

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Kiev: Saint-Sophia Cathedral and Related Monastic Buildings,  
Kiev-Pechersk Lavra

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

• Ukraine

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

527bis

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1990

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Church of the Saviour at Berestovo	0 / 0	0.6	?	0.6	
Saint-Sophia Cathedral	0 / 0	5.02	111.81	116.83	
Kiev-Pechersk Lavra	0 / 0	22.9	108.34	131.24	
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>28.52</b>	<b>220.15</b>	<b>248.67</b>	

#### Comment

According to the Decision of 32nd session of WH Committee the integrated buffer zone was developed for two components of the property. It is 441 ha. It is approved by the Order of the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine №511/0/16-11 dated 05.07.2011. Relevant maps will be sent to the World Heritage Centre after the approval of Kyiv Master Plan.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Buffer zone of the Saint-Sophia Cathedral and Related Monastery Buildings	30/01/2008	
Old Pechersk plateau with Kiev Pechersk-Lavra, scale 1:10000	31/01/2008	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Ministry of Culture of Ukraine

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Lubomyr Myhailyna  
National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Preserve  
General Director

#### Comment

Please correct site-manager name: Lyubomyr Mykhaylyna  
The telephone numbers were changed-(+38)(044)406-63-25,  
fax(+38)(044)280-66-46 As the property consists of two

components, it has two Property Managers. Beside the above mentioned Site Manager, please, include the other one - National Conservation Area "St. Sophia of Kyiv", Olena Serdiuk, Director General, 24 Volodymyrska str.01001 Kyiv. Tel.:(+38)(044)278-26-20 Fax:(+38)(044)278-67-06 Email:sophia.kievsk@gmail.com

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Guided Tour of the Pechersk Lavra](#)

#### Comment

National Conservation Area "St. Sophia of Kyiv" - n.sophiakievsk.org National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Preserve - www.kplavra.kiev.ua

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (1972), Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (1985), European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage(1992), International Charter for the Conservation and Restoration of Monuments and Sights (1964), The Riga Charter on Authenticity and Historical Reconstruction in Relationship to Cultural Heritage (2000) etc.

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Revised Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was transmitted to the WHC in March 2014 after making amendments to it according to the recommendations of the Advisory Bodies.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(i):The property represents a masterpiece of human creative genius in both its architectural conception and its remarkable decoration. (ii):The property is a result of the cultural interaction of the Kyivan Rus, the Byzantine Empire and Western Europe. (iii): The property over the centuries had a major spiritual influence in Eastern Europe. (iv):The ensembles formed during almost nine centuries reflects changes in stylistic trends in architecture and engineering structures

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the  
Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be  
revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations  
related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

### **3. Factors Affecting the Property**

#### **3.14. Other factor(s)**

##### **3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>							
3.3.5	Major linear utilities							
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.2	Relative humidity							
3.7.3	Temperature							
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>							
3.9.5	Terrorism							
3.9.6	Civil unrest							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.2	Earthquake							
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>				
3.1.1	Housing	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources decreasing
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources decreasing
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>				
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	frequent	minor	medium capacity static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity static
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>				
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity static

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

According to the Decision of 32nd session of WH Committee and in order to improve the protection of OUV of the property the integrated buffer zone was developed for two components of the property. It is 441 ha. It is approved by the Order of the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine №511/0/16-11 dated 05.07.2011. The relevant maps will be transmitted to WHC after the approval of the Master Plan of Kyiv.

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Les législations qui concernent directement le bien sont les suivantes:

##### Lois:

- Une loi sur l'amendement de quelques actes législatifs en Ukraine pour la préservation du patrimoine culturel (N° 2518-VI du 9/09/2010).

Des amendements ont été apportés à quelques lois existantes:

- o Au Code d'Ukraine de délits administratifs: de nouvelles prérogatives sont données aux réserves historico-culturelles (comme c'est le cas du bien) pour pouvoir imposer des amendes pour les infractions commises sur les territoires des réserves et dans leurs zones de protection;
- o Au Code foncier d'Ukraine: le rôle des zones de protection et des régimes en vigueur dans ces zones sont définis; l'infraction de ces régimes entraîne des sanctions;
- o A la loi sur la préservation du patrimoine culturel: un nouvel acteur dans le système de gestion est créé – „les administrations des réserves historico-culturelles”.
- La Loi sur l'amendement de la Loi sur les musées et les activités muséales (N° 1709-VI du 5/11/2009). On régleme les fonctions des musées en tant qu'«institutions scientifiques, culturelles et éducatives», y compris en ce qui concerne les zones de la réserve, sans préciser leurs relations dans le processus de gestion avec les administrations des réserves.
- Un projet de Loi d'amendements à la Loi sur la préservation du patrimoine culturel.

Le projet se propose de réaliser la synchronisation, attendue depuis longtemps, entre la législation locale et les exigences découlant de la Convention du Patrimoine mondial: on introduit la catégorie «sites du patrimoine culturel de l'UNESCO»; on indique les exigences en matière d'authenticité, de restriction des nouvelles constructions sur les Sites PM et pour la participation du public à leur préservation; on introduit pour la première fois la notion de «zone tampon», etc.

- Un projet de Loi sur la préservation du patrimoine architectural et urbanistique proposé par le Ministère du développement régional et de la construction. Ce projet attache une attention spéciale: aux biens urbanistiques, au rôle des régimes et des délimitations, au zonage fonctionnel, etc.

##### Décrets Présidentiels

- Décret du Président d'Ukraine concernant la propriété de la Laure de Kievo-Petchersk. Cette propriété passe de la municipalité de Kiev à l'Etat. Le décret existait aussi pendant la mission 2009 mais il n'était pas suivi d'actes, alors que maintenant le Site Laure est déjà une propriété de l'Etat.
- Un projet de décret du Président d'Ukraine prévoit le transfert vers la sphère de gestion du Ministère de la culture et du tourisme de toutes les réserves qui sont propriété d'Etat et qui relèvent actuellement d'autres organes centraux du pouvoir exécutif. L'objectif formulé dans les motifs du projet est de perfectionner la gestion des réserves et pour mettre fin à la «collision administrative» à la suite du manque d'unité dans la gestion des réserves (tel est le cas, par exemple, du bien, géré de manière contradictoire par le Ministère de la culture et du tourisme et par le Ministère du développement régional et de la construction).

##### Arrêtés et ordonnances du Cabinet des ministres et de certains ministères :

- Décret du Ministère de la culture et du tourisme (de février 2003) pour proclamer «un monument du paysage»: le Paysage historique des montagnes de Kiev et de la vallée du Dniepr. Ce décret devrait avoir un effet direct sur la protection du paysage monastique fluvial.
- Ordonnance de la municipalité de Kiev (de septembre 2010) relative à l'adoption d'une Conception du développement stratégique de Kiev. Cette Conception contient une partie consacrée aux territoires protégés, y compris le bien et sa zone tampon.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, November 28, 2005

• **Question 6.02**

Ownership of the object was not changed.  
The State is a right owner of the object.  
Protection and conservation of the object was realized by the common activities of the following bodies:  
Ministry of Culture of Ukraine;  
State Service for the Cultural Heritage Protection;  
the State Committee of Ukraine on Construction and Architecture;  
Supervision Councils and Ukrainian Society of the Monuments Protection (at the National Level);  
Administrations of the National Conservation Area "Saint Sophia of Kiev" and the Kiev-Pechersk National Historical and Cultural Preserve;  
Municipal Council of the City of Kiev (at the local level).  
Regular inspections of the monuments state assure their protection and acceptable use.  
The object has a special legislative status which is defined by the following documents:  
"Standing Order about National Establishment of Ukraine" confirmed by Decree of the President of Ukraine dated 16.06.95<sup>1</sup> 45/95;  
Decree of the President of Ukraine dated 13.03.96 №181 "About adjudgement of the status of "National" to the State Historical and Cultural Preserve";  
Decree of the Council of Ministers of Ukraine dated 13.05.96 №500 „About the National Preserve "Sophia of Kiev";  
"Standing Order about State Historical and Cultural Preserve" affirmed by Decree of the Council of Ministers of Ukraine dated 24.07.2003 №1149;  
Decree of the Council of Ministers of Ukraine dated 26.04.2003 №624 "About affirmance of the Complex Programme of the Objects Conservation of the National Conservation Area "Sophia of Kiev" from 2003 till 2010".

The National Conservation Area "Sophia of Kiev" and the Kiev-Pechersk National Historical and Cultural Preserve are ruled by own statutes confirmed by Ministry of Culture of Ukraine and the State Committee of Ukraine on Construction and Architecture.

Addition:

There is a basic legislative document of the national level. It is Law of Ukraine "About Cultural Heritage Protection". The protection of the object is based on this document.

Protection of the Saint Sophia Cathedral and related monastery building, and Kiev-Pechersk Lavra is actualized by the Ministry of Culture, State Service for the Cultural Heritage Protection, the State Committee of Ukraine on Construction and Architecture, Administrations of the National Conservation Area "Saint Sophia of Kiev" and the Kiev-Pechersk National Historical and Cultural Preserve.

Authorities of the National Conservation Area "Sophia of Kyiv" and the Kiev-Pechersk National Historical and Cultural Preserve are defined by a special decision of the Government of Ukraine according to Law of Ukraine "About Cultural Heritage Protection" including:

- Decree of the Council of Ministers of Ukraine dated 13.05.96 №500 „About the National Preserve "Sophia of Kiev",
- Decree of the Council of Ministers of Ukraine dated 17.07.2003 №1103 „About the change the Standing Order of

the National Conservation Area "Sophia of Kiev",

- Decree of the President of Ukraine dated 13.03.96 №181 "About adjudgement of the status of "National" to the State Historical and Cultural Preserve,
- Decree of the Council of Ministers of Ukraine dated 09.08.2001 №1005 „About the use of the cultic buildings which are the architectural monuments and non amenable to sign away to advowee for permanent use",
- Decree of the President of Ukraine dated 21.01.2000 №20/2000-rp "About actualization of the protection measures to protect the cultic buildings",
- Decree of the Council of Ministers of Ukraine dated 09.08.2001 №1005 „About the affirmance of the repair and restoration plan of the monuments of sacral architecture, construction and reconstruction of the detached objects of the social and cultural purposes",
- Order of the State Committee of Ukraine on Construction and Architecture dated 25.02.2003 №15 „Concerning a conservation of the properties inscribed on the World Heritage List".

The National Conservation Area "Sophia of Kyiv" and the Kiev-Pechersk National Historical and Cultural Preserve are juridical persons.

Its address:

24, Volodymyrska St., Kiev, 01034, Ukraine - the National Conservation Area "Sophia of Kyiv",  
21, Sichnevogo Povstannia St., Kiev, 01015, Ukraine - the Kiev-Pechersk National Historical and Cultural Preserve.

Directors of these establishments are designated and authorized by a specially representative bodies – Ministry of Culture and the State Committee of Ukraine on Construction and Architecture, activity of which directs and co-ordinate by Ukraine Government. These bodies affirms the structure of the National Conservation Area "Sophia of Kyiv" and the Kiev-Pechersk National Historical and Cultural Preserve according to proposals of Directors General of such establishments.

It was formed Scientific Councils in these establishments to consider the basic problems of the protection and conservation of monuments. The specialists of other organizations have a possibility to participate in the work of these establishments with a suffrage deliberative.

It is a possibility to form also a scientific and restoration, a scientifically and methodical councils, a fund-purchase commission and other consulting bodies, which operate with regulations confirmed Directors General.

These establishments have the sufficient highly skilled manpower and financial resources from the state budget and from other sources for realization of management functions, protection and conservation of monuments.

These establishments realize scientific researches, co-ordinate execution of restoration and conservation projects. The authenticity protection of monuments is a basic principle of scientific restoration of cultural heritage.

These establishments regularly raise a qualification of its specialists, actively propogandize the principles and UNESCO Convention, have the sufficiently rich experience of the excursion work, regularly organize thematic exhibitions, regional and international conferences.

The object has mark, which indicates about its inclusion on UNESCO World Heritage List.

#### Comment

“Historical Landscape of Kyiv Hills and Dnipro Valley” was approved by the Order of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism №58/0/0/16-10 dated 03.02.2010. State Service for the Cultural heritage Protection and the State Committee of Ukraine on Construction and Architecture were reorganized. Their functions on protection and conservation of the property are transmitted to the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine. The address of the Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve is-9 Lavrska, Kyiv, 01015, Ukraine

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

Actuellement, les plans et instruments suivants sont prêts ou en cours d'élaboration :

- (1) Plan directeur d'urbanisme de la ville de Kiev. Le plan est prêt et déposé à la Municipalité en vue d'approbation.
- (2) Plans d'organisation du territoire du bien et de ses zones tampon (des plans de ce genre sont prévus à la suite de l'amendement de 2010 à la Loi sur le patrimoine culturel). On travaille actuellement sur des plans à part pour chaque composante du bien avec les zones tampon respectives, mais

il est prévu de les intégrer au sein d'un plan uni de gestion du bien intégral et de sa zone tampon intégrale. La Loi prévoit que le Plan définisse les délimitations, les zones de protection, le volume et les délais des travaux de conservation, de l'amélioration et de la préservation du milieu. Le plan contient aussi un programme de conservation. Un aspect important du contenu des plans, c'est l'étude d'impact visuel sur le bien, y compris sur le panorama du Dniepr.

(3) Plan de régénération (exigé par les Normes d'Etat de construction) qui procède à une analyse détaillée de chaque parcelle sur la zone protégée, en définit la destination et planifie des travaux de conservation.

(4) Plan de base historique et architectural, qui est la base nécessaire à l'élaboration des plans de l'organisation du territoire et des plans de régénération, ainsi que pour donner le statut d'aire historique. Cela implique une étude du tissu urbain, de la structure des rues et des quartiers, des axes visuels, etc.

(5) Plan de gestion. Bien que ce type de plan ne soit pas encore réglementé par une loi, un groupe de travail des administrations des deux réserves travaille actuellement à l'aide d'une méthodologie commune sur les conceptions de plans de gestion des deux composantes du bien et des zones tampon respectives. Dans sa version finale, le Plan de gestion sera commun pour le territoire intégral du bien et la zone tampon unifiée.

(6) Plan de justification historique et architectural – un instrument spécifique qui définit les paramètres concrets de la nouvelle construction dans une zone protégée en l'absence de régimes de protection, ou bien qui défend certains écarts par rapport aux régimes et aux réglementations en vigueur.

#### **Le cadre institutionnel actuel du système de gestion du bien comprend les autorités de préservation suivantes :**

- Le Ministère de la culture avec son Département du patrimoine culturel et des biens culturels est le gestionnaire principal et unique du bien qui a tous les pouvoirs pour le gérer.
- Les administrations des réserves «Sophie de Kiev» et «Kievo-Petcherski» qui sont réglementées à la suite de l'amendement à la Loi de 2010, reçoivent par la même Loi des fonctions pour assurer le respect des régimes dans les réserves, prendre des mesures de protection des sites, éviter les infractions sur leur territoire, etc. Les fonctions traditionnelles des réserves en matière de recherche, d'organisation muséale et d'exposition sont maintenues. Elles sont également impliquées dans les travaux de conservation des monuments. Les administrations des réserves n'ont aucun droit d'intervenir dans les processus en cours dans la zone tampon du bien.

Le cadre institutionnel de gestion du bien comprend aussi les partenaires suivants :

- La Municipalité de Kiev avec ses services : Direction de préservation du patrimoine culturel, Direction des ressources foncières et Direction générale de l'architecture. La Loi sur la réglementation de l'urbanisme attribue aux autorités municipales un très grand rôle dans la gestion, à savoir le droit d'approuver des délimitations, des régimes, des plans et de projets d'urbanisme, sans passer par l'autorité centrale de préservation – le Ministère de la culture.
- L'Eglise orthodoxe en principe ne participe pas à la gestion du bien. Elle ne fait qu'utiliser les biens religieux respectifs (tel n'est pas le cas pour le Site Sainte-Sophie où l'église n'a aucune participation). Néanmoins, dans le Site Laure, l'Eglise est un partenaire actif de l'administration de la Réserve.
- Les associations civiles de différents types continuent à être actives.



Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)  
Submitted on Monday, November 28, 2005

• **Question 5.02**

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• **Question 5.03**

**Set up date:** 1997

**Function:** Activity analysis, proposal advisement of the administrative bodies about a state policy in a domain of the cultural heritage protection

**Mandate:** Right of an advisory voice

**Constituted:** formal

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Management under contractual agreement between the State Party and a third party
- Management under traditional protective measures or customary law

**Comment**

Information of the Periodic reporting Cycle I is outdated. The Plan of Territory Organization of the National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Preserve is approved by the Order of the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine №604 dated 04.07.2013. The Concept of the Plan of Territory Organization of the National Conservation Area "St. Sophia of Kyiv" is approved by the Department of Cultural Heritage and Cultural Values 17.06.2013.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

The Management Plan for the World Heritage Property "Kyiv: Saint Sophia Cathedral and Related Monastic Buildings, Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra" was developed as requested by World Heritage Committee at its 33-37th sessions and in accordance with the recommendations of the reactive monitoring missions UNESCO/ICOMOS which took place in 2009-2013. Integrated Management Plan was developed by the joint working group, comprising representatives of institutions responsible for the operational management of the components of the property - the National Conservation Area "St. Sophia of Kyiv" and the National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Preserve relatively. The Management Plan was transmitted to the WHC 31.01.2014.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

The Ministry of Culture of Ukraine is a specific authorized agency for the protection of cultural heritage which is responsible for the management of the property . The National Conservation Area "St. Sophia of Kyiv" and the National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Preserve as components of the property are cultural establishments which are managed by Ministry of Culture of Ukraine.



#### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

##### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	67%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	26%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	2%
Other grants	5%

##### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Equipment to preserve ancient wall paintings, Saint-Sophia Cathedral, Kiev, Ukraine	1998	19750.00	
<b>Total</b>		19750	

##### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

##### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

##### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

##### 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

##### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

##### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Financial support for the conservation, use and management of World Heritage Site is performed from the state and local budgets, users of the monuments, funds of monuments protections (including international), donations of businesses and individuals and funds from other sources not prohibited by law.

##### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	97%
Part-time	3%

##### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

##### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

##### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

##### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

##### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

##### 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Personnel of the National Conservation Area "St. Sophia of Kyiv" and National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Preserve provides the direct administration of the World Heritage property. Periodic training sessions for Preserves specialists are previewed in order to study more deeply the international and national legislation in the field of cultural World Heritage management in Europe and other countries and other countries and their effective use for tourism purposes as well as their promotion.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

History of Russian Art in 22 v. - V.1 Art of Kyivan Rus. The IXth c. - beginning of the 12th c., - 2007 History of Ukrainian Art in 5v. - V.2 Art of the Middle Age. - 2010 Scientific publications dedicated to the monuments of the ensembles of St Sophia and Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra (total: 199)

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

Annual scientific conferences are organized by the National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Preserve (7) that result in publication of scientific collected volumes.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Adequate

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

Near main entrances to St Sophia Cathedral and Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra ensembles the information boards about the WH Site and schemes of the area with explication of the ensemble objects in Ukrainian and English are placed. System of information signs was designed for the easy search of infrastructure facilities. The direction pointers location scheme corresponds to the scheme of main tourist routes.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Static

Five years ago	Minor Increase
----------------	----------------

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

The Property has an annual Action Plan which include the visitor management activity and annual Statistic Report "On the Activity of Museums" for the State Statistic Department of Ukraine. Visitor management activity is regulated by the resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine "On Approval of the Range of Commercial Services Provided by Cultural Institutions" dated 12.12.2011; orders of the main authority body; book of the excursions registration; tickets inventory.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

Attracting of potential groups of visitors is put into effect through: - Cooperation with travel agencies, which signed tour contracts. - Participation in the annual Travel Markets and fairs; - Organization of annual meetings with the leading travel organizations Heads; - Involvement of pupils and students; - Creation of CDs, DVD- ROMs on architectural monuments and museum collections; - Creation of multimedia educational CD-ROMs, promotional programs and informational-reference software.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

Ukraine annually prepares and transmits to the WH Center State of Conservation reports in which it provides information about implementation of the WH Committee.

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

To identify the causes of adverse events, prevent their development and apply scientific-based approach to the implementation of measures for ensembles of Saint Sophia Cathedral and Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra a system of scientific monitoring is implemented. It provides regular cyclical inspections of all facilities and areas in order to assess their condition.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
<b>3.1.1</b>	<b>Housing</b>	Visual integrity; raising of ground water level caused by new construction affects the technical state of underground structures of the Property	Study of the Impact on the Visual Integrity of the Property "Study of the Monastery and River Landscape" (transmitted to the WHC on the 31.01.2014). Updated regimes of territory use and regulations were approved by the Ministry of Culture	Monitoring of the Site territories and its buffer zone is provided by the specialists of National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and National Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve with the assistance of the leading scientific organizations	constantly	National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve, Institute of Monument Protection Research, Research Institute of Urban Development, Institute of Geological Sciences under the National Academy of Science	No comments
<b>3.1.2</b>	<b>Commercial development</b>	Visual integrity; raising of ground water level caused by new construction affects the technical state of underground structures of the Property	Study of the Impact on the Visual Integrity of the Property "Study of the Monastery and River Landscape" (transmitted to the WHC on the 31.01.2014). Updated regimes of territory use and regulations were approved by the Ministry of Culture	Monitoring of the Site territories and its buffer zone is provided by the specialists of National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and National Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve with the assistance of the leading scientific organizations	constantly	National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve, Institute of Monument Protection Research, Research Institute of Urban Development, Institute of Geological Sciences under the National Academy of Science of Ukraine	No comments
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
<b>3.2.4</b>	<b>Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</b>	Material structure of the Property monuments	Protection against vibrations and dynamic loads provide exception of transit traffic flow through its territory and localization of movement of official vehicles to some areas; development of the layout of traffic flow and new parking lots.	Monitoring of the Site territories and its buffer zone is provided by the specialists of National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and National Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve with the assistance of the leading scientific organizations	2015	National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve, Research Institute of Urban Development, Kyiv Municipal State Administration	The approval of Kyiv Master Plan and the development of the detailed plan of the Property's territory will contribute to the realization of actions planned
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
<b>3.3.5</b>	<b>Major linear utilities</b>	Raising of ground water level affects the technical state of underground structures of the Property	Reconstruction of linear utilities, territory improvement, surface water disposal.	Monitoring of the Site territories and its buffer zone is provided by the specialists of National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and National Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve	constantly	National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve	No comments
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
<b>3.7.8</b>	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	Material structure of the Property monuments and underground structures	Desinfection, draining and repair works, waterproofing of buildings and structures of the Property	Monitoring of the Site territories and its buffer zone is provided by the specialists of National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and National Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve	constantly	National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve	All the documentation for the restoration of the monuments includes the plan of actions on the liquidation of micro-organisms
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	Changes of temperature and humidity regime in the Property buildings and underground structures (Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra caves)	Management of tourists and pilgrims flows	Monitoring of the Site territories and its buffer zone is provided by the specialists of National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv", National Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve and Holy Dormition Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra	constantly	National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv", National Kyiv-Pechersk Preserve and Holy Dormition Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra	Measures on the protection of the monuments of Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra are taken in close collaboration with Holy Dormition Kyiv-Pechersk Monastery

## 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	there is no need to do any actions	no timeframe	no agency			There is no industrial activity within the Property territory and its buffer zone
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building							
4.6.3	There is no education and awareness programme	It is planned to develop an education and awareness programme for the Property	2014-2015	National Conservation Area "St Sophia of Kyiv" and National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Preserve			no comments



### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

An integrated buffer zone of the Property will contribute to the conservation of its visual integrity that is under the threat of the active urban development

## 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization

Local community
External experts
Advisory bodies

### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

A limited number of characters to provide detailed comments. The general nature of the questions.

### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Revised Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was transmitted to the WHC in March 2014 after making amendments to it according to the recommendations of the Advisory Bodies.

#### • Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: According to the Decision of 32nd session of WH Committee the integrated buffer zone was developed for two components of the property. It is 441 ha. It is approved by the Order of the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine №511/0/16-11 dated 05.07.2011. Relevant maps will be sent to the World Heritage Centre after the approval of Kyiv Master Plan.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations  
related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting  
exercise**