

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Spain

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

876

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares	40.481 / -3.368	0	0	0	1998
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property area: 78.38 ha. Buffer zone area: 106.68 ha. TOTAL area: 185.06 ha.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares - map of the inscribed property	30/06/1997	

Comment

An updated version of the map of the WH property and its buffer zone has been prepared. It doesn't change the boundaries, but it is a more complete and modern version, according to the WHC recommendations. It will be sent to the WHC.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico

- Laura de Miguel Riera
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico

- Esther Rodríguez
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Cristóbal Vallhonrat Anduiza
Ayuntamiento de Alcalá de Henares
Director del Área de Patrimonio Histórico y Proyectos

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Universidad de Alcalá \(spanish only\)](#)
3. [Ciudades Patrimonio de la Humanidad de España](#)
4. [Alcalá de Henares \(In Spanish only\)](#)
5. [Patrimonio de la Humanidad en España \(in Spanish only\)](#)

Comment

<http://www.mcu.es/patrimonio/MC/PatrimonioMundial/BienesDec/ListadoBienes/AlcalaHenares.html>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The statement of OUV is being revised by the Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)					
3.7.7	Pests					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The site is protected by national and regional legislation. The special protection plan contains an inventory of building and urban site in a different range of protective levels, measures for conservation and rehabilitation and regulations for the new implants controlling use/surface intensity, typologies, heights and uses including aesthetical criteria. The main interventions on institutional lots and buildings may be controlled through special plans for each site. There are also requirements for significant actions on buffer zone.

The nominated area is fully protected under the provisions of Law No 16/1985 on the Spanish Historic Heritage, and in particular Articles 20 and 21, relating to the protection of historic groups of buildings that have been declared Assets of Cultural Interest. In addition, there are twenty designated Historic Monuments (see above), 55 Unique Historic Buildings

of institutional (university or religious) use, and 390 Historic Residential Buildings, covering in total 80% of the nominated area. This requires the relevant Autonomous Community to prepare and implement Special Protection Plans in respect of such areas. For Alcalá de Henares this has been prepared by the Madrid Provincial Government and came into force in 1997. The Plan Especial de Protección del Casco Histórico de Alcalá de Henares covers every aspect of protection, including the urban layout, public open spaces, property boundaries, and historic buildings. Three Archaeological Zones are designated under this legislation: the Roman town of Complutum, the Roman villas and Visigothic cemeteries of El Val, and the area of the Arab city, with the Neolithic remains. Any work within the historic centre must be preceded by archaeological survey and, where necessary, mitigation by excavation or other means. The 1991 Master Town Plan for Alcalá de Henares (Plan General de Ordenación Urbana de Alcalá de Henares also contains provisions for safeguarding the historic quality of the city. Any intervention that affects the historic centre or the protected properties requires authorization by the relevant City and Provincial authorities.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, November 4, 2005

• Question 6.02

THE SITE IS PROTECTED BY NATIONAL AND REGIONAL LEGISLATION.

THE SPECIAL PROTECTION PLAN CONTAINS AN INVENTORY OF BUILDING AND URBAN SITE IN A DIFFERENT RANGE OF PROTECTIVE LEVELS, MEASURES FOR CONSERVATION AND REHABILITATION AND REGULATIONS FOR THE NEW IMPLANTS CONTROLLING USE/SURFACE INTENSITY, TIPOLOGIES, HEIGHTS AND USES INCLUDING AESTHETICAL CRITERIA. THE MAIN INTERVENTIONS ON INSTITUTIONAL LOTS AND BUILDINGS MAY BE CONTROLLED THROUGH SPECIAL PLANS FOR EACH SITE. THERE ARE ALSO REQUIREMENTS FOR SIGNIFICATIVE ACTIONS ON BUFFER ZONE.

Comment

"La Ciudad de Alcalá de Henares"- The City of Alcalá de Henares is a National Historic Ensemble since 1968. (decree 1284-May-11-1968) The boundaries are similar to those of the WH property.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World

Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Ownership of the properties that make up the nominated area is spread between national, regional, municipal, and church administrations and private individuals and institutions. Various forms of regulation and control apply, which are set out in the preceding section. Evaluation of projects for conservation, restoration, development, etc is the responsibility of the City Council (Office of Works and Development) and the Administration of the Province of Madrid (Directorate General for Cultural Heritage, Directorate General for Architecture and Housing). Also associated with this work are the Technical Services Department of the University of Alcalá de Henares, and the Spanish Institute of Architecture. The two Plans referred to above, plus the Special Plans for the Edge of the Historic Centre of 1986 and 1990, provide for a buffer zone, which is under dual administrative control by the City Council and the Madrid Provincial Government. Strict control is exercised over building and other projects which may have an adverse impact on the historic centre and its environment.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, November 4, 2005

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

SPECIAL PROTECTIVE PLANNING/ SPECIAL URBAN IMPROVEMENT PLANNING.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The Special Protection Plan has been improved by 10 special rehabilitation planning since 2000 providing an effective tool for the subsequent architectural projects. In the last three years the City Council has initiated the Management Plan completing the protection planning with other plans concerning mobility, tourism and equipment. The last phase will be the management plan including, participation, monitoring, management organism etc.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /

municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World

Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	10%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	30%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	30%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	30%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

