

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Naval Port of Karlskrona

#### Comment

The world heritage site of Karlskrona is planning for an application for name change. The application will be sent to the Focal Point at Swedish National Heritage Board, but not within the Periodic Reporting.

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Sweden

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

871

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998


### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Karlskrona and the Island of Trossö , Sweden	56.163 / 15.583	254.397	1105.077	1359.474	1998
Mjölнарholmen , Sweden	56.167 / 15.6	6.825	?	6.825	1998
Koholmen , Sweden	56.159 / 15.609	6.032	?	6.032	1998
Kungshall (Basareholmen) , Sweden	56.15 / 15.6	4.997	?	4.997	1998
Godnatt , Sweden	56.141 / 15.594	0.393	?	0.393	1998
Kurrholmen , Sweden	56.15 / 15.55	2.224	?	2.224	1998
Ljungskär , Sweden	56.167 / 15.583	1.836	?	1.836	1998
Crown Mill at Lyckeby (Kronokvarnen) , Sweden	56.197 / 15.66	0.776	?	0.776	1998
Kungsholms Fort (Kungsholmen) , Sweden	56.108 / 15.588	26.623	?	26.623	1998
Drottningkärs Citadel (Kastellet) , Sweden	56.11 / 15.565	10.891	?	10.891	1998
Skärva , Sweden	56.201 / 15.574	5.423	?	5.423	1998
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>320.417</b>	<b>1105.077</b>	<b>1425.494</b>	

#### Comment

Kungshall (Basareholmen) , Sweden should read: Basareholmen, Sweden. Kungshall is part of Stumholmen and included in "Karlskrona and the Island of Trossö" area.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Naval Port of Karlskrona - map of the inscribed property	03/07/1997	

#### Comment

Updated map with coordinate system included will be sent in by the Focal Point at Swedish National Heritage Board.

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Maria Wikman  
Swedish National Heritage Board  
Senior Adviser

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Anders Söderberg  
The County Administrative Board of Blekinge  
Site Manager

#### Comment

The county Administrative Board of Blekinge has moved and changed telephone numbers. New address: The County Administrative Board of Blekinge Anders Söderberg Site Manager Skeppsbrokajen 4 SE-37186 Karlskrona Sweden Telephone: +46 10-22 40 000 Fax: +46 10-22 40 223

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Karlskrona kommun \(only in Swedish\)](#)
- [Marinmuseum \(The Naval Museum\)](#)
- [Karlskrona Live](#)
- [National Heritage Board of Sweden](#)
- [Örlogsstaden Karlskrona \(only in Swedish\)](#)

#### Comment

3. Marinmuseums adress - <http://www.marinmuseum.se/> (in english, polish, german and swedish) 4. Karlskrona Live - replace with <http://www.visitkarlskrona.se>. 6. Örlogsstaden Karlskrona, <http://www.orlogsstadenkarlskrona.se/> in polish, english and german 7. Add -National property board of sweden - <http://www.sfv.se> 8. Add The Swedish Fortifications Agency <http://www.fortv.se/> both 7&8 in swedish and english

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

Biosphere reserve Blekinge Archipelago; <http://www.unesco.org/new/en/media-services/multimedia/photos/mab-2011/sweden/>

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is submitted by the Swedish National Heritage Board, but not yet adopted by the World Heritage Committee.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

criterion ii: intact city plan, fortifications and defenses, civil city with trade and administration, supply areas, comprehensible idea of a naval city, criterion iv: preserved military naval base facilities, shipyard, fortifications and defenses

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.2	Commercial development								
3.1.3	Industrial areas								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure								
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure								
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>								
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities								
3.3.4	Localised utilities								
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>								
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters								
3.4.3	Surface water pollution								
3.4.5	Solid waste								
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>								
3.7.1	Wind								
3.7.2	Relative humidity								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>								
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage								
3.9.3	Military training								
3.9.5	Terrorism								
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>								
3.10.6	Temperature change								
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development				
3.1.3	Industrial areas	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity
					static

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1.5	<b>Interpretative and visitation facilities</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	<b>Ground transport infrastructure</b>	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.2.4	<b>Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</b>	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	<b>Localised utilities</b>	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	<b>Wind</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2	<b>Society's valuing of heritage</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	<b>Temperature change</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

There is a particular case with a private property owner that wants to demolish buildings from the 18th century. ICOMOS Sweden has drawn attention to the matter. The demolition permit has recently been denied by the municipality. The case is ongoing. Several areas within the world heritage site are built on landfill made of solid waste. Some landfill within the World heritage are as old as 18th century. Landfill therefore is a part of the values of the World Heritage Site.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

**There is a buffer zone**

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Because of the name - "Naval port of Karlskrona" there is a confusion and even locals can believe that only the restricted naval base is world heritage.

