

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Renaissance Monumental Ensembles of Úbeda and Baeza

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Spain

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

522rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2003


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Úbeda, Úbeda , Jean , Andalusia , Spain	38.011 / -3.371	4.2	90.3	94.5	2003
Baeza, Baeza , Jean , Andalusia , Spain	37.996 / -3.467	4.8	85.4	90.2	2003
Total (ha)		9	175.7	184.7	

Comment

The surface areas of Úbeda and Baeza are changed each other in the UNESCO information. This should be: Renaissance Monumental Ensemble of Úbeda 4,8 (ha) Buffer Zone of Úbeda 85,4 (ha) Total: 90.2 (ha) Renaissance Monumental Ensemble of Baeza 4,2 (ha) Buffer Zone of Baeza 90,3 (ha) Total 94.5 (ha)

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Renaissance Monumental Ensembles of Úbeda and Baeza - maps of inscribed property	05/07/2003	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Laura de Miguel Riera
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Esther Rodríguez
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Rufina Fernández Ruiz

Arquitecta

Comment

Focal Point for World Heritage in Úbeda: Ramón Beltrán Almazán Mail: belalma@gmail.com Focal Point for World heritage in Baeza: Rosel Garrido Checa Mail: roselgarrido@baeza.net

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [Ayuntamiento de Baeza \(only in Spanish\)](#)
- [Úbeda y Ebeza \(only in Spanish\)](#)
- [Ayuntamiento de Úbeda \(only in Spanish\)](#)
- [Baeza, renacimiento, turismo, aceite](#)

Comment

http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/culturaydeporte/web/areas/bbcc/sites/consejeria/areas/bbcc/patrimonio_mundial/2003_ubeda_baeza <http://www.ubeda.es/ubeda/extranet/> <http://www.baeza.es/baeza/extranet/> <http://www.mcu.es/patrimonio/MC/PatrimonioMundial/BienesD ec/ListadoBienes/UbedaBaeza.html> Delete: Number 2 and 4.

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The SOUV is currently under revision by the Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (ii) Examples of architecture and urban design of the sixteenth century in Úbeda and Baeza were essential for the introduction of Renaissance ideas in Spain and through treaties that reflect the design innovations of Andrés Vandelvira the main architect of these projects, these examples were also broadcast to Latin America. Criterion (iv) The central areas of Úbeda and Baeza are early and outstanding examples of Renaissance civil architecture and urbanism of Spain in the 16 century.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.3	Industrial areas					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3	Land conversion					
3.5.5	Crop production					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.3	Industrial areas	restricted	frequent	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

The only negative factor is the industry "OLEÍCOLA JAÉN" in Baeza. It consists in smell and steam emissions. The environmental regeneration of Baeza is regulated by the revision of the General Plan of Urban Planning 2011, which enlarges the special landscape protection. It includes a Special Plan for the removal of the plant and it forbids its enlargement. The plant will move in five years. Meanwhile, the firm will install a filter that will reduce the smell and steam up to only 1%.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The nominated area of Úbeda is 37.2 ha and Baeza 26.2 ha. There are protection zones outside the core areas: Úbeda 62.4 ha and Baeza 78.3 ha. Both towns are included in a

landscape protection zone extending over 44.2 km². The properties are subject to several legal provisions regarding their protection. These include the Spanish Cultural Heritage Law (1985) and the equivalent statute of the Autonomous Community of Andalusia of Andalusian Historic Heritage Act (1991). Úbeda was protected as a historic area in 1955, and Baeza in 1966. The protected areas are subject to strict controls over any form of intervention, which must be authorised by the Provincial Historic Heritage Commission. In Úbeda, within the old town and outside the walls, there are eleven buildings listed as national monuments. In Baeza, these are eight.

Comment

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Special Plans and protection Catalogues for historical towns of Úbeda and Baeza have been applied/ Buffer Zones. Special

Plan of Úbeda, 1989 and Special Plan of Baeza, 1990. It should be advisable to update them.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Municipalities of Úbeda and Baeza have set up (in 1999) a common heritage management body, the Úbeda- Baeza World Heritage Consortium. This body is in charge of the integrated management of all the actions in the historical town sections of both cities. This Consortium has as members the municipal architects and officials in charge of the relevant departments, representatives of the Historical-Artistic Heritage Advisory Council (established in 1998), the directors of the Rehabilitation Workshop Schools of both cities, as well as being attended by officials of the Regional Administration. Úbeda has also the Municipal Housing Board which is involved in the activities related to land use and construction. Furthermore, there are the relevant tourist organisations. The relevant urban management plans include: Special Plan for the Protection, Internal Reform, Urban Improvement and Catalogue of Úbeda (1989), Special Plan for the Protection, Internal Reform, Urban Improvement and Catalogue of Baeza (1990), Urban Development Master Plan of Úbeda (1997), Urban Development Master Plan of Baeza (1997). Furthermore, the following plans have an impact on the environment: Special Physical Environment Plan and Catalogue of Open Spaces and Protected Goods of the Province of Jaen (1987); Bases and Strategies of the Spatial Development Plan of Andalusia (1998); Strategic Plan of the Province of Jaen (2001).

