

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Statue of Liberty

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- United States of America

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

307

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1984

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Statue of Liberty	40.689 / -74.045	5	0	5	1984
Total (ha)		5	0	5	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Statue of Liberty - map of the inscribed property	01/03/2006	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Jonathan Putnam
US National Park Service Office of International Affairs
World Heritage Program Officer

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- David Luchsinger
Statue of Liberty National Monument
Superintendent

Comment

Mobile Telephone: +1 718 594 6910 Landline phone system will not be available until November 2013.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. Patrimonium-mundi.org : visit this site in [panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images](#)
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
3. [Statue of Liberty National Monument \(U.S. World Heritage\)](#)
4. [Statue of Liberty National Monument \(U.S. National Park Service\)](#)
5. [World Heritage in the United States](#)

Comment

#3 web address is not working and should be deleted.

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Executive Orders Presidential Proclamation No. 1713 (October 15, 1924), creating the Statue of Liberty within Fort Wood Executive Order No. 6228 (July 28, 1933), transferring the Statue from the War Department to the National Park Service Presidential Proclamation No. 2250 (September 7, 1937), adding the remainder of the island to the Statue of Liberty National Monument Presidential Proclamation No. 3656 (May 11, 1965), adding Ellis Island to the Statue of Liberty National Monument

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Significance

The Statue of Liberty Enlightening the World, a hollow colossus composed of thinly pounded copper sheets over a steel framework, was designed in Paris by the French sculptor Frederic Bartholdi, in collaboration with the French engineer Gustave Eiffel, who was responsible for its frame, intended as a gift from France for the centenary of American independence in 1876. Its design and construction were recognized at the time as one of the greatest technical achievements of the 19th century, and, when finally dedicated a decade later, it was hailed as a bridge between art and engineering. Atop its pedestal, designed by noted American architect Richard Morris Hunt, on an island at the entrance to New York Harbour, the Statue has since welcomed millions of immigrants who arrived in the United States by sea.

Criteria

- (i) This colossal statue is a masterpiece of the human spirit. The collaboration between the sculptor Bartholdi and the engineer Eiffel resulted in the production of a technological wonder that brings together art and engineering in a new and powerful way.
- (vi) The symbolic value of the Statue of Liberty lies in two basic factors. It was presented by France with the intention of affirming the historical alliance between the two nations. It was financed by international subscription in recognition of the establishment of the principles of freedom and democracy by the U.S. Declaration of Independence, which the Statue holds in her left hand. The Statue also soon became and has endured as a symbol of the migration of people from many countries into the United States in the late 19th and the early 20th centuries. She endures as a highly potent symbol – inspiring contemplation, debate and protest – of ideals such as liberty, peace, human rights, abolition of slavery, democracy and opportunity.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

- (i)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

- i) The statue, a hollow colossus composed of thinly pounded copper sheets over a steel framework representing the collaboration between the sculptor Bartholdi and the engineer Eiffel, resulting in a technological wonder that brings together art and engineering in a new and powerful way. (vi) The highly potent symbol of the Statue of Liberty – inspiring contemplation, debate and protest – of ideals such as liberty,

peace, human rights, abolition of slavery, democracy and opportunity.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.1.2	Commercial development		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.1.3	Industrial areas		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕			⚠	🔄	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure		⊖	⚠		🔄	
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure	⊕		⚠		🔄	
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	⊕	⊖	⚠		🔄	
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.1	Water infrastructure	⊕	⊖	⚠	⚠	🔄	
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	⊕		⚠	⚠	🔄	
3.3.4	Localised utilities	⊕	⊖	⚠	⚠	🔄	
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	⊕		⚠		🔄	
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.4.3	Surface water pollution		⊖	⚠		🔄	
3.4.4	Air pollution		⊖	⚠		🔄	
3.4.5	Solid waste		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.7.2	Relative humidity		⊖	⚠		🔄	
3.7.3	Temperature		⊖	⚠		🔄	
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)		⊖	⚠		🔄	
3.7.8	Micro-organisms		⊖	⚠		🔄	
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	⊕		⚠	⚠	🔄	
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	⊕			⚠	🔄	
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	⊕	⊖	⚠		🔄	
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage		⊖	⚠	⚠	🔄	
3.9.5	Terrorism		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms		⊖	⚠	⚠	🔄	
3.10.2	Flooding		⊖	⚠	⚠	🔄	
3.10.6	Temperature change		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.2	Earthquake		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.11.3	Tsunami/tidal wave		⊖		⚠	🔄	
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)		⊖		⚠	🔄	

Name	Impact	Origin
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species		
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species		
3.13 Management and institutional factors		
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities		
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities		
3.13.3 Management activities		
Legend	Current	Potential
	Negative	Positive
	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure	widespread	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
3.3 Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3.4 Localised utilities	localised	frequent	insignificant	low capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.3 Surface water pollution	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	static
3.4.4 Air pollution	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	decreasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2 Relative humidity	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.3 Temperature	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.7.8 Micro-organisms	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.9 Other human activities					
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.10.2 Flooding	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, and it is not needed

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

No buffer zones, boundaries have not changed.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Statue of Liberty, a gift from the people of France to the people of the United States, is owned by the United States Government on behalf of the United States public. It is managed by the National Park Service, a federal agency. As a National Monument it receives the highest level of conservation protection afforded by federal law in the United States. The President of the United States declared the Statue of Liberty a National Monument by Presidential Proclamation on 15 October 1924, when it was administered by the War Department. It was transferred to the National Park Service in 1933.

The Statue of Liberty is part of the Statue of Liberty National Monument. Its legal status is determined by its ownership by the Federal Government. The Statue of Liberty, while it has been under Federal administration since its construction, was

established as a unit of the National Park System by the Presidential Proclamation of President Franklin D. Roosevelt in 1933.

Executive Orders

Presidential Proclamation No. 1713 (October 15, 1924), creating the Statue of Liberty within Fort Wood
 Executive Order No. 6228 (July 28, 1933), transferring the Statue from the War Department to the National Park Service
 Presidential Proclamation No. 2250 (September 7, 1937), adding the remainder of the island to the Statue of Liberty National Monument
 Presidential Proclamation No. 3656 (May 11, 1965), adding Ellis Island to the Statue of Liberty National Monument

Federal Laws

Act for the Preservation of American Antiquities (1906) (16 USC 431-433)
 Organic Act of 1916 (16 USC 1 et seq.)
 Historic Sites Act of 1935 (16 USC 461-467)
 Museum Properties Management Act of 1955 (16 USC, section 18 [f])
 National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (1966) (16 USC 470-471t)
 National Environmental Policy Act (1969)
 Archeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 USC 469-469C)
 Archeological Resources Protection Act (1979) (16 USC 470aa-mm)
 American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978 (42 USC 1996)
 Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990 (25 USC 3001-13)
 Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 USC 1531-1543)

Federal Regulations

36 CFR Part 1 "General Provisions"
 36 CFR Part 2 "Resource Protection, Public Use and Recreation"
 36 CFR section 2.5 "Research Specimens"
 36 CFR Part 79 "Curation of Federally-Owned and Administered Archeological Collections"
 36 CFR Part 1228 "Disposition of Federal Records"
 40 CFR Parts 1500-1508 "National Environmental Protection Act Regulations"
 41 CFR 101 "Federal Property Management Regulations"
 43 CFR Part 3 "Preservation of American Antiquities"
 43 CFR Part 7 "Archeological Resource Protection"
 43 CFR Part 10 "Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation"
 44 USC 3301 et seq. "Disposal of Federal Records"
 50 CFR sections 17.11 and 17.12 "Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants"

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining

the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Declining federal budgets will have an effect on enforcement in the future.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Superintendent oversees the day-to-day management of the site and reports to the Regional Director, who reports to the National Park Service Director. It is the policy of the National Park Service to apply the concept of management accountability to all strategies, plans, guidance and procedures that govern programs and operations at all levels of the National Park Service. The National Park Service will, through its organization, policies and procedures, implement management accountability systems to reasonably ensure that:

- (1) Programs achieve their intended results;
- (2) Resources are allocated consistent with the National Park Service mission;
- (3) Programs and resources are protected from fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement;
- (4) Laws and regulations are followed; and,
- (5) Reliable and timely information is obtained, maintained, reported and used for decision-making.

The United States National Park Service operates the properties under its administration in accordance with long term comprehensive general management plans, prepared at substantial intervals or when there are major changes in park development or direction. Resource management plans, comprehensive interpretive plans, and interim directives supplement the General Management Plan. The General Management Plan provides strategies for meeting management objectives in four main areas: resource management; visitor use and enjoyment; park operations and administration; and partnerships and outreach.

The General Management Plan for the Statue of Liberty National Monument was approved in September 1982. Since that time the Statue of Liberty has seen:

- The major restoration of the Statue of Liberty in 1986
- The opening of the Ellis Island Immigration Museum in 1990

- The increase of visitors from one million in 1982 to over 5 million in 2000 (these numbers decreased in 2002 and 2003)

- The increased security measures necessitated by the terrorist attacks on New York City on September 11, 2001. Closing of the Statue of Liberty monument as part of the increased security measures and the reopening of this monument on August 3, 2004 with new security and visitor management procedures.

The National Park Service began a new long-range management plan for the Statue of Liberty National Monument in 2003. This General Management Plan (GMP) will determine how best to protect and preserve the Statue of Liberty while making the experience for visitors more meaningful and enjoyable. This new General Management Plan should be completed by the end of 2007. More information on the Statue of Liberty planning process can be found at www.libertyellisplan.org

Comment

The Park is currently working on the development of its Foundation Document for future planning and management. The Foundation Document will provide underlying guidance for all management and planning decisions for the park. It will describe the core mission by identifying the purpose, significance, fundamental and important resources and values, interpretive themes, assessment of planning and data needs, special mandates and administrative commitments and the park's setting in the region.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Park cultural resource reports and administrative histories are on the NPS History website, http://www.cr.nps.gov/history/parkhistories_NEW.htm#s, look up Statue of Liberty and Ellis Island. For current information about the day to day management of the park, go to the park's website at www.nps.gov/stli.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good

Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Non-existent
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly participate in **all relevant** decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The park should complete work on the Foundation Document in 2014 and it will be posted on the park's website, www.nps.gov/stli

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The park has implemented airport type security screening for all visitors going to Liberty Island. Security screening is located at Battery Park, New York, NY and Liberty State Park, Jersey City, NJ and visitors are screened prior to boarding the commercial ferries. Additional screening is done on Liberty Island for those visitors who have tickets to enter the monument for pedestal and crown tours.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%

Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No international assistance has been received from the World Heritage Fund (USD).

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Base budget has been reduced 3% yearly for last 2 years and the trend is to expect reductions in the future.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	90%
Part-time	10%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	99%
Seasonal	1%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	Medium
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Navalurkar, Rajendra/Wolf, Zana/Wuebber, Ingrid (URS); Liberty Island Seawall Historic Structure Report John G. Waite Associates/Mt. Ida Press; Fort Wood Historic Structure Report National Park Service/Louis Berger Group; Complete Implementation Of Life And Safety Upgrades - Environmental Assessment John G. Waite Associates/Mt. Ida Press; Liberty Enlightening The World Historic Structure Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location, but **not easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate

Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Not needed
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Statue of Liberty reopened on July 4, 2013 after being closed for almost 2 years. The staff is developing the park's Foundation Document and has made educating the public about the Statue of Liberty's World Heritage status a priority for future programs and education development.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The park interpretive staff completes the Servicewide Interpretive Report (10-769 rev.) on an annual basis. This report is designed to provide an overview of how the park develops and provides a program of interpretation and education. It includes data gathered by the visitor surveys and statistics on educational programs, tours and special programs. A broader overview of Visitor Management is covered in 4.3.1 of this report.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

The park is working through its regional organization, National Parks of New York Harbor, to develop a closer relationship with the city tourism office to promote all cultural sites within the region, of which the Statue of Liberty NM plays a major role as one of the top visited sites and major economic stimulus to the region.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

The Statue of Liberty has been closed for almost 2 years because of a life safety construction project and damage from Hurricane Sandy. Lack of funding and limited staffing has hindered development of new monitoring projects at the current time. Current park management is interested in identifying and pursuing funding for new monitoring projects.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure	Criterion (i) Masterpiece of Creative Genius (copper statue)	Make the airspace over the Statue of Liberty a no fly zone.	Regular observations of overhead flights by helicopters and single wing airplanes.	Ongoing	Federal Aviation Administration	NPS has no control over restricting the airspace over the Statue of Liberty and has requested that the FAA make this area a no fly zone.
3.4 Pollution							
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	Criterion (i) Masterpiece of Creative Genius (copper statue and stone pedestal)	Investigate non destructive methods of cleaning copper and stone.	Ongoing photography of the monument Annual condition surveys of the monument by corrosion engineers	Annual	NPS	The park has assembled photographs of the statue from all time periods to monitor physical condition of the copper.
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Criterion (i) Masterpiece of Creative Genius (copper statue and stone pedestal) Criterion (vi) Association with Traditions, Ideas, etc.	Increasing numbers of tourists visiting Liberty Island. Number of people going into the monument (pedestal and statue) is limited by ticketing.	Track number of visitors by Statue Cruises (commercial boat company) Maintain daily/monthly/yearly visitor use statistics.	Ongoing	NPS and Statue Cruises (commercial boat company)	Controlling the number of visitors by ticketing has improved the visitor experience in the Statue of Liberty
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.1	Storms	Criterion (i) Masterpiece of Creative Genius (copper statue and stone pedestal)	Move infrastructure above FEMA flood levels as much as possible (utilities, generators, exterior lighting) Keep trees trimmed and healthy.	Ongoing	By end of 2014.	NPS	As a result of the recent hurricane, the park is in the process of moving all or most utilities and generators to a second floor level. New exterior lighting is being developed that will be above the FEMA flood level.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	No industries are involved with the park.	None	NPS	None	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.1	World Heritage emblem not easily visible	The World Heritage plaque is being moved out of the monument to a location on Liberty Island where a majority of the visitors have access to it.	January 2014	National Park Service	No further comments	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Maintaining World Heritage status is a significant goal for the Statue of Liberty.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Might consider creating different questionnaires for the different types of sites.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	None
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	None

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No assistance is requested from ICOMOS or UNESCO