## 1. World Heritage Property Data

## 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

San Millán Yuso and Suso Monasteries

## 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

Spain

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

805

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 1997

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Yuso Monastery and Monastic Kitchen Gardens, San Millán de la Cogolla , La Rioja , Spain	42.326 / -2.865	18.908	0	18.908	1997
Suso Monastery and Archaeological Sites, San Millán de la Cogolla , La Rioja , Spain	42.329 / -2.873	0	0	0	1997
Total (ha)		18.908	0	18.908	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
San Millán Yuso and Suso Monasteries - Map showing Yuso	28/06/1996	æ
San Millán Yuso and Suso Monasteries - Map showing Suso	28/06/1996	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Laura de Miguel Riera Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico

 Esther Rodríguez Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

## 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

 Almudena Martínez Fundación San Millán de la Cogolla Coordinadora general de la Fundación San Millán de la Cogolla

## Section II-San Millán Yuso and Suso Monasteries

## San Millán (VirtualCom - only in spanish)

#### Comment

http://www.mcu.es/patrimonio/MC/PatrimonioMundial/BienesDec/ListadoBienes/RiojaSanMillan.html

## 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The SOUV is currently being revised by the Advisory Bodies.

## 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed (ii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

## 3.14. Other factor(s)

### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

## 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impac	ct	Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development	•		
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	9	۲
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	$\odot$	9	۲
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure			
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	$\odot$	9	0 3
3.5	Biological resource use/modification		_ + +	-++
3.5.3	Land conversion	٢	9	۲
3.5.5	Crop production	$\odot$	9	۲
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection	$\odot$	9	ی 💽
3.5.9	Subsistence hunting			0 3
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production			۲
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage			
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses			
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage			۲
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation			1 💿 🧭
3.13	Management and institutional factors	1 1		
3.13.3	Management activities	$\odot$	99	0 3
Legend	Current Potential ONegative Onsitive	· · ·	Coutside	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

## 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

## 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

## 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

## 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

## 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

## 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

## 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Decree 12/1999 of 9 April on the definition of the Cultural Interest Sites of the Monasteries of San Millán de Suso and Yuso in San Millán de la Cogolla and the delimitation of the area under the influence of the site. Agreement of the Government Council of La Rioja approving the ad hoc Plan for the Protection of the Monasteries of San Millán de Suso and Yuso, their surroundings and areas of influence on 3 October 2000 (BOR No 123).

## Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: <u>Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006)</u> Submitted on Thursday, November 10, 2005

### • Question 6.02

Decree 12/1999 of 9 April on the definition of the Cultural Interest Sites of the Monasteries of San Millán de Suso and Yuso in San Millán de la Cogolla and the delimitation of the area under the influence of the site.

Agreement of the Government Council of La Rioja approving the ad hoc Plan for the Protection of the Monasteries of San Millán de Suso and Yuso, their surroundings and areas of influence on 3 October 2000 (BOR No 123).

# 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

# 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

# 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

## 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

## 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

## 4.3.1 - Management System

The Suso Monastery is the property of the Spanish State and the Yuso Monastery belongs to the Recolletes Augustinian Order. Direct management is the responsibility of the Government of La Rioja, through its Historical Artistic Heritage Service, on behalf of the Spanish Government and of the monastic Order respectively. Part of the Yuso Monastery has been leased by the Augustinian Order to the Government of La Rioja for fifty years. It is currently used as a hotel and as a centre for the study of the Spanish language.

The Yuso Monastery has been the subject of an intensive technical study, and this forms part of the

overall management plan being developed by the recently formed Foundation for the Protection of the

Suso and Yuso Monasteries of San Millan de la Cogolla (Patronato para la Proteccion de los Monasterios de Suso y Yuso de San Millan de la Cogolla). This independent body has

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

been set up by the Government of La Rioja and comprises representatives of the Municipal Council of San Millan, the Augustinian Community, and the General Directorates within the Government of La Rioja with responsibilities for culture, public works, planning, environment, and other relevant fields. A legally constituted steering group or similar management committee (El Patronato) has been set up in 1998 to guide the management of the site. The main functions are: to foster the protection and care of the Monasteries and their natural surroundings; to research, document and disseminate the origins of the Spanish (Castilian) language; to foster the social, economic, cultural and tourist development of San Millán and its surroundings. The site is managed by the State Party and the management is under protective legislation.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: <u>Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006)</u> Submitted on Thursday, November 10, 2005

• Question 5.02

Stering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

#### • Question 5.03

#### Set up date: 1998

**Function:** To foster the protection and care of the Monasteries and their natural surroundings. To research, document and disseminate the origins of the Spanish (Castilian) language. To foster the social, economic, cultural and tourist development of San Millán and its surroundings. **Mandate:** El Patronato **Constituted:** legal

## • Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

Management by the State Party

- Management under protective legislation
- Management under protective legislation
- o Consensual management

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Annex 4.E. Management Plans	N/A	Available	01/01/1995	a

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?** The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

## 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

# 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

# 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

## 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

## 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

## 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	2%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	39%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	1%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	37%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	12%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	9%

### Periodic Report - Second Cycle

## 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

## 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

## 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the longterm

#### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

## 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are adequate equipment and facilities

## **4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?** Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

## 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

## 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

## 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

## 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

#### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good

#### Monday, October 13, 2014 (9:07:23 PM CEST) Periodic Report - Section II-San Millán Yuso and Suso Monasteries World Heritage Centre

## Section II-San Millán Yuso and Suso Monasteries

Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

## 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff

## 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

## 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

# 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** 

# 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of **research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

## 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

### Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property? In one location and easily visible to visitors

## 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

## 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

# **4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?** World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

#### 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

#### 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

## 4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

## 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Other

#### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

#### 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

## 4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

## 4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

## 4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

## 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

## 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is complete

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

## 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

## 4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

### 5. Summary and Conclusions

## 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

## 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

## 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved** 

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact

## 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

## 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

## 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

## 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property	
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff	
Non Governmental Organization	
Local community	
External experts	
Advisory bodies	

### Section II-San Millán Yuso and Suso Monasteries

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable? yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

## 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

## 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

## 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance Reason for update: The SOUV is currently being revised by the Advisory Bodies.

## 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise