

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Cultural Landscape and Archaeological Remains of the Bamiyan Valley

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Afghanistan

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

208rev


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2003

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Bamiyan Cliff including niches of the 38 meter Buddha, seated Buddhas, 55 meter Buddha and surrounding caves , Bamiyan District , Bamiyan Province , Afghanistan	34.847 / 67.825	105	225.25	330.25	2003
Kakrak Valley caves including the niche of the standing Buddha , Bamiyan District , Bamiyan Province , Afghanistan	34.817 / 67.851	15	33	48	2003
Qoul-I Akram Caves in the Fuladi Valley , Bamiyan District , Bamiyan Province , Afghanistan	34.824 / 67.798	6	40.5	46.5	2003
Kalai Ghamai Caves in the Fuladi Valley , Bamiyan District , Bamiyan Province , Afghanistan	34.82 / 67.787	5.5	0	5.5	2003
Shahr-i-Zuhak , Bamiyan District , Bamiyan Province , Afghanistan	34.826 / 66.89	18	13	31	2003
Qallay Kaphari A , Bamiyan District , Bamiyan Province , Afghanistan	34.811 / 66.844	0.063	0	0.063	2003
Qallay Kaphari B , Bamiyan District , Bamiyan Province , Afghanistan	34.813 / 66.85	0.064	17	17.064	2003
Shahr-i-Ghulghulah , Bamiyan District , Bamiyan Province , Afghanistan	34.833 / 67.839	9.3	13.2	22.5	2003
Total (ha)		158.927	341.95	500.877	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing delimitations of the proposed core and buffer zones	20/05/2003	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

The Department for the Preservation and Conservation of Historical Monuments

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- sitemanager sitemanager

- Abdul Ahad Abassi
Ministry of Information and Culture
Director of the Historical Monuments

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. UNESCO

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

SOUV was submitted to the WHC by 1st February 2011 and it is under review by the AB's.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

No comment

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

No comment

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No comment

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1 Housing							
3.1.2 Commercial development							
3.1.3 Industrial areas							
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure							
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.1 Water infrastructure							
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities							
3.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities							
3.3.4 Localised utilities							
3.3.5 Major linear utilities							
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.2 Ground water pollution							
3.4.3 Surface water pollution							
3.4.4 Air pollution							
3.4.5 Solid waste							
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.2 Aquaculture							
3.5.3 Land conversion							
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals							
3.5.5 Crop production							
3.5.8 Commercial hunting							
3.6	Physical resource extraction						
3.6.1 Mining							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1 Wind							
3.7.2 Relative humidity							
3.7.3 Temperature							
3.7.4 Radiation/light							
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.7 Pests							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1 Illegal activities							

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.9.3 Military training						
3.9.4 War						
3.9.5 Terrorism						
3.9.6 Civil unrest						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.1.2 Commercial development	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.1.3 Industrial areas	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	low capacity	increasing
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	localised	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.3 Services Infrastructures					
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities	restricted	one off or rare	significant	no capacity and / or resources	increasing
3.3.4 Localised utilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.3.5 Major linear utilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.4.5 Solid waste	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.6 Physical resource extraction					
3.6.1 Mining	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind	restricted	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.2 Relative humidity	widespread	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.3 Temperature	widespread	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.4 Radiation/light	widespread	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.7.7 Pests	localised	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	extensive	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.9.4	War	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.9.5	Terrorism	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.9.6	Civil unrest	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.10.2	Flooding	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.10.6	Temperature change	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake	localised	one off or rare	significant	low capacity	static
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static

Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

no comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The management of the sites is under the authority of the Ministry of Information and Culture, Institute of Archaeology and the Department of the Preservation of Historical Monuments, as well as the Governor of the Bamiyan Province. The Ministry of Information and Culture has a provincial local office representative in Bamiyan. There are ten guards protecting the site against vandalism and looting.

The 1981 plan on 'Rehabilitation and revitalisation of the Bamiyan Valley cultural heritage, has been recently reactivated and has the objective to prepare and implement a programme for the protection, conservation and presentation of the Bamiyan Valley, to undertake exploration and excavation of the archaeological remains, and to prepare and implement a programme for sustainable cultural tourism in the valley.

The Governor of the Province is responsible for the implementation of the regional development plan, which includes rehabilitation of housing, provision of health and educational services, and development of infrastructures and agriculture.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

None

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	95%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	5%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

No comment

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	50%
Part-time	50%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	50%
-----------	-----

Seasonal	50%
----------	-----

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	90%
Volunteer	10%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comment

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage

property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the World Heritage property is **not sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Poor

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some** management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	n/a	More discussion regarding such development , current and future	Immediate	immediate	Molc UNESCO	None
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	n/a	More discussion relating to the impact of these forms of development required	immediate	immediate	MolC DoHM UNESCO	none
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	n/a	none	ongoing	ongoing	n/a	None
3.7.3	Temperature	n/a	n/a	ongoing , if at all ?	ongoing	MolC ?	None
3.7.4	Radiation/light	n/a	n/a	occasional, if deemed necessary ? (for wall paintings)	ongoing	MolC UNESCO DoHM NRICP	None
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	n/a	n/a	occasional through research ?	ongoing	MolC UNESCO	None

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	Increase the extent of the current boundaries to encompass valley area up to the plateau, and other areas deemed at potential future risk in the central valley area	immediate	UNESCO / MoIC	No comment		
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	More public awareness and sharing of documentation (when available), more local interaction and forums with community stakeholders	immediate	UNESCO / MoIC / other relevant NGO's and organisations working in the area	No comment		
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	More public awareness raising and an increase in access to user-friendly documents / information relating to the buffer zones, their meaning and significance	immediate	UNESCO / MoIC / Local government / stakeholders	No comment		
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building							
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	Increase / instigate appropriate education and awareness programme locally and perhaps nationally	immediate / near future	UNESCO / MoIC / NGO's / Bamiyan University / MoE at a local level	No comment		
4.7 Visitor Management							
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	Implement some more formal recording of visitors to Bamiyan and World Heritage sites (through recorded ticket sales, possibly flight records for numbers, and questionnaires etc).	immediate	UNESCO / MoIC / other relevant NGO's working in the cultural field	No comment		
4.8 Monitoring							

4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	More standardised format and plan for monitoring sites where it is deemed appropriate. Increase in visits to areas of the site most seen as at risk, by relevant professionals and monitoring teams.	immediate	UNESCO / MoIC / DoHM / other related NGO's working in the cultural or development field in Bamiyan	No comment
-------	---	--	-----------	--	------------

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Negative
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Not applicable
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Needs to be available to the State Party in languages other than English ! Perhaps some language that is too technical ?

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Unsatisfactory
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: SOUV was submitted to the WHC by 1st February 2011 and it is under review by the AB's.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise