

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Macquarie Island

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

Australia/Asia and the Pacific/natural/Marine & coastal/629/rev1997


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Macquarie Island	-54.595 / 158.896	12785	0	12785	1997
Total (ha)		12785	0	12785	

Comment

The property nominated as Macquarie Island included the adjacent islets, rocks, reefs and the surrounding marine area to 12 nautical miles located between latitude 54 degrees 08 minutes 30 seconds S to 55 degrees 18 minutes 00 seconds S and between longitude 158 degrees 23 minutes 00 seconds E to 159 degrees 07 minutes 30 seconds E (refer page 2 of the nomination and the map at Annex 2 submitted 1996). The area of the site is 600,000 ha. This does not require consideration by the Committee.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Nominated area Macquarie Island	01/07/1996	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Mr Peter Burnett First Assistant Secretary Heritage and Wildlife Division Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities GPO Box 787 Canberra ACT 2601 AUSTRALIA PH: +61 2 6274 1111 Fax: +61 2 6274 2095 Email: Peter.Burnett@environment.gov.au

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Comment

Mr Noel Carmichael Macquarie Island Executive Officer Tasmanian Parks and Wildlife Service Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment GPO Box 1751 Hobart Tasmania 7001 AUSTRALIA Tel: +61 (0)3 62337876 Fax: +61 (0)3 62336559 E-mail: noel.carmichael@parks.tas.gov.au http://www.parks.tas.gov.au

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [The Official Site for Australian Travel and Tourism Australia](#)
- [Macquarie Island \(Tasmanian Parks and Wildlife Service\)](#)
- [Macquarie Island \(Australian National Antarctic Research Expedition - ANARE\)](#)
- [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)
- [Macquarie Island \(Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts\)](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

A Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted to the World Heritage Centre on 1 February 2011, awaiting World Heritage Committee approval in June 2012.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(vii)(viii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

<http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/world/macquarie/values.html>

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.1 Water infrastructure							
3.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities							
3.3.4 Localised utilities							
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.1 Pollution of marine waters							
3.4.2 Ground water pollution							
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.7 Pests							
3.7.8 Micro-organisms							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1 Illegal activities							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters							
3.10.6 Temperature change							
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.3 Tsunami/tidal wave							
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.1 Translocated species							
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
3.12.4 Invasive / alien marine species							
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3 Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.3 Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.3.4 Localised utilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.1 Pollution of marine waters	localised	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7.7	Pests	widespread	on-going	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	low capacity	increasing
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	widespread	on-going	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, and it is not needed

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Macquarie Island itself and the nearby Bishop and Clerk Islets and Judge and Clerk Islets, adjacent seastacks and reefs to the low water mark, have been declared a State Reserve with the name "Macquarie Island Nature Reserve" under Tasmanian legislation (National Parks and Wildlife Act 1970) (Statutory Rules 1972, No. 152 and 1978, No. 121).

The marine area to the 3 nautical mile limit is vested in Tasmania under the Seas and Submerged Lands Act 1972 and that between 3 and 12 nautical miles is vested in the Commonwealth of Australia under the Seas and Submerged Lands Act 1972.

Comment

All World Heritage properties in Australia are 'matters of national environmental significance' protected and managed under national legislation, the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. By law, any action that

has, will have or is likely to have a significant impact on the World Heritage values of a World Heritage property must be referred to the responsible Minister for consideration. This includes impacts originating from outside the property boundary.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The PWS usually has between one and three authorised officers working in the Nature Reserve throughout the year. These may be rangers, technical officers or wildlife management officers carrying out research and management programs. The ANARE Station Leader is also made an honorary authorised officer for the duration of her or his stay in the Reserve.

The Macquarie Island Liaison Officer is responsible for overseeing the management of the Reserve and some of the research programs, together with liaising with other organisations, private enterprise and the public regarding visits to or operations within the Reserve.

Comment

1. Management of the Reserve is governed by the Macquarie Island Nature Reserve & World Heritage Area Management Plan 2006 2. Access Authorities & Permits are required for entry to, & most activities within, the Reserve 3. A works program for the Reserve is produced each year 4. There is a Service Level Agreement between the Commonwealth and

Tasmania for the cooperative management of Marine Protected Areas including around Macquarie Island

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Macquarie Island Nature Reserve & World Heritage Area Management Plan 2006 Available from:
<http://www.parks.tas.gov.au/index.aspx?base=6182>

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No local communities are resident in or living near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer

zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	60%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	20%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	20%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

Not applicable

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	20%
Seasonal	80%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	95%
Volunteer	5%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Education	Not available
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	Not available
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Carmichael, N. 2007: Macquarie Island, Its Conservation and Management. Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania, Volume 141 (1), pp. 11-17. A list of current Macquarie Island Science projects and associated scientific papers can be found at: http://its-db.aad.gov.au/proms/public/projects/projects_by_place.cfm?season=1011&PRL_GBL_PLACE=MI

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of

the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Not needed
Trails / routes	Not needed
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined **but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Not applicable
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities						
3.3.4	Localised utilities						
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters	Criterion (vii) • extensive congregations of wildlife, including Royal and King penguins, especially during the breeding season; • majestic albatross (4 species) nesting on cliffs; • impressive colonies of elephant seals, allowing ability to view br	1. Active mitigation of marine debris from shoreline through removal and disposal 2. Maintenance of localised oil spill response planning and capabilities	Recording of marine debris deposition rates and types of marine debris found	Ongoing	Tas Parks and Wildlife Service Commonwealth Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities	Intensive marine debris monitoring and management has been undertaken since 2005
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.7	Pests						
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	Criterion (vii) • dramatic changes in vegetation cover due to climatic conditions • majestic albatross (4 species) nesting on cliffs; • the remote, dramatic and essentially undisturbed location	Implementation of the AUD\$25 million plus Macquarie Island Pest Eradication Project	Monitoring of direct and secondary impacts of rabbits, rats and mice on flora and fauna species throughout the Reserve	Ongoing through to at least 2016	Tas Parks and Wildlife Service Commonwealth Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities	Further information can be found at: http://www.parks.tas.gov.au/index.aspx?base=13013
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.3	Management activities						

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Please select your top management needs in question 4.9 before filling in the summary table.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

Not applicable (for sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: A Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted to the World Heritage Centre on 1 February 2011, awaiting World Heritage Committee approval in June 2012.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: The property nominated as Macquarie Island included the adjacent islets, rocks, reefs and the surrounding marine area to 12 nautical miles located between latitude 54 degrees 08 minutes 30 seconds S to 55 degrees 18 minutes 00 seconds S and between longitude 158 degrees 23 minutes 00 seconds E to 159 degrees 07 minutes 30 seconds E (refer page 2 of the nomination and the map at Annex 2 submitted 1996). The area of the site is 600,000 ha. This does not require consideration by the Committee.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise