

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Hanseatic Town of Visby

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Sweden

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

731

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1995


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Hanseatic Town of Visby	57.642 / 18.296	0	0	0	1995
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property 77 ha, Bufferzone 29 ha, Total 106 ha. The Hanseatic town of Visby wishes to extend the boundaries to include the remains of a medieval lime quarry just outside the city wall. This is at present our buffert zone and a park area. We also wants to include the ruins of the medieval convent of Solberga, the ruins of the medieval church S t George and a medieval execution area. This process is not yet started on Gotland other than as a discussion in the World Heritage council.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Hanseatic Town of Visby - map of the inscribed property	20/09/1994	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Maria Wikman
Swedish National Heritage Board
Senior Adviser

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Louise Borgö
The County Administrative board of Gotland
Member of local World Heritage Committee

Comment

Louise Hoffman Borgö Sweden
louise.hoffman.borgo@lansstyrelsen.se

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Hansestaden Visby, Gotland](#)
- [National Heritage Board of Sweden](#)
- [www.bingeby.com](#)

Comment

www.gotland.se

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

European Landscape Convention

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

A Retrospective Statment of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted but not yet approved by the World Heritage Committe.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)(v)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criteria iv City wall, street pattern, church ruins, remains of more than 200 warehouses. Criteria v townscape, high-quality ancient buildings, medieval street pattern

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.2	Commercial development								
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure								
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure								
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure								
3.3	Services Infrastructures								
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities								
3.3.4	Localised utilities								
3.4	Pollution								
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.2	Relative humidity								
3.7.3	Temperature								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
3.7.7	Pests								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.9	Other human activities								
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage								
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events								
3.10.1	Storms								
3.10.6	Temperature change								
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
3.13	Management and institutional factors								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.2.3 Marine transport infrastructure	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.3 Services Infrastructures					
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	widespread	frequent	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.1 Pollution of marine waters					
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2 Relative humidity	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.3 Temperature	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.7.7 Pests	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.8 Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	widespread	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.9 Other human activities					
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.13 Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3 Management activities	widespread	on-going	significant	low capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries of the World Heritage property have been unclear related to maps. In peoples minds the city wall is a very distinct boundary of the World Heritage. The buffertzone has been questioned and a wish to extend the World Heritage to include the buffertzone as a core area has long been evident. It is the management authorities that have raised the question, not local community.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Note WHC (July 2012):

Please carefully review and update the information provided below.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

- **Question 6.02**

The medieval buildings and also a great number of buildings from the 17-18th centuries are protected by the Heritage Conservation Act (state law), totally 257 buildings. (See attachment: kulturmiljlagen_eng.pdf)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The legal framework controlling use and activities in the buffertzone is adequate and there ia a current managment plan. Although the management of the buffertzon, which is a park area, is sporadic and the economic resources are not sufficient. Some parts of the area outside the core and the buffertzone as hade an negative impact on the World Heritage recently, from extensive building of houses.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Note WHC (July 2012):

Please carefully review and update the information provided below.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

- **Question 5.02**

Stering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

- **Question 5.03**

Set up date: 1995

Function: The steering group was renewed 2004. The function is to coordinate work between the three main responsible bodies at the site.

Mandate: Not fixed in time. In responsibility: To manage and decide the overall issues and questions connected to the heritage.

Constituted: formal

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management under protective legislation
- Consensual management

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The management plan of the hanseatic town of Visby is called "Världsarvet Hansestaden Visby inför 2000-talet, ett handlingsprogram med åtgärdsplan". It is written by the municipality of Gotland and a politically accepted document. The management plan will be revised after the periodic report to be able to use the knowledge from this work in the plan.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is a range of administrative bodies / levels involved in management but there is **little or no coordination** between them for managing different aspects of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists **despite an identified need**

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Non-existent

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The management plan is a good tool but it is a overall document. It is not practical in the sense that it is a yearly work plan. And there is no special budget connected to the plan. The city wall has suffered a great injury as a part of it collapsed in february last year. This is a result of lack of proper management and lack of restoration over several years. An ongoing disagreement over the responsibility of the management of the city wall is a great uncertainty and a major threat.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The funding for resoration and management plans from the government has been reduced considerably since 1995. The will to accept management responsibility over the city wall is now low.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	18%
International donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	49%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	9%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	23%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	1%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No assistance from the World Heritage Fund

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The existing sources of funding are partly secure considering building conservation and archaeological remains though inadequate. But the funding of restoration of the city wall is not secure.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	43%
Part-time	57%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	62%
Seasonal	38%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair

Section II-Hanseatic Town of Visby

Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

It is very difficult to have an overall view over the management resources in a city. It is complexed.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, but it could be improved

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted but improvements could be made

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	N/A
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

No there is no Visitor management documents for our World heritage

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is limited co-operation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

Please assess the current negative factors in question 3.16 before filling in the summary table.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones					
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	A disussion is currently on going in the World Heritage council about the boundaries of the World Heritage core as well as the buffert zone. A map of the new boundaries has been produced by the Municipality. The State party is informed.	Finished by the end of period of Periodic Reporting period. Could result in a new application to the World Heritage comittée.	National Heritage Board of Sweden	The new boundaries proposed will enhance the OUV.
4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
4.3.3	Little or no coordination between administrative bodies	Produce a new management plan with involment from diffrent parts of the World Heritage. Increase the representation of decision makers in the World Heritage council and with politicians.	On going but we will work with these issues during the autumn and next year.	Municipality of Gotland and County administration of Gotland	
4.3.6	No annual work / action plan exists	An annual work plan is linked to a new managment plan and will be produced together with that instrument.	First annual work plan 2014-2015	Municipality of Gotland	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
Local community
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The navigation instrument could be more detailed. Some questions are not relevant for everybody and it is difficult to answer in relation to other world heritage sites that are more vulnerable.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Unsatisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	None

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: A Retrospective Statment of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted but not yet approved by the World Heritage Committe.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: Property 77 ha, Bufferzone 29 ha, Total 106 ha. The Hanseatic town of Visby wishes to extend the boundaries to include the remains of a medieval lime quarry just outside the city wall. This is at present our buffert zone and a park area. We also wants to include the ruins of the medieval convent of Solberga, the ruins of the medieval church S t George and a medieval execution area. This process is not yet started on Gotland other than as a discussion in the World Heritage council.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise