### 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Skogskyrkogården

## 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details State(s) Party(ies)

Sweden

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### **Identification Number**

558rev

### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1994

#### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)				Inscription year
Skogskyrkogården	59.276 / 18.099	0	0	0	1994
Total (ha)			0		

#### Comment

The property area is 104 ha and corresponds with the granite wall surrounding the property. The bufferzone is not clearly defined and should be updated. A connecting street is an important part of the architects Asplund and Lewerentz cemetery layout and actions will be taken to include the street into the heritage property. This process will proceed after the PRII documents are submitted.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Skogskyrkogården - Map of the inscribed property	18/12/1991	

### Comment

The property area is 104 ha and corresponds with the granite wall surrounding the property. The bufferzone is not clearly defined and should be updated. A connecting street is an important part of the architects Asplund and Lewerentz cemetery layout and actions will be taken to include the street into the heritage property. This process will proceed after the PRII documents are submitted.

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

 Maria Wikman Swedish National Heritage Board Senior Adviser

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Karin Söderling
 The Cemeteries Administration of the City of Stockholm
 Coordinator

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection
- 2. Panoramas of Skogskyrkogården
- 3. National Heritage Board of Sweden

### Section II-Skogskyrkogården

### 4. www.skogskyrkogarden.se

#### Comment

New photos better showing the beauty and atmosphere of the property will be submitted to the state party.

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

Skogskyrkogården is protected by the Heritage Conservation Act. Other legislation of importance are The Environmental Code and Act of Burials.

### 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

Statements of universial values has been updated and submitted but have not yet been approved by the World Heritage Committee.

## 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

## 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Form and design: A masterpiece of 20th century architecture with quality in every detail. Materials and substance: Buildings and landscape blends together into an integrated whole. Use and function: The most comprehensive cemetery in Stockholm, integrates multicultural use. Intangible values: "Empathetic" design, mirrors secularised society of 20th century. Spirit and feeling: Sublime atmosphere, includes symbolic and semantic interpretation. Other factors: Impact on cemetery design worldwide

## 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

## 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The SOUV:s are updated in 2012 and are submitted to WHC for approval.

#### 3. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 3.14. Other factor(s)

### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.1</b> 3.1.1	Buildings and Development  Housing		-
		9 4	<b>4</b>
3.1.2	Commercial development	9	
3.1.3	Industrial areas		9
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		<b>9</b>
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities		<b>9</b> 💿 🌿
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure		
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure		<b>9</b>
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		9
3.3	Services Infrastructures		
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities		<b>9</b> 💿 🌿
3.3.4	Localised utilities		<b>9</b>
3.4	Pollution		
3.4.3	Surface water pollution		9 3
3.4.4	Air pollution		<b>9</b>
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric		
3.7.1	Wind		<b>9</b>
3.7.2	Relative humidity		9 3
3.7.3	Temperature	<b>a</b>	9 3
3.7.4	Radiation/light	<b>()</b>	9 3
3.7.5	Dust		9
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)		9 3
3.7.7	Pests	8	
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	6 4	
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage		0 0 0
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses		<b>4</b> (9
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	0 0	<b>9</b> 0 3
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	0 0	9 0 0
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	00	
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	009	
3.9	Other human activities		0 0
3.9.1	Illegal activities		<b>4</b>
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage		
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events		
3.10.1	Storms		<b>9</b>
3.10.2	Flooding		
3.10.3	Drought		9 3
3.10.6	Temperature change		
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts		
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events		7 0 0
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition		<b>1</b> (a) (4)
3.11.6	Fire (widlfires)		
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species		-1 0 0

