

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

New Lanark

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

429rev


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2001

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
New Lanark	55.663 / -3.783	146	667	813	2001
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>146</b>	<b>667</b>	<b>813</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing the inscribed property and its buffer zone	28/06/2000	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Christopher Young  
English Heritage  
Head of World International Advice
- Paul Blaker  
Department for Culture, Media and Sport  
Head of World Heritage

#### Comment

Remove English Heritage. Add: Lesley Macinnes, Historic Scotland, Longmore House, Salisbury Place, Edinburgh EH9 1SH, UK. t: +44 (0)131 668 8653 e: lesley.macinnes@scotland.gsi.gov.uk Andrew Burke, Directorate of Culture and Heritage, The Scottish Government, 2H North, Victoria Quay, Edinburgh, EH6 6QQ, UK t: +44 (0)7771 600 574 e: andrew.burke@scotland.gsi.gov.uk DCMS contact Francesca Conlon, 4th Floor, 100 Parliament St London SW1A 2BQ +44(0)02 7211 6117 e: francesca.conlon@culture.gsi.gov.

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Lorna Davidson  
New Lanark Conservation Trust  
Director (main site manager)
- Gordon Mackie

#### Comment

The name of the organisation is New Lanark Trust, not New Lanark Conservation Trust. Ownership of New Lanark Village is shared between the New Lanark Trust, South Lanarkshire Council, and twenty private householders. Management at the local level is the responsibility of the New Lanark Trust.

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Scotland \(RCAHMS\)](#)
- [New Lanark Conservation Trust](#)
- [Robert Owen](#)
- [Historic Scotland](#)

#### Comment

web address is: <http://www.newlanark.org/>

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

Scottish Designations: 29 listed buildings and two scheduled monuments within the New Lanark World Heritage Site. A small portion of the WHS and a larger area of the buffer zone is designated as the Falls of Clyde Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) under the Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004.

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was agreed by the World Heritage Committee at its 37th Session in June 2013

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)(vi)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Attributes are in preparation and will be available from [www.historic-scotland.gov.uk](http://www.historic-scotland.gov.uk)

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>		
3.1.1	Housing		
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities		
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>		
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure		
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>		
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities		
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>		
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production		
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>		
3.6.2	Quarrying		
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>		
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation		
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>		
3.10.1	Storms		
3.10.2	Flooding		
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>		
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities		
3.13.3	Management activities		
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative
		Positive	Inside
			Outside

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development				
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity
					static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Ongoing training programme is in place involving Historic Scotland and South Lanarkshire Council to enhance the Council's capacity as planning authority to assess potential impact of proposed development on the Site's OUV.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

**There is a buffer zone**

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Site boundary and buffer zone boundary are both adequate for the protection of the OUV of the Site.

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The whole of the area is within the New Lanark Conservation Area, one of the first to be designated in 1973 under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act. Within a designated Conservation Area no buildings which are not protected by other statutory instruments (Ancient Monuments, Listed Buildings) may not be demolished without authorization. Within the area there are 27 Listed Buildings protected under this Act. It is noteworthy that no fewer than 21 of these are protected as

category A buildings, the highest level, reflecting the high national and interest of New Lanark. There is a number of Listed Buildings in the buffer zone. The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 makes it a criminal offence to alter, damage, or destroyed any Scheduled Ancient Monument without the written consent of the Secretary of State for Scotland. There is one Ancient Monument (the Museum Stair at Double Row) in the nominated area and two in the buffer zone. In addition to these statutes, some measure of protection, especially of gardens and designed landscapes, is also conferred by the Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedures) Scotland Order (1992), under which developers must consult the Secretary of State for Scotland when any development is proposed which may have an adverse impact on Listed Buildings, Ancient Monuments, or historic landscapes and gardens on the official Inventory of Gardens and Designed Landscapes.

#### Comment

Following a review of Listed Buildings and scheduled monuments within the site by Historic Scotland in 2009, there are currently 29 listed buildings and 2 Scheduled Monuments in the World Heritage Site. Line 4 should read.....reflecting the highest national and international interest of New Lanark. References to the Secretary of State for Scotland should be replaced by Scottish Ministers. These plans are set within the framework of the land-use planning system established in the United Kingdom by the Town and Country Planning Act (1947). The regional strategic Strathclyde Structure Plan (1995) includes a policy specifying New Lanark as being of special heritage significance. At local level the Lanark Local Plan (1983) lays down policies for the conservation and management of New Lanark, stressing the need for a development policy. The consultative document for the Lower Clydesdale Local Plan (1999) concentrates on traffic and the need to establish links between New Lanark and Lanark, reflecting the progress made since 1983.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

Protective measures are adequate for the protection of the Site's OUV.

