

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Liverpool – Maritime Mercantile City

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

1150



#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2004

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Liverpool – Maritime Mercantile City	53.407 / -2.994	136	750.5	886.5	2004
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>136</b>	<b>750.5</b>	<b>886.5</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Location Plan of Liverpool World Heritage Site and its natural and built environment	29/01/2003	
Plan of the World Heritage Site, the Buffer Zone, Natural Features, Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas	29/01/2003	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Christopher Young  
English Heritage  
Head of World International Advice
- Paul Blaker  
Department for Culture, Media and Sport  
Head of World Heritage

#### Comment

Paul Blaker replaced as DCMS contact by Francesca Conlon, 4th Floor, 100 Parliament St, London SW1A 2BQ. 00 44 (0) 20 7211 6117 francesca.conlon@culture.gsi.gov.uk

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- John Hinchliffe  
  
World Heritage Officer

#### Comment

Property manager details have changed from John Hinchliffe to: Rob Burns Urban Design and Heritage Manager Planning Millennium House Victoria Street Liverpool L1 6JF Tel: 0044 151 2334216 email: rob.burns@liverpool.gov.uk

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

#### Comment

see also [www.liverpoolworldheritage.com](http://www.liverpoolworldheritage.com)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

All of the World Heritage Site is covered by Conservation Areas, and over 250 of the historic buildings/structures stock have Listed Building status that affords extra protection.

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief synthesis

Located at the tidal mouth of the river Mersey where it meets the Irish Sea, the maritime mercantile City of Liverpool played an important role in the growth of the British Empire. It became the major port for the mass movement of people, including slaves and emigrants from northern Europe to America. Liverpool was a pioneer in the development of modern dock technology, transport systems and port management, and building construction.

Six areas in the historic centre and docklands of Liverpool bear witness to the development of one of the world's major trading centres in the 18th- 19th and early 20th centuries. A series of significant commercial, civic and public buildings lie within these areas, including the Pier Head, with its three principal waterfront buildings - the Royal Liver Building, the Cunard Building, and Port of Liverpool Building; the Dock area with its warehouses, dock walls, remnant canal system, docks and other facilities related to port activities; the mercantile area, with its shipping offices, produce exchanges, marine insurance offices, banks, inland warehouses and merchants houses, together with the William Brown Street Cultural Quarter, including St. George's Plateau, with its monumental cultural and civic buildings.

Liverpool - Maritime Mercantile City reflects the role of Liverpool as the supreme example of a commercial port at the time of Britain's greatest global influence. Liverpool grew into a major commercial port in the 18th century, when it was also crucial for the organisation of the trans-Atlantic slave trade. In the 19th century, Liverpool became a world mercantile centre for general cargo and mass European emigration to the New World. It had major significance on world trade as one of the principal ports of the British Commonwealth. Its innovative techniques and types of dock, dock facilities and warehouse construction had worldwide influence. Liverpool was instrumental in the development of industrial canals in the British Isles in the 18th century, and of railway transport in the 19th century. All through this period, and particularly in the 19th and early 20th centuries, Liverpool gave attention to the quality and innovation of its architecture and cultural activities. To this stand as testimony its outstanding public buildings, such as St. George's Hall, and its museums. Even in the 20th century, Liverpool has made a lasting contribution, remembered in the success of The Beatles, who were strongly influenced by Liverpool's role as an international port city, which exposed them to seafarers, culture and music from around the world, especially America.

Criterion (ii): Liverpool was a major centre generating innovative technologies and methods in dock construction and port management in the 18th, 19th and early 20th centuries. It thus contributed to the building up of the international mercantile systems throughout the British Commonwealth. Criterion (iii): The city and the port of Liverpool are an exceptional testimony to the development of maritime mercantile culture in the 18th, 19th and early 20th centuries,

contributing to the building up of the British Empire. It was a centre for the slave trade, until its abolition in 1807, and for emigration from northern Europe to America.

Criterion (iv): Liverpool is an outstanding example of a world mercantile port city, which represents the early development of global trading and cultural connections throughout the British Empire.

**Integrity (2009)**

The key areas that demonstrate Outstanding Universal Value in terms of innovative technologies and dock construction from the 18th to the early 20th century and the quality and innovation of its architecture and cultural activities are contained within the boundaries of the six areas forming the property. The major structures and buildings within these areas are generally intact although some such as Stanley Dock and associated warehouses require conservation and maintenance. The historic evolution of the Liverpool street pattern is still readable representing the different periods, with some alteration following the destruction of World War II.

There has been some re-development on sites previously redeveloped in the mid-late 20th century or damaged during World War II, for example at Mann Island and Chavasse Park, north and east of Canning Dock. All archaeology on these development sites was fully evaluated and recorded; archaeological remains were retained in situ where possible, and some significant features interpreted in the public domain. A new visitor centre has been opened at the north east corner of Old Dock, which has been conserved and exposed after being buried for almost 200 years. The production and adoption of design guidance minimizes the risks in and around the WH property that future development might adversely affect architectural quality and sense of place, or reduce the integrity of the docks.

**Authenticity (2009)**

Within the property, the major dock structures, and commercial and cultural buildings still testify to the Outstanding Universal Value in terms of form and design, materials, and to some extent, use and function. Warehouses at Albert Dock have been skillfully adapted to new uses. Some new development has been undertaken since inscription and has contributed to the city's coherence by reversing earlier fragmentation. No significant loss of historical authenticity has occurred, as the physical evidence of the City and its great past remain prominent and visible, and in some cases has been enhanced. The main docks survive as water-filled basins within the property and in the buffer zone. The impact on the setting of the property of further new development on obsolete dockland is a fundamental consideration. It is essential that future development within the World Heritage property and its setting, including the buffer zone, should respect and transmit its Outstanding Universal Value.

**Protection and management requirements (2009)**

The property is within the boundary of Liverpool City Council and is protected through the planning system and the designation of over 380 buildings. The six sections of the property are protected as Conservation Areas under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

The properties within the boundary are in mixed ownership and several institutions have management responsibilities relating to them. The property is subject to different plans and policies, including the Liverpool Unitary Development Plan (2002) and the Strategic Regeneration Framework (July 2001). There are several detailed master plans for specified areas, and conservation plans for the individual buildings. A Townscape Heritage Initiative for Buildings at Risk in the World Heritage site and its buffer zone is successfully encouraging and assisting the restoration of buildings within designated areas of the property. A full Management Plan has

been prepared for the property. Its implementation is overseen by the Liverpool World Heritage Site Steering Group, which includes most public bodies involved in the property.

At the time of inscription, the World Heritage Committee requested that the height of any new construction in the property should not exceed that of structures in the immediate surroundings; the character of any new construction should respect the qualities of the historic area, and new construction at the Pier Head should not dominate, but complement the historic Pier Head buildings. There is a need for conservation and development to be based on an analysis of townscape characteristics and to be constrained by clear regulations establishing prescribed heights of buildings.

A Supplementary Planning Document for Development and Conservation in and around the World Heritage site addresses the management issues raised by the World Heritage Committee in 2007 and 2008 and was formally adopted by the Liverpool City Council in October 2009.

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(ii)(iii)(iv)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

Innovation-the architecture, engineering, transport, port management, observation and communication systems created and developed in Liverpool Global trade-the buildings and monuments, stories and records that evidence a central role in maritime trade Migration- communities and customs, buildings and monuments, stories and records that evidence Liverpool's central role Cultural exchange- global influence on music/arts Port city- docks, warehouses and commercial buildings and their relationship

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

Not applicable

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.2	Commercial development								
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure								
3.2.5	Underground transport infrastructure								
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>								
3.3.1	Water infrastructure								
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities								
3.3.4	Localised utilities								
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>								
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters								
3.4.2	Ground water pollution								
3.4.4	Air pollution								
3.4.5	Solid waste								
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>								
3.10.1	Storms								
3.10.2	Flooding								
3.10.5	Changes to oceanic waters								
3.10.6	Temperature change								
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>								
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>								
3.12.4	Invasive / alien marine species								
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
<b>3.1.1</b>	<b>Housing</b>	localised	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.1.2</b>	<b>Commercial development</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Some of these factors affecting the property with a significant impact may not be realised, and it is potential rather than actual that is an issue.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The areas enclosed in the World Heritage area are legally protected, and most of the buildings and structures are listed for protection either as Grade I or Grade II.

Grade I Listed Buildings are buildings of outstanding architectural or historic interest. Only about 2% of all Listed Buildings in England are within this category.

Grade II Listed Buildings are also buildings of outstanding architectural or historic interest, but not quite as important as Grade I Listed Buildings. Approximately 4% of listed buildings in England are within this category.

Grade II Listed Buildings are buildings of special architectural or historic interest. Approximately 94% of Listed Buildings in

England are within this category. There are five designated conservation areas falling wholly or partly within the World Heritage Site. The Castle Street Conservation Area, the Albert Dock Conservation Area, The Stanley Dock Conservation Area, the William Brown Street Conservation Area and the Duke Street Conservation Area were all designated by Liverpool City Council, under Section 277 of the Civic Amenities Act 1967 or Section 69 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. There are 255 entries on the statutory list of buildings of architectural or historic interest within the nominated World Heritage Site and these entries relate to 351 listed buildings. Thirteen of the entries are Grade I, 22 are Grade II\* and 220 are Grade II. The list of buildings of architectural or historic interest is now maintained by the Department for Culture, Media and Sport, under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

The properties in the area are in a mixed ownership. Some of the main buildings are owned by the Liverpool Municipality, the National Museums and Galleries on Merseyside, British Waterways, Merseytravel, and English Partnerships. Several properties are owned by private companies. The site is within

**Periodic Report - Second Cycle**

the boundary of Liverpool City Council, which includes the Local Planning Authority and the Local Highway Authority. There are several other organisations and agencies with management responsibility for the properties in the World Heritage area, including especially English Heritage, Liverpool Vision, Merseytravel, and others. Most of these public bodies are represented on the Liverpool World Heritage Site Bid Core Steering Group, created with the purpose to coordinate the management of the World Heritage site. The area is subject to different plans and policies, including the Liverpool Unitary Development Plan and the Strategic Regeneration Framework (July 2000). There are several detailed master plans for specified areas, and conservation plans for the individual buildings. A management plan for the property is in place. A Supplementary Planning Document for Liverpool was adopted by Liverpool City Council in 2009.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

Although a Management Plan is in place, this is in the process of being updated, and should be completed summer 2013.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**Section II-Liverpool – Maritime Mercantile City**

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

There are no indigenous peoples nor industry within the world heritage property, the buffer zone or area surrounding.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

No significant changes in the legal status, protective measures or management arrangements.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	100%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

No assistance received from World Heritage Fund.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

### 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium

## Section II-Liverpool – Maritime Mercantile City

Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

### 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

### 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

## 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

### 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

### 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

### 4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

### 4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but **not easily visible** to visitors

### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

### 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and *ad hoc*** education and awareness programme

### 4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

### 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

### 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

### 4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

## 4.7. Visitor Management

### 4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

#### Comment

A Visitor Management Plan was produced in 2006, and was updated in 2011, with monitoring and reviewing on-going.

## Section II-Liverpool – Maritime Mercantile City

### 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

### 4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

### 4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**No fees are collected**

### 4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

## 4.8. Monitoring

### 4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

### 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

### 4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**



**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	Housing	Potential development within the WHS buffer zone, at the Central Docks site on the waterfront.	This relates to the potential for development of high rise housing in the Liverpool Waters. Detailed masterplans yet to be worked up, discussions between Liverpool City Council, English Heritage and developer to be held.	Discussions and review are to be held.	Outline planning permission in place, development period of several phases over 30 years. No commencement date.	Liverpool City Council and English Heritage	Whilst the high rise development has the potential to damage the integrity of the World Heritage Site, there is no commencement date, and further detailed permissions would be required in line with UK planning legislation.
3.1.2	Commercial development	Potential development within the WHS buffer zone at Central Docks site. Potential development in Ropewalks character area within the property. Potential for development of high rise office on waterfront and potential small scale office in Ropewalks	Potential developments not implemented, and further submissions required, discussions between Liverpool City Council, English Heritage and developer to be held re: Central Docks. Ropewalks development potential threat but not major adverse.	Discussions and review are to be held	Outline planning permission in place for Liverpool Waters, development period of several phases over 30 years. No commencement date. Ropewalks proposals not yet implemented.	Liverpool City Council and English Heritage.	Situation with both Liverpool waters potential high-rise and single Ropewalks proposal continues to be monitored.
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	Waste water facility extension in northern docks within buffer zone. Permission required to meet EU waste water objectives, and new facility led to partial infilled dock.	Negotiations were undertaken to minimise intervention, and further work required on interpretation strategy for the dock	Proposal implemented but minimum impact on OUV.	Completed.	Liverpool City Council.	Although the proposal has been completed, further monitoring and discussion required to ensure that further extensions not required, and dock walls maintained. Buffer zone location.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
			Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners		Further promotion of the world heritage site and its boundaries.	Commencing with the publication of the new Management Plan, summer 2013, and a conference September 2013.	Liverpool City Council and English Heritage.	Increased promotional work to be undertaken that includes advertising the boundaries of the property.	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners		Further promotion of the world heritage site and its boundaries.	Commencing with the publication of the new Management Plan, summer 2013, and a conference September 2013.	Liverpool City Council and English Heritage.	Increased promotional work to be undertaken that includes advertising the boundaries of the buffer zone to the property.	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management		Refresh world heritage steering group to include more business representatives, supply property logo to business' to use in their publicity, hold conference on business, opportunities and the need for enhanced management.	Commencing summer 2013 with inviting business representatives onto the steering group, and hold conference in September 2013.	Liverpool City Council, Liverpool Vision, English Heritage.	Business advisors identified and agreed to refresh steering group, conference being organised with key speakers on heritage and business.	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources							

4.4.4	<b>Existing sources of funding are not secure</b>	Ensure that the tasks of managing, promoting, interpreting and monitoring the property are undertaken by a group rather than a single co-ordinator so that tasks are divided.	Commenced spring 2013 and on-going.	Liverpool City Council and Liverpool Vision.	Capacity is still available to undertake all the required tasks although there is no single dedicated post. The property is being managed by a group of people working closely with the Chairman of the steering group.
4.4.15	<b>Capacity development plan not implemented</b>				
<b>4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>					
4.6.3	<b>There is a limited education and awareness programme</b>	The education programme is being developed by both Liverpool City Council and other agencies, including National Museums Liverpool and private sector agencies such as Placed and Royal Institute of British Architects, through tours and events.	Reprinting of promotional material and tours and events have already commenced and will accelerate in the autumn of 2013 as part of the world heritage site conference.	Liverpool City Council and Liverpool Vision/Local Economic Partnership	Liverpool City Council is currently working with others to develop a programme of educational, awareness and promotional activity, and discussing with potential funders.
4.6.5	<b>The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted</b>	Whilst the boundaries and issues within the property are fairly well understood, the OUV is poorly understood. As part of the enhanced educational, promotional and interpretation programme, an emphasis on OUV of the property will be centrally placed.	Understanding of OUV will form a key theme in the conference in September 2013, and this will be followed by heritage open month in autumn 2013.	Liverpool City Council, Liverpool vision/Local Economic Partnership.	The OUV is central to a programme of enhanced education, promotion and interpretation and will form the core of the programme.

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

Whilst the property has been placed on the danger list, this is due entirely to the potential of one proposed development scheme that has not commenced, and those aspects where it may be judged have a detrimental impact on the property are unlikely to be implemented for many years. Discussions on these proposals are still on-going. Other projects are currently enhancing the state of conservation.

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

The status has led to much more international collaboration including the current European Heritprot initiative on fire safety and emergency planning in world heritage sites. it has also led to increased political support for heritage conservation

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
External experts
Advisory bodies

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

no

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

Opportunities for further information to be provided in the boxes that is not limited to a number of characters. A neutral choice button rather than a pasitive or negative.

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Not all of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

Automatically generated in online version

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**