None.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

There has been relevant funding for equipment, facilities and infrastructure since the nomination and before but the current economic crisis situation in Spain is limiting adequate investment in the property.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	60%
Part-time	40%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	75%
Seasonal	25%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Not available
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage

property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

CASTILLO OREJA M.A., Guía de Alcalá de Henares.
 GARCÍA GUTIERREZ F.J., Alcalá de Henares, Ciudad de las Artes y las Letras. MORENO PERAL A., El Patrimonio Heredado. El Casco Histórico de Alcalá de Henares. PRIETO GRANDA F., MUÑOZ COSME A., GARCÍA JALÓN C., Arquitectura y Desarrollo Urbano. Alcalá de Henares.
 VALLHONRAT ANDUIZA C., RASCÓN MARQUÉS S., La evolución urbana de Alcalá de Henares.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The site has two out of three interpretation centers related to the most significant periods in Alcalá's history. An additional visitor centre should be provided for that purposes.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

The implementation of recommendations from the WHC would be carried out through the Management Plan, that the local responsables are trying to implement in the last two years.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Key indicators are foreseen as part of the Management Plan. However, the plan must be developed close to a full SIG system at the City Hall to provide an adequate monitoring to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	There are not any special effects on criteria or WH attributes.	Protection and urban planning don't permit those kind of buildings ever more and for the currently existing ones, correction or substitution are foreseen.	Monitoring is not necessary considering the nature of that problem.	There's not a timeframe for inadequate housing, taking into account that the resolution is related to special reform planning to reshape the zone and guarantee rehousing of the inhabitants.	Local and regional public administration.	There are few inadequate buildings in the core zone. Nevertheless the historic precinct is surrounded by a belt of seven to ten storeis buildings limiting seriously the skyline and visual corridors.
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	There's a minor influence on WH criteria and attributes.	Recently and urban mobility planning has been edited as part of Management Plan, and is setting up gradually.	Monitoring about capacity of traffic, parking, traffic flows, etc. is carried out periodically.	Timeframe about mobility will be included on Management Plan.	Local and regional public administration.	Private and public transportation are crossing now the site causing mobility and parking problems.
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	There's not influence on WH criteria and attributes.	Actions to reduce traffic on the road surrounding the north historic precinct (Via Complutense) are one of the aims in management and mobility planning.	Monitoring about capacity and traffic flows is being carried out.	Timeframe about north road mobility will be included in Management Plan.	Local public administration.	The north road- Via Complutense- is a high density traffic one made of four lanes that affects a good connection between the historic precinct and the city.
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	Air pollution doesn't affect WH criteria and attributes.	Air pollution comes from the capital city - Madrid- depending on prevailing winds. Actions to diminish the problem are being set up by Madrid local and regional public administrations.	Monitoring through different air pollution control stations is carried out by both administrations.	There is a timeframe to reduce air pollution from Madrid.	Madrid local and regional public administrations.	A local plan on air quality has been recently set up. Evolution on factors such as levels of PM10, NO2 and CO2 is satisfactory with outstanding reductions from 2004. The plan includes 27 actions on different levels.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Water table doesn't affect WH criteria and attributes.	-	This factor is monitored.	-	Public administrations	-
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	Fire doesn't affect currently WH criteria and attributes.	There are local against-fire protection planning coordinated with regional administration and WH spanish cities group.	The fire department depending on regional public administration carry out monitoring about risks on the most exposed buildings.	There is not an specific timeframe for fire risk preparedness.	local and regional public administrations.	There is acceptable preparedness to attack fire risks including infrastructures about hydrants and human and technical resources.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.6	Few of the work plan activities implemented	The City Hall is working on a management plan since 2011. Mobility, Equipment and Tourism planning documents have been drawn up, completing Protection Plan. Following actions will be included in Management Plan	Developing Management Plan including stakeholders and civic associations participation is scheduled for 2015/17	City Hall and regional administration	The Advisory Council on the World Heritage of Alcalá (Consejo Asesor del Patrimonio Mundial de Alcalá) has been recently established including among its members the most significant public and private institutions and civic associations.		

4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	Included in Management Plan a programme concerning main activities in the city, such as commercial, touristic, services and industry will be implemented.	Editing the Management Plan is on 2015/17 foreseen.	Local and regional public administrations.	Contacts concerning participation with industry and other activities has been recently implemented through the Advisory Council.
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.13	Promotion				
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	The current programmes about education and awareness building are related to students, adults, public servants and tourists. Actions to improve them are foreseen about the three main programmes included in Management Plan.	The work about the programmes included in Management Plan, one for information and communication is scheduled for 2015/17.	Local and regional public administrations.	
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	A system of Interpretation Centers has been developed in the last years but the tourism management requires a visitors center. The tourism management and visitors center is programmed in the Management Plan.	Work about programmes and actions about visitors is scheduled in Management Plan for 2015/17	Local and regional public administrations.	Current visitors use management of the property consists of an information points system and an incomplete group of three Interpretation Centers
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Monitoring Plan will be included in the foreseen Management Plan.	Monitoring included in Management Plan is programmed for 2015/17	Local and regional public administrations.	A first list of monitoring indicators has been foreseen in a methodological draft conformed in 2011 which includes 23 groups of indicators.
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	Methodology about Management Plan drawn up in 2011 includes a list about 23 groups of indicators. Definition of indicators is in Management and Monitoring Plans foreseen	Indicators in Management Plan is scheduled for 2015/17.	Local and regional public administrations.	
4.8.4	Implementation of Committee recommendations is planned, but has not yet begun				

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: The statement of OUV is being revised by the Advisory Bodies.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: Property area: 78.38 ha. Buffer zone area: 106.68 ha. TOTAL area: 185.06 ha.
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update: An updated version of the map of the WH property and its buffer zone has been prepared. It doesn't change the boundaries, but it is a more complete and modern version, according to the WHC recommendations. It will be sent to the WHC.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise