3 years	Govt. of Bangladesh and relevant National and International Organizations	no comments
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind						
3.7.3	Temperature						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	criteria-iv	Religious uses need to control for the safeguarding of the cultural property	monitoring system should be strengthened	3 years	Department of Archaeology.Ministry of Cultural Affairs, Bangladesh and other relevant organization.	no comments
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	criteria-iv	necessary steps will be taken for improving Tourism/Visitor/recreation facilities by maintaining the safeguard of the property	monitoring system should be strengthened	3 years	Department of Archaeology.Ministry of Cultural Affairs, Bangladesh, Department of Tourism, Bangladesh and other relevant organization.	no comments
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage						

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	Necessary steps will be taken to demarcate the Boundaries	next 2 years	Department of Archaeology,Ministry of Cultural Affairs,Bangladesh.	no comments		
4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	To prepare an Advanced management plan DOA will take steps with the help of experts opinions, consultation from workshop & seminars.	next 2 years.	Department of Archaeology, Ministry of Cultural Affairs,Bangladesh.	no comments		
4.4 Financial and Human Resources							
4.4.13	Promotion	DOA will increase communication with international organization through Government for financial help.Necessary steps will be taken for recruitment (human resources).	next 2 years.	Department of Archaeology, Ministry of Cultural Affairs,Bangladesh.	no comments		
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building							
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	Necessary steps will be taken to increase Education, Information and Awareness program.	next 2 years	no comments	no comments		

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Not comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Not Applicable

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

no comments

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

Reason for update: Bagerhat Mosque City (known as Khalifatabad) of 15th century A.D. which illustrate the medieval Islamic architectural feature. The outstanding architecture of Tughlaq style which represent the Turkish Architecture. The Mosque City contains some of the most significant buildings of the initial period of the development of Muslim architecture in Bengal.

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: submitted to World Heritage Committee

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: at the time of nomination the property area was not mentioned. Now the property area is 20.26 ha.

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The preparation of map preparing is on process. It will be submitted by December 2011.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No comments