

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Canterbury Cathedral, St Augustine's Abbey, and St Martin's Church

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

496


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1988

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Canterbury Cathedral, Canterbury , Kent , England , United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	51.28 / 1.083	9.15	0	9.15	1988
St. Augustine's Abbey, Canterbury , Kent , England , United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	51.279 / 1.087	8.42	0	8.42	1988
St. Martin's Church, Canterbury , Kent , England , United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	51.278 / 1.094	0.6	0	0.6	1988
Total (ha)		18.17	0	18.17	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map of the inscribed property	30/12/1987	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Christopher Young
English Heritage
Head of World International Advice
- Paul Blaker
Department for Culture, Media and Sport
Head of World Heritage

Comment

DCMS contact is Francesca Conlon 4th Floor, 100 Parliament St, London, SW1A 2BQ; 00 44 (0) 20 7211 6117; e-mail Francesca.conlon@culture.gsi.gov.uk

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Andrew Webster
Cathedral House
Chairman of the Management Steering Group

Comment

Andrew Webster Chairman of the Management Steering Group 48 St Martin's Hill Canterbury Kent CT1 1PP UK Telephone 44 (0) 1227 451281 Email: andrew.a.g.webster@btinternet.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Canterbury Tour - Into the Cathedral precincts](#)
- [Canterbury Cathedral \(Canterbury Cathedral OnLine\)](#)
- [Map of the World Heritage site \(MAGIC Map server\)](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

The property is protected under national heritage legislation and the principal buildings are either scheduled as ancient monuments or listed as historic buildings. The property lies within a conservation area and is governed by ecclesiastical law.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Significance

St Martin's Church, the ruins of St Augustine's Abbey, and Christ Church Cathedral together reflect milestones in the history of Christianity in Britain. They reflect in tangible form: The reintroduction of Christianity to southern Britain by St Augustine, commencing at St Martin's Church where Queen Bertha already worshipped, and leading to the conversion of King Ethelbert.

The successive architectural responses to Canterbury's developing role as focus of the Church in England – adaptation of Roman buildings, the development of Anglo-Saxon building in mortared brick and stone, and the flowering of Romanesque and Gothic.

The development, under St Augustine and the monks from Rome, of early Benedictine monasticism, which spread from its cradle in Canterbury throughout Britain and had a profound impact on English society.

The Abbey scriptorium, which was one of the great centres of insular book production, and whose influence extended far beyond the boundaries of Kent and Northumbria. The development of literacy, education, and scholarship at the Abbey meant that Canterbury became the most important medieval centre of learning in the country.

Canterbury's importance as a pilgrimage centre based on Augustine and its other early saints was transformed by the murder and canonization of Archbishop Thomas Becket, whose Cathedral shrine attracted pilgrims from all over Europe.

The wealth and power of the Cathedral in the 12th century, when the offerings of large numbers of pilgrims helped the building of the magnificent enlargement of the east end, with

its exceptional stained glass windows and the rebuilding of the choir and transepts following the fire of 1174. These features form one of the finest examples of Early Gothic art.

The Cathedral's rich panorama of Romanesque, early Gothic, and late Gothic art and architecture.

The establishment of Canterbury as the seat of the spiritual leader of the Church of England.

Criterion (i): Christ Church Cathedral, especially the east sections, is a unique artistic creation. The beauty of its architecture is enhanced by a set of exceptional early stained glass windows which constitute the richest collection in the United Kingdom.

Criterion (ii): The influence of the Benedictine abbey of St Augustine was decisive throughout the High Middle Ages in England. The influence of this monastic centre and its scriptorium extended far beyond the boundaries of Kent and Northumbria.

Criterion (vi): St Martin's Church, St Augustine's Abbey, and the Cathedral are directly and tangibly associated with the history of the introduction of Christianity to the Anglo-Saxon kingdoms.

Comment

Please replace this with RSOUV agreed by the World Heritage Committee in 2013

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The Attributes are under discussion by the Steering Group for the Property

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

The property comprises three elements and more work could be done to develop joint interpretation, education and management strategies. There is a potential area of development in the form of Canterbury Prison which is located between two parts of the property and is closing soon. A strategy regarding views of the World Heritage Site needs to be established. Further work is needed in certain parts of the property to conserve the historic fabric.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts							
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static

Area; Scheduled Ancient Monuments; Listed Buildings; Care of Cathedrals Measure; Ecclesiastical Exemption (Listed Buildings & Conservation Areas) Order 1994; Canterbury District Local Plan 1998.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 21, 2005

- **Question 6.02**
 - Area of Archaeological Importance (AAI)
 - Canterbury City Conservation Area
 - Canterbury (St Martin's) Conservation Area
 - Scheduled Ancient Monuments
 - Listed Buildings
 - Care of Cathedrals Measure
 - Ecclesiastical Exemption (Listed Buildings & Conservation Areas) Order 1994
 - Canterbury District Local Plan 1998

Comment

The Ecclesiastical Exemption Order was replaced by a new order in 2010 and the current Canterbury District Local Plan was adopted in 2006.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Policies are in place at a national and local level to protect the OUV but further work is required to develop the views strategy

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Planning policies need to be reviewed and the views strategy needs to be developed. The property could benefit from a paid coordinator to implement the management plan and to coordinate property wide interpretation, education and management strategies. Input is required into the redevelopment of the prison site.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Discussions are taking place regarding the need for and the extent of a buffer zone. A buffer zone has been identified but its extent needs to be reviewed. Policies affecting the wider setting of the property are included in the local plan. Closure of the Prison presents an opportunity to unite two elements of the property.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Area of Archaeological Importance (AAI); Canterbury City Conservation Area; Canterbury (St Martin's) Conservation

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Management plan is being implemented. Implementation commenced: April 2002. 32 projects were identified for implementation within the short term (5 years), medium term (10 years) and long term (15 years). The various owners/partners in the WHS are responsible for driving the projects forward, with coordination as necessary by the coordinating committee. Responsibility for over-seeing the implementation of the management plan and monitoring its effectiveness: Canterbury World Heritage site management plan coordinating committee.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 21, 2005

- **Question 5.02**

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

- **Question 5.03**

Set up date: 1997

Function: The Committee is formed from Site owners and managers, together with representatives of bodies with an interest in the Site, for example ICOMOS-UK. Its function is to act as a forum for discussion of issues concerning the management of the Site. The Committee meets twice a year.

Mandate: The committee undertakes the following roles:
a) To monitor the condition of the site and agree appropriate action to deal with any threats to its wellbeing. b) To develop and agree such further principles and guidance as might be needed for the protection of the outstanding universal values of the site, c) To monitor the implementation of the general and specific recommendations in the Management Plan. d) To discuss management issues and to promote co-ordinated management and joint actions within the site as necessary. e) To review the conclusions and recommendations of the Management Plan and to update it as necessary. f) To monitor statutory development plans and Government Guidance and encourage the appropriate authorities to keep under review the statutory and other designations, in order to ensure the continued protection of the site and its setting.

Constituted: formal


- **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Other effective management system

Management by site owners and guardians

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Canterbury World Heritage Site Management Plan. April 2002	N/A	Available	01/01/2002	

Comment

The Management Plan is being reviewed at the moment.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /

municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

There is no industry or agriculture within the property which consists of three religious establishments, two of which are still in use for their original purpose and the other is maintained as a ruin by English Heritage. Two educational

establishments occupy parts of the property, formerly included in the religious sites. The Management Plan is under review but the day to day management of the various elements of the property are maintained by their own management systems.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Changes to the national planning policies and the local plan have taken place since the last Periodic report.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	5%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	75%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	20%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No assistance has been received from the World Heritage Fund.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	97%
Part-time	3%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	95%
Seasonal	5%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	67%
Volunteer	33%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of research, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Detailed research reports on management issues are being produced on a regular basis and are shared with local participants and some national agencies. More general research is published either in the form of articles or books for distribution on a wider basis.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Most detailed research projects are related to specific management or conservation issues.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only partly meets the needs and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has not influenced education, information or awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

There is a requirement for further co-operation between the three elements of the Property to explain OUV.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor management documents will be revised and developed as part of the current revision of the Management Plan

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property

which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Entry Fees collected at the Cathedral and St Augustine's Abbey are paid into the general funds of each property and these funds are used towards the management of the sites. Information about the importance of the property is easily obtainable and is explained during guided tours. Visitor numbers during 2012 were down because of the effects of the London Olympic Games

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

No recommendations have been made regarding this Property

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Monitoring indicators will be developed as part of the revision of the Management Plan.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	The removal of the coach park further from the city centre has had two results. The OUV has been improved as the coach parking facilities are less visually intrusive but it takes much longer for visitors to get to the further parts of the property	The Canterbury World Heritage Steering Committee is lobbying to have a coach drop off point established outside St Augustine's Abbey which would bring more visitors to that side of the Property	Discussions will be maintained with the Canterbury City Council when the coach parking facilities at the New Dover Road Park and Ride have been improved.	On-going	Canterbury City Council and others	None
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	A busy road cuts through between two of the elements of the property	Improvement of the road crossing linking the two elements of the property via Lady Wooton's Green	The Management Plan review will monitor progress	On-going	Kent County Council, Canterbury City Council and the Canterbury World Heritage Steering Committee	None
3.13 Management and institutional factors							
3.13.3	Management activities	The various elements of the property are managed well but separately. The property could benefit from further integration and increased working together.	The Management Plan review will identify areas where increased working together could be beneficial	This will be monitored by the Canterbury World Heritage Steering Group as part of the monitoring process of the new Management Plan	On-going	The Canterbury World Heritage Site Steering Group	None

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	This is being developed within the current review of the Management Plan	On-going	Canterbury World Heritage Site Steering Committee	None	
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	The boundaries are being reviewed as part of the Management Plan review	On-going	Canterbury World Heritage Site Steering Committee	None	
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	Development of an awareness campaign through the promotion of the new Management Plan	On-going	Canterbury World Heritage Site Steering Committee	None	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	There is no industry in the area of the property but the management plan will develop further links with traders in the City Centre and industry in the wider area of Canterbury.	None	various	The attributes of the Property are being identified and developed by the Steering Group.	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						

4.6.4	World Heritage status has not influenced education, information or awareness building activities	Both the Cathedral and St Augustine's Abbey have education facilities which are well respected. The current review of the Management Plan will include proposals on more property wide involvement.	On-going	Canterbury World Heritage Site Steering Committee	None
4.6.5	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	Strategies to improve the presentation and interpretation of the OUV will be developed during the current review of the Management Plan including education, interpretation and community awareness to highlight the importance of the property.	Ongoing	Canterbury World Heritage Site Steering Committee	None
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	These will be developed as part of the current review of the Management Plan	On-going	Canterbury World Heritage Site Steering Committee	None

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

State of conservation of the Property is good but work is on-going to consolidate the fabric of the Cathedral.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The three elements of this Property have been recognised as of international importance for over a millennium and therefore World Heritage Status has not made a significant difference

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The questions are in some cases ambiguous and open to interpretation However the technical support from the World Heritage Centre was very good

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: Please replace this with RSOUV agreed by the World Heritage Committee in 2013

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No comment