### Section II-Skogskyrkogården

	Name					Impa	act			Origin	n
3.12.1	Translocated species							Ą	9	<b>(</b>	F
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial sp	pecies						<b>A</b>		<b>(</b>	F
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater	species						<b>A</b>		<b>(</b>	F
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species							<b>A</b>		<b>(</b>	F
3.12.6	Modified genetic material				0			A	(	F	
3.13	Management and institutional factors										
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities				0		Ą	A	<b>(</b>	F	
3.13.3	Management activities				0				<b>(</b> )		
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside		C	Outs	ide	·	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	i - Assessment of current neg	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development				<u>.</u>	
3.1.1	Housing	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	increasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure		•	•	•	
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.3	Services Infrastructures		•	•	•	
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fa	bric			·	
3.7.1	Wind	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather e	vents	•	•	•	
3.10.1	Storms	extensive	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.10.6	Temperature change	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abund	lant species	•	•	•	
3.12.1	Translocated species	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static

### Section II-Skogskyrkogården

# 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

#### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status
There is a buffer zone

## 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

## 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit the** ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved** 

### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.** 

### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are not known** by the management authority or local residents / communities / landowners.

## 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The buffer zone is not clearly defined and should be updated. A connecting street is an important part of the architects Asplund and Lewerentz cemetery layout and actions will be taken to include the street into the heritage property

#### 4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional) Note WHC (July 2012):

Please carefully review and update the information provided below.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2 Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

Question 6.02

The Heritage Conservation Act (1988:950) Is the central

legislation in Sweden concerning historic landscape and cultural heritage. The Act opens in Chapter 1 with emphasizing how the care and preservation of our cultural environment is a matter of national concern. Chapter 4 concerns "Ecclesiastical cultural heritage property". Regarding Skogskyrkogården, sections 11-15 provides for the care of cemeteries, stressing upon that "cemeteries shall be cared for and maintained in such a way that their cultural historic value is not reduced or debased." All cemeteries laid out before the end of 1939 are automatically protected by the Heritage Conservation Act. If the National Heritage Board so decides, a cemetery laid out after the end of 1939 will be included by the protection. Permission must be obtained from the County Administrative Board whenever major changes are planned on these protected cemeteries. Act of Burials (1990:1144) Act of Burials concerns the fundamental responsibility society has to provide efficient burial and cremation services (funeral activities/burial administration). Chapter 7 "Title to a grave" section 37, describes the responsibility of the Cemeteries Board or the Parish to preserve gravestones with cultural heritage value. The Planning and Building Act (1987:10) In the Stockholm City Plan 1999, Skogskyrkogården is defined as a Special Heritage Area and as a Park with Special Conservation Value. By protected areas nearby the site, a buffer zone is also included in the City Plan. That means that these definitions made in the comprehensive plan for Stockholm are guiding principles to any matter of planning, regulated by The Planning and Building Act. Chapter 3 sections 10-13 in the Act also regulate buildings with cultural heritage value. The Environmental Code (1998:808) Skogskyrkogården is defined as an Area of National Interest, which means that chapter 3 section 6 in The Environmental Code, protects and regulates any planning in or nearby the site.

### Comment

Replace the text above into: "The Heritage Conservation Act is the central legislation concerning historical landscape and cultural heritage. The responsibility of preserving gravestones with cultural heritage values is described in The Act of Burials. The Planning and Building Act. also regulates buildings with cultural heritage value. The Stockholm City Plan (2010) describes Skogskyrkogården as a Property of National Interest, defined by The Environmental Code.

# 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

# 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation** 

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World

## Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is **inadequate** to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

## 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

## 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

#### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

Note WHC (July 2012):

Please carefully review and update the information provided below.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

Question 5.02

Stering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

Question 5.03

Set up date: 2001

**Function:** As a forum for information, consultation and regulation of the management of the site. No formal decisions are taken, but the representatives have the possibility to give their point of view.

**Mandate:** Each representative has mandate from respective Board, Administration or Organization.

Constituted: formal Question 5.05

Overell management evet

Overall management system of the site

Management under protective legislation

### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

### Comment

An updated management plan will be submitted in 2013.

# 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved** 

## 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

### Section II-Skogskyrkogården

### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

## 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Not applicable
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Not applicable

### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have  $\boldsymbol{no}$  input into decisions relating to the management

# 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

# 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

## 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The new managementplan will also be complemented with an action plan, which will be updated in the ongoing work and monitoring of the property. For example will a new Visitor Management Plan be outlined.