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

Ownership of New Lanark Village is shared between the New Lanark Conservation Trust, the New Lanark Association, South Lanarkshire Council, and twenty private householders (for which restrictive covenants apply). Management at the local level is the responsibility of the New Lanark Conservation Trust. This is a non-profit-making charitable trust through which the development of New Lanark Village has been managed and through which the majority of funding for capital projects is channelled. South Lanarkshire Council is the local government body responsible for structure and local planning and for development control in South Lanarkshire. It also has powers and duties under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act (1997) and powers under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act (1979). Historic Scotland is the executive agency within the Scottish Executive responsible for discharging the Government's functions in relation to the protection and presentation of Scotland's built and archaeological heritage. So far as the natural heritage is concerned, the responsible Government agency is Scottish Natural Heritage. There is a long history of management planning and control in New Lanark, beginning with A Future for New Lanark: a Report to the New Lanark Working Party by the Feasibility Study Team published by the County Council of Lanark in 1973. This led to the creation of the New Lanark Conservation Trust and established the policy and principles that have governed the management of New Lanark ever since. No fewer than 47 discussion papers have been produced since 1975. The Trust has an outline Business Plan, prepared by consultants KPMG, and to this will shortly be added a Conservation Plan, as required by the Heritage Lottery Fund. It is perhaps relevant to mention in this context that in 1996 a team from the Trust prepared a business plan and development report for the World Heritage site of the historic salt mine at Wielicka (Poland). These plans are set within the framework of the land-use planning system established in the United Kingdom by the Town and Country Planning Act (1947). The regional strategic Strathclyde Structure Plan (1995) includes a policy specifying New Lanark as being of special heritage significance. At local level the Lanark Local Plan (1983) lays down policies for the conservation and management of New Lanark, stressing the need for a development policy. The consultative document for the Lower Clydesdale Local Plan (1999) concentrates on traffic and the need to establish links between New Lanark and Lanark, reflecting the progress made since 1983.

**Comment**

Replace above text with: Management of New Lanark WHS is the responsibility of its three main partners; South Lanarkshire Council, Historic Scotland and the New Lanark Trust. The New Lanark Management Plan 2013-18, launched 18/04/13 and strategically overseen by the management partners, who also have responsibility for its implementation as the framework for long-term detailed decision making on the

conservation and enhancement of the World Heritage Site and the maintenance of its OUV.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

A revised 5 year management plan and associated action plan has been endorsed by the the three main partners and will be formally launched on 18 April 2013. The action plan is currently being implemented.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area**

**surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Although the management plan for 2013 - 2018 is formally launched on 18 April 2013, a five year action plan, based on the management plan's objectives has been live since 2012.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

There have been no significant changes to the legal system in relation to the protection or management of the Site.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	70%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	20%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	5%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	5%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

No International Assistance has been recieved from the Word heritage Fund (USD)

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

The Management Plan 2013 - 2018 notes the need to review the financial and human resources required to maintain and enhance the property.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	50%
Part-time	50%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Not available
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low



Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

New Lanark Trust, South Lanarkshire Council and Historic Scotland are committed, through the Management Plan to review existing contributions to identify their alignment with the sustainable management of the site. The 5 year Action Plan which accompanies the Management Plan sets out monitoring indicators for management and conservation training programmes to build capacity of local Partners.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **not shared** at any level

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

N/A

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

The Partners recognise the need to develop and progress a research programme within the lifespan of the Management Plan. Knowledge about the values of the Site derive from research published at the time of inscription.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

Cataloguing and digitising of the New Lanark Trust's archives is currently underway. A search room has been established providing public access to the archive. Completion of the cataloguing, appropriate storage and digitising of the archives and the development of a publicly accessible online search facility for the collection is currently being implemented.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

Visitor management of the property is undertaken by the New Lanark Trust, the Trust employ visitor services staff and maintain a visitors' centre to orientate visitors to the property.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

As a visitor attraction the property has to operate in a highly competitive market. The Trust continues to ensure a high quality visitor experience. The current Action Plan includes an action to pursue opportunities to enhance promotion and marketing with Visit Scotland, the national tourism body.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average

Researchers	Non-existent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

N/A

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

N/A

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	<b>Housing</b>	Planning in Principle consented by the local authority for a housing development within the buffer zone. The development was assessed by Historic Scotland to have a potentially damaging visual impact on the setting of New Lanark World Heritage Site.	South Lanarkshire Council and Historic Scotland to mitigate the potential impact through commenting on the detailed design of the development.	South Lanarkshire Council need to be satisfied that the SOUV of the Site is protected before full planning permission is granted.	Once a planning application is registered with South Lanarkshire Council, the application will be determined within three months.	South Lanarkshire Council (and Historic Scotland)	None.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.4.13	<b>Promotion</b>	The World Heritage Partnership have committed to review their agencies' promotional support for the site and to align their activities, as set out in the current management plan's action plan.	Over the five year period of the current management plan.	New Lanark Trust, South Lanarkshire Council and Historic Scotland.	None.	
<b>4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>						
4.5.2	<b>Research in the property is not planned</b>	The World Heritage Partnership have agreed to implement a research programme which can be rolled out within the lifespan of the management plan.	Over the five year period of the current management plan.	New Lanark Trust, South Lanarkshire Council and Historic Scotland.	None.	
4.5.3	<b>Research results are not shared at any level</b>	Disseminate findings from the agreed research programme (which the Partners have agreed to develop) as they become available.	Over the five year period of the current management plan.	New Lanark Trust, South Lanarkshire Council and Historic Scotland.	None.	



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization
Advisory bodies
Others

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Poor
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

Management effectiveness
--------------------------

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**  
Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was agreed by the World Heritage Committee at its 37th Session in June 2013

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**