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

**Note WHC (July 2012):  
Please carefully review and update the information provided below.**

The shipyard area, including the peripheral defensive structures and the central sections of the naval base, were designated Areas of Historic National Interest by the National Antiquities Board on 5 November 1987. As a result, they are protected under the provisions of the Natural Resources Management Act of June 1993.

Within this designated area there are also around 100 buildings that are protected individually under the provisions of the 1988 Heritage Conservation Act. The central sections of the naval base and the shipyard area are also registered as ancient monuments, and so are protected by Chapter 2 of the Heritage Conservation Act. Any interventions must be authorized by the appropriate government department or agency, and there are severe penalties for non-compliance. In 1987 central Karlskrona was designated as an Area of National Historic Interest for Preservation. The city is therefore required to safeguard the historical values within the centre by means of a comprehensive Development Plan. Buildings and sites considered to be of historic value are identified for protection in the City Council's detailed Development Plan, and the treatment of others is controlled by the local Planning and Building Committee within the terms of the 1987 Planning and Building Act.

In addition, certain areas, such as Stumholmen, are governed by special regulations issued by Karlskrona City Council, which require property owners wishing to make interventions that may impact existing buildings to submit proposals for approval. The overall appearance of the island as a whole must be taken into consideration when deciding the shape, design, colour, schemes, and details of new buildings. The City Development Plans cover extensive areas beyond those inscribed on the World Heritage List, and thus constitute (with the areas of water that surround much of the nominated areas) an effective buffer zone.

#### Comment

Sweden has a new Planning and Building Act since 2010.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

The legislative framework provides adequate and excellent tools for maintaining the integrity and authenticity of the property. For example no groundwork can be done without oversight from archeologists.

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

**Note WHC (July 2012):**

**If a more recent management plan is in force, it would be very much appreciated if you could provide its 2 paper and electronic copies to the WHC. The submission should be accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC. Thank you for your cooperation. Please carefully review and update the information provided below.**

The western section of the shipyard area and most of Lindholmen, zoned as an industrial area, are the property of the private company Karlskronavarvet AB. The eastern section, which is the present-day naval base, belongs to the Swedish State, as do the other defence and other military structures on the offshore islands, and in two cases to Karlskrona City Council.

The various management bodies are Karlskronavarvet AB, Sydkustens Militärkommando (Naval Southern Command), Karlskrona Kommun, Statens Fastighetsverk (National Housing Board), Fortifikationsverket (Fortifications Agency), and the County Administrative Board.

Domestic and business properties in the town are in private ownership.

The land-use planning controls in force at municipal level are referred to above. A preservation programme for the architecture of the city was drawn up by the City Council in 1985, directed principally to preservation of the overall plan and urban fabric, with special attention given to certain areas and buildings of special historical significance.

The State-owned buildings are administered by the National Housing Board and the Fortifications Agency, which have strategic property planning programmes to safeguard their functional and cultural values. There are specific plans applied for more than ten of the most important historical buildings.

**Comment**

In 2004 the Naval command changed from being a southern command to a national command. Therefore the two introductory paragraphs need to be changed accordingly. Changes have been sent to the State Party.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

A summary in English of the Management Plan and Action Plan and Strategy in Swedish will be sent in by the Focal Point at Swedish National Heritage Board.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /**

**municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The World Heritage Council of the Naval city of Karlskrona decided in 2012/2019 to update its action plan. Annual action plans exist within the different stakeholders.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

There are no changes since inscription up until the Periodic Reporting. Since discussions started about the World Heritage status, improvements in management and protection are being prepared.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	90%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	10%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

There is a big gap between owners and funding. The state owned buildings has sufficient fundings to be maintained, but, the privately owned Skärva, an important satellite in the World heritage site, has inadequate fundings for basic management. In question 4.4.1 the national state level has three different parties involved in funding acts of conservation. These include the county administraty board, Swedish Fortifications Agency,

National Property Board Sweden. The percentage is an estimate

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	
Volunteer	

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	High
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Questions 4.4.9 to 4.4.11 is irrelevant or impossible to answer the way they are formulated. The Fortification agency has its own workgroup of craftsmen working on their buildings and even supporting other parts of the world heritage site. Within the group the level of expertise and skill is high. The craftsmen in the fortification agency is an important part of keeping skill and the craft heritage. The group is not in 4.4.13.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations**, but **not easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value



**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

Question 4.7.4 is unclear. Our property has no visitor management PLAN but the visitors use of the property is strictly controlled. The key area of the World Heritage Site is located inside restricted military areas. Visitors can only visit the area through planned tours. For future visitor needs a visitor management plan should be made. If the question refers to a plan and not the actual use of the property the answer is very different.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

National Property Board Sweden and Fortifications Agency, which are the largest property managers, performs a significant part of the World Heritage site monitoring and conservation. Both are National agencies and are not part of 4.8.3. Level of involvement in monitoring is excellent. The municipality have a poor involvement in monitoring. The problem is known since the first management plan.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
<b>3.1.5</b>	<b>Interpretative and visitation facilities</b>	Attributes affected can be the today still clearly discernible and legible city planning process. The city plan is intact as it was intended to be.	A new management plan reformulated to also support development of the town while ensuring that the cultural values should be made along with a Heritage Impact assesment.	Monitoring of all development is a matter of planning ahead	New management plan in 2014	Karlskrona Municipality in close cooperation with County Administrative Board	Marine museum are building an submarine hall and Karlskrona kommun are soon to build a marina office in the northern marina on Trossö.
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
<b>3.2.1</b>	<b>Ground transport infrastructure</b>	Criterion (ii) the well preserved example of a planned European naval city could be affected if the outstanding values aren't considered in planning.	The description and values of Karlskrona as an Area of National Interest will be improved in 2013 and made to correlate to the Statement of Outstanding Universal Values.	When the Area of National Interest correlate with the Values of the World Heritage property the monitoring will be conducted according to the Swedish Environmental Code.	2013	County Administrative Board is responsible for ensuring that the values of the Area of National Interest are not tangibly damaged. Changes in description of the Area of National Interest is made in close cooperation with Karlskrona Municipality	The negative factor is of minor importance.
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
<b>3.7.1</b>	<b>Wind</b>	Attributes affected are the fortifications surrounding the island of Trossö and potentially the well preserved buildings within the city and naval port.	Incidents with include chimneys being knocked down ant similar damages of property. The County Administrative Board have contributed to properties being rebuilt.	Damages due to wind are monitored by property owners and reported to the County Administrative Board.	depends on property damage	County Administrative Board, Karlskrona Municipality, the Swedish national property Board and the Swedish Fortifications Agency	Wind is, although affecting physical fabric, essential and a part of the Naval Port since being founded.
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
<b>3.8.2</b>	<b>Society's valuing of heritage</b>	A decrease of valuing endanger every part of the World Heritage property.	The World Heritage council have decided to make an action plan wich will include measures to increase awareness of the World heritage property.	No monitoring actions of values are being conduced.	in 2013 the work with an action plan will be started and finished in 2014.	Karlskrona Municipality and County Administrative Board	The valuing of heritage is both increasing and decreasing in different parts of society. The World Heritage propterty Naval port of Karlskrona are not threatened in any major sence.
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
<b>3.10.6</b>	<b>Temperature change</b>	Attributes affected are the fortifications surrounding the island of Trossö and the well preserved buildings within the city and naval port.	The County Administrative Board and National Heritage board have constributed to properties being decontaminated.	Damages due to temperature change, such as fungus, are monitored by property owners and reported to the County Administrative Board or National Heritage board. .	ongoing	County Administrative Board, National Heritade board, the Swedish national property Board and the Swedish Fortifications Agency	Temperature change is mostly a problem for the future. Large scale changes such as rise of sea level are not current. Monitoring and awareness are increasing.

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
<b>4.1.4</b>	<b>The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners</b>	The annual action/work plan made in 2013 and ongoing will include actions to adress this issue.	2013 and ongoing.	The Blekinge County Administrative Board and Karlskrona Municipality	The communication of the World Heritage property values is of great importance and a key factor in long term preservation. This is known in the World Heritage Council of Karlskrona and monitored in the future.		

4.1.5	<b>The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners</b>	Three steps will be taken. First the improvement in area of national interest. Then an education within authorities. Third step will be World heritage ambassadors within the local community and business owners.	2013 and ongoing	County administry board and Karlskrona municipality	Periodic reporting lifted the discussion of the borders and how known they are.
<b>4.8 Monitoring</b>					
4.8.2	<b>Key indicators have not been defined</b>	In order to define key indicators the work in other world heritage sites needs to be studied.	In 2013 initial contacts regarding key indicators have been made.	State party should coordinate the work with key indicators together with stakeholders of the World heritage site.	Periodic reporting is the reason key indicators are being discussed in the world heritage site of Karlskrona.

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
Advisory bodies
Others

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- Name of World Heritage Property**  
Reason for update: The world heritage site of Karlskrona is planning for an application for name change. The application will be sent to the Focal Point at Swedish National Heritage Board, but not within the Periodic Reporting.
- Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**  
Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is submitted by the Swedish National Heritage Board, but not yet adopted by the World Heritage Committee.
- Geographic Information Table**  
Reason for update: Kungshall (Basareholmen) , Sweden should read: Basareholmen, Sweden. Kungshall is part of Stumholmen and included in "Karlskrona and the Island of Trossö" area.
- Map(s)**  
Reason for update: Updated map with coordinate system included will be sent in by the Focal Point at Swedish National Heritage Board.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations  
related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting  
exercise**