# 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

#### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

## 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%

Governmental (Local / Municipal)	100%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

## 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

## 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the longterm

## 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

## 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

## 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some *ad hoc* maintenance of equipment and facilities

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	
Part-time	100%

## 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	30%
Seasonal	70%

## 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

### Section II-Skogskyrkogården

## 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Not applicable
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

## 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Not applicable
Education	Low
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

## 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most** of the technical work is carried out by external staff

## 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

### 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

# 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the World Heritage property is **not** sufficient

# 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are not shared at any level

## 4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

## 4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

It is a huge task to detect and have knowledge about all research concerning the property. Only since 2007 there are 4 books and more than 80 articles or papers published about the both architects Sigurd Lewerentz and Erik Gunnar Asplund who designed the cemetery. 4 scientific thesies are about to be published about the architects. There are probably more research done about the property itself.

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

## 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable

## 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

## 4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved** 

## 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made** 

# 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Excellent
Adequate
Adequate
Excellent
Poor
Adequate
Adequate

### Section II-Skogskyrkogården

### 4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Oct 24th (UN-day) is annually celebrated with special guidings etc. Educational programmes towards schools and other educational arenas are to be updated together with the new Management plan that is outlined in 2013. Means for producing a new exhibition about the property is applied from the City Council, but are not yet approved.

### 4.7. Visitor Management

### 4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries	
Visitor surveys	
Other	

### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

#### Comment

An updated management plan in 2013 will include a visitor management plan. Visitor management are today included in the goals and indicators that are outlined in the annual management plans for The Stockholm Cemetery Board and the Stockholm City Museum, which are responsible for the visitor management, according to an agreement with the The Stockholm Cemetery Administration.

# 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made** 

## 4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

## 4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

### 4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

The fees from guidings etc are used only for supporting visitor management, not for maintaining the cultural heritage.

### 4.8. Monitoring

# 4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

## 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

There is **little or no information available** on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators

## 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

## 4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

## 4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

## 4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

The property has an ambitious maintainance plan (together with monitoring) for regenerating of plantations. The monitoring of conservation and maintainance of buildings and other equipment can be improved. Monitoring is done together with advisory bodies with antiquarian expertise from the City Museum and the Local Governmental institution (the County Administrative Board).

### 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

## 4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

### 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	Visual intrusions are a threat to the overall experience and the atmosphere of the site.	Visual intrusions are a threat and more adequate buffer zones must be outlined.	In action plan	2013-2014.	The Cemetery Adminstration together with the City Planning Administration	
3.2	Transportation	Infrastructure			•		•
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Audible intrusions are negative for the atmosphere and the overall experience of the site. Tranquility is important for visitors and bereaved when visiting a cemetery.	Discussions are already initiated together with the City Traffic Administration about finding solutions.	In action plan and in annual management plan for the Cemetry Administration	2012-2018	The Cemetery Administration together with City Traffic Administration.	Is difficult to find adequate and reasonable solutions.
3.7	Local condition	s affecting physical fab	ric				
3.7.7	Pests	Tree deseases can severly damage the pine forest and other important plantations, for example elm trees planted on the memorial gardens. These plantations are cruicial for the atmosphere and overall experience of the site	All infected trees or plants are removed as quickly as infections are detected or suspected. (For example the dutch elm tree desease)	All personal have an awareness of tree deseases during day to day maintenance and inspections.	Continuesly on going.	The Cemetery Administration	
3.7.8	Micro- organisms	Can severly damage plantation that are extremely important for the site's atmosphere and overall experiance.	All infected trees or plants are removed as quickly as infections are detected or suspected.	All personal have an awareness of tree deseases during day to day maintenance and inspections.	Continuesly on going.	The Cemetery Administration	
3.9	Other human a	ctivities	•		•	•	•
3.9.1	Illegal activities	The exquisit architecture and details are damaged. Copper metal and and other valuable materials has to be changed into other materials and the authenticity is threatened.	Updated Security systems are installed. Discussions with the Police how to prevent thefts.	In action plan and in annual management plan for the Cemetery Adminstration	On going	The Cemetery Administration, the Police, security companies	
3.13	Management ar	nd institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities	Machines used for maintainance, for example lawn movers, tractors etc, can effect the atmosphere and overall experience of the site.	Inventing the market to find less noisy machines when buying new machines. Keeping out of areas nearby where ceremonies are going on.	In action plan and in annual management plan for the Cemetery Adminstartion	On going	The Cemetery Administration.	

