

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

## Section II-Archaeological Site of Troy

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Archaeological Site of Troy

#### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

##### State(s) Party(ies)

- Turkey

##### Type of Property

cultural

##### Identification Number

849


##### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998

#### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Archaeological Site of Troy	39.956 / 26.239	158	0	158	1998
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>158</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>158</b>	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Troia, scale 1:5000	27/01/2009	

#### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

##### Comment

Ministry of Culture and Tourism (General Directorate of Cultural Heritage and Museums) Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (General Directorate of Nature Conservation and National Parks)

#### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Umut Özdemir  
Ministry of Culture and Tourism  
Art Historian  
General Directorate of Cultural Heritage and Museums

##### Comment

Telephone: +90.312.508 61 30 Fax: +90.312.508 61 15

#### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Troia und die Troas - Archäologie einer Landschaft](#)
3. [Explore Turkey: Troy - Truva](#)
4. [Troy](#)
5. [Turkey on the World Heritage List](#)

##### Comment

<http://www.ourplaceworldheritage.com/custom.cfm?action=WHsite&whsiteid=849>

#### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

### 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed (ii)(iii)(vi)

#### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

#### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

#### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value




















































### 3. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 3.14. Other factor(s)

##### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.2	Commercial development	 				
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	 				
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	 				
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	 				
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
3.7.3	Temperature	 				
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	 				
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	 				
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	 				
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	 				
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	 				
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
3.10.1	Storms	 				
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	 				
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	 				
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	 				
3.13.3	Management activities	 				
<b>Legend</b>	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
3.10.1	Storms	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The land ownership is subdivided as follows:

- The Citadel: Republic of Turkey;
- The Lower Town and Cemeteries: Republic of Turkey (ca. 75%), some private land owners (25%, with plans for land to be purchased by the State);
- Surrounding areas: Republic of Turkey (15 protected sites in the area), many private land owners.

There is no single agency charged with responsibility for the management of the site, although the Ministry of Culture and Tourism has overall responsibility. The site falls within the framework of Turkey's Protection of Cultural and Natural Properties Act No. 2863, as amended by the Act No. 5226 (2004) and its supplementary regulation no. 26006 gazetted 27 November 2005 concerning the Principles for Site Management.

The boundaries of the site were redefined in 2009, and validated by the 33<sup>rd</sup> Session of the World Heritage Committee (Decision 33COM 8D).

#### Comment

There is no single agency charged with responsibility for the management of the site, although the Ministry of Culture and Tourism and Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs share the responsibility. The site falls within the framework of Turkey's Protection of Cultural and Natural Properties Act No. 2863, as amended by the Act No. 5226 (2004) and also the National Parks Act No:2873.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

The responsible authority in charge of the management of the property is the Ministry of Culture, specifically the General Directorate of Cultural and Natural Heritage and the General Directorate of Monuments and Museums.

Plans for the protection of the surrounding areas around the site were published in 1971 by the Ministry of Forests, National Parks Department, and the government is committed to their implementation.

A Development Plan was published in 1992 by the Ministry of Culture, General Directorate of Cultural and Natural Heritage, defining the boundaries of the site, the areas to be taken into State ownership, the areas for public access, future excavation projects, etc.

The "Southern Canakkale Coastal Environment Zoning Plan" has been prepared and implemented by the Ministry of Reconstruction, in order to deal with the increased flux of tourists, due to a facilitated international access. There is a daylight hours visitation path for tourists, but most of the State-owned areas of the site are fenced off. There is no steering group or full-time site manager/coordinator.

**Comment**

Overall management system of the site -Management by the State Party -Management under protective legislation -There is no steering group or full-time site manager/coordinator. The responsible authorities in charge of the management and conservation of the property are Ministry of Culture and Tourism and Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

No management system / plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

No management system is currently in place

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

No annual work / action plan exists

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have no input into decisions relating to the management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is little or no contact with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are secure in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are some equipment and facilities but overall these are inadequate

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Non-existent
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Good
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Non-existent
Tourism	Not applicable
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Low
Education	High
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Not available
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

## Section II-Archaeological Site of Troy

### 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

**No capacity** development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

### 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

## 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

### 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

### 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

### 4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

### 4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations**, but not easily visible to visitors

### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

### 4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

### 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

### 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

### 4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

## 4.7. Visitor Management

### 4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
------------------------------

### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

### 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an identified need

## Section II-Archaeological Site of Troy

### 4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

### 4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

### 4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

## 4.8. Monitoring

### 4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

### 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

### 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

### 4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

### 4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

### 4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

## 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
<b>3.1.2</b>	<b>Commercial development</b> ii, iii	Taking necessary precautions against commercial development which may adversely affect the conservation and presentation of the site.	Monitoring will be systemized in the context of monitoring mechanism which will be formulized during management planning process.	Long term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	There is no commercial development which effects directly archaeological site, but villagers' places of sale in entrance area of archaeological site create a negative view. This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
<b>3.2.1</b>	<b>Ground transport infrastructure</b> ii, iii	Creating a large parking area within the context of new Troia Museum Project.	Çanakkale Regional Council for Conservation of Cultural Heritage	Medium Term	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	Parking in the entrance area is a problem, but this will be solved by the Troia Museum Project.
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
<b>3.3.4</b>	<b>Localised utilities</b> ii, iii	Taking necessary precautions against cell tower which adversely affect the silhouette of the site.	Monitoring will be systemized in the context of monitoring mechanism which will be formulized during management planning process.	Long term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	Cell tower in Tevfikiye Village effects the silhouette of archaeological site negatively. This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
<b>3.8.6</b>	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b> ii, iii	Preparation, approval and implementation of a visitor management plan	Monitoring will be systemized in the context of monitoring mechanism which will be formulized during management planning process.	Long term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	During high season of tourism, dense visitor movement effects the ruins in archaeological site negatively. This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
<b>3.10.1</b>	<b>Storms</b> ii, iii	Taking necessary precautions against storms which adversely affect conservation of the site.	Monitoring will be systemized in the context of monitoring mechanism which will be formulized during management planning process.	Long term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	In winter period, rain and storms are harmful for ruins in archaeological site. This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.

## 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>4.1.1</b>	<b>There is a need for a buffer zone</b>	Determination and approval of the buffer zone	Long Term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	Buffer zone boundaries will be defined in coordination during the preparation of management plan.	

4.1.4	<b>The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners</b>	Increasing the awareness of the local community about the world heritage boundaries	Long term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>					
4.3.4	<b>No management system / plan is currently in place</b>	Preparation, approval and implementation of management plan	Long term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	-
4.3.5	<b>No management system in place</b>	Structuring a well-functioning a management system	Long term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
<b>4.7 Visitor Management</b>					
4.7.4	<b>Visitor use of the property is not being actively managed</b>	Preparation, approval and implementation of visitor management plan	Long term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.
<b>4.8 Monitoring</b>					
4.8.1	<b>Some monitoring, but it is not planned</b>	Structuring a monitoring system in order to regularly monitor the site's conservation status according to scientific standards.	Long term	Ministry of Forest and Water Affairs (Gen. Direc. of Nature Conservation and National Parks) Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Gen. Direc. of Cultural Heritage and Museums)	This issue will be studied in detail during management planning process.



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
Advisory bodies

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

Automatically generated in online version

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**