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

	, ,						
4.1 Bou	4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions		Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	Together with The Stockholm City Traffic Administration necessary action will be taken to include an adjacent street into the property. The street is a part of the overall design concept of the Cemetery designed by Asplund and Lewerentz.	Governmental Body in 2014	The World Heritage Council with The Stockholm Cemetery Administration, The Stockholm City Museum and the County Administrative Board. Cooperation with The City Traffic Administration.			

### Section II-Skogskyrkogården

	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known	Together with the Stockholm City Planning Administration buffer zones will be clarified and defined. Application will be prepared for an extension of buffer zones.	Governmental Body in 2014	The World Heritage Council with The Stockholm Cemetery Administration, The Stockholm City Museum and the County Administrative Board. Cooperation with The City Planning Administration.	
4.4.7 Ad hoc maintenance of equipment and equipment equipment and equipment equipmen		Implementation of Management Plan and Action plan during 2013.	The World Heritage Council with The Stockholm Cemetery Administration, The Stockholm City Museum and the County Administrative Board.		

### Section II-Skogskyrkogården

## 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions.** 

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact** 

## 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

## 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

## 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation       Very positive         Research and monitoring       Very positive         Management effectiveness       Very positive         Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples       No impact         Recognition       No impact         Education       No impact         Infrastructure development       Not applicable         Funding for the property       Very positive         International cooperation       Positive         Political support for conservation       Positive		
Management effectiveness  Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples  Recognition  Recognition  No impact  Education  No impact  Infrastructure development  Funding for the property  International cooperation  Very positive  Positive	Conservation	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples       No impact         Recognition       No impact         Education       No impact         Infrastructure development       Not applicable         Funding for the property       Very positive         International cooperation       Positive	Research and monitoring	Very positive
peoples     No impact       Recognition     No impact       Education     No impact       Infrastructure development     Not applicable       Funding for the property     Very positive       International cooperation     Positive	Management effectiveness	Very positive
Education       No impact         Infrastructure development       Not applicable         Funding for the property       Very positive         International cooperation       Positive		No impact
Infrastructure development     Not applicable       Funding for the property     Very positive       International cooperation     Positive	Recognition	No impact
Funding for the property Very positive International cooperation Positive	Education	No impact
International cooperation Positive	Infrastructure development	Not applicable
	Funding for the property	Very positive
Political support for conservation Positive	International cooperation	Positive
	Political support for conservation	Positive
<b>Legal / Policy framework</b> Very positive	Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
<b>Lobbying</b> Very positive	Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination Very positive	Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security Very positive	Security	Very positive
Other (please specify) Not applicable	Other (please specify)	Not applicable

## 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

## 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

## 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

nο

## 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

	•
UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Fair
Advisory Body	Very poor

## 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Not all of the required information was accessible

## 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

## 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

## 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: Statements of universial values has been updated and submitted but have not yet been approved by the World Heritage Committee.

### • Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: The property area is 104 ha and corresponds with the granite wall surrounding the property. The bufferzone is not clearly defined and should be updated. A connecting street is an important part of the architects Asplund and Lewerentz cemetery layout and actions will be taken to include the street into the heritage property. This process will proceed after the PRII documents are submitted.

#### Map(s)

Reason for update: The property area is 104 ha and corresponds with the granite wall surrounding the property. The bufferzone is not clearly defined and should be updated. A connecting street is an important part of the architects Asplund and Lewerentz cemetery layout and actions will be taken to include the street into the heritage property. This process will proceed after the PRII documents are submitted.

### Section II-Skogskyrkogården

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

The knowledge of the importance of correctly defined boundaries and buffer zones were improved. PRII could have been submitted before the SOUV (as defining the SOUV:s then would have been better processed)