Comment

During this period the General Plan of Urban Planning of Baeza has been revised and approved in 2011, as well as the Management Plan of the site, revised in 2013. This one substitutes the Consortium as a management body by the Intermunicipal Commission for Úbeda and Baeza World Heritage. Since the last Periodic Report there is a new Heritage Law in Andalusia, Law 14/2007, 26 November. In Baeza there is a new General Plan or Urban Planning for the protection of the historical town.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

There is a Management Plan, revised in 2013, as well as other instruments for the urban and heritage protection. Inscribed areas are included in declared Historic Ensembles zones and they have Special Protection Plans and Catalogues.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Non-existent
Researchers	Non-existent
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The source of information is the Municipality and managers of the site, as well as from meetings with community associations specially planned for this Periodic Report exercise.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

None.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%

Governmental (National / Federal)	39%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	37%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	1%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	23%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

None.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

23 % of "other grants" corresponds to works made by private initiatives.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
------	------

Volunteer	0%
-----------	----

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Non-existent
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Not available
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Not available
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Not available
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Both Municipalities of Úbeda and Baeza have technical staff for the management of the WH site. Since 2003 the human resources have increased and they are adequate for the needs of the site. 18 people in Úbeda and 10 in Baeza. For the site there are 5 architects, 7 technical architects, 3 legal consultants, 4 technical engineers, 4 heritage and culture experts, 3 experts in tourism.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-

making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

- "Epígrafes", en Andrés de Vandelvira, Renacimiento del Sur. José Luís Chicharro Chamorro, 2007. Diputación Jaén. - "Andrés de Vandelvira. El Renacimiento del Sur". AAVV 2007. Diputación Jaén- Junta Andalucía - Folleto conjunto genérico "Úb- Ba, Patrimonio Mundial" Aytos. Úbeda y Baeza 2010 -El patrimonio histórico-artístico Úb-Ba. M^a Cruz García Torralbo. 2011. Asoc. amigos Archivo Dioc. Jaén

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

There are many studies related to history, architecture, urbanism, heritage, Vandelvira, etc, as well as publications regarding the Site, for each town of together. There are two new touristic/cultural guides of Úbeda and Baeza. The most involved public administrations are the Municipalities of Úbeda and Baeza as well as the Province of Jaén.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Non-existent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Non-existent
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Not needed
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Even if there is sensitization about heritage, it should be advisable to improve it and spread the OUV concept in schools and amongst inhabitants. World Heritage Interpretation Centers for visitors should complete their contents about the OUV.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

The number of visits is not at all a risk factor for the integrity of the site. Collaboration with tourist industry should be improved, in order to better transmit the OUV values to visitors.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

None.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

This Periodic Report has been made with the Municipality and managers of the site, as well as from meetings with community associations specially planned for this Periodic Report exercise.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.3	Industrial areas	Criteria (ii) and (iv) are not affected.	Drafting of a Special Plan for the area of the firm OLEÍCOLA JAÉN, in Baeza, for its removal.	Implementation of these measures will be evaluated in the annual memory for the management of the WH Property.	Drafting of the Special Plan is foreseen in 5 years.	Baeza Municipality. The Special Plan should foresee the removal of the factory.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Se implementará un programa de difusión de los límites de las zonas de amortiguamiento, orientado a las comunidades locales y población escolar.	Primer trimestre de cada año.	Ayuntamientos, a través de la Comisión Intermunicipal del Patrimonio Mundial de Úbeda y Baeza.	La participación pública de las asociaciones ciudadanas y de los centros educativos locales será fundamental para la difusión de los límites de la zona de amortiguamiento del bien.	
4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects						
4.5.3	No active outreach of research results to national or international agencies					
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.3	There is no education and awareness programme	Se implementará un programa de educación y sensibilización, orientado a las comunidades locales y población escolar.	Primer trimestre de cada año.	Ayuntamientos, a través de la Comisión Intermunicipal del Patrimonio Mundial de Úbeda y Baeza.	La participación pública de las asociaciones ciudadanas y centros educativos será fundamental para la difusión de los valores universales excepcionales del bien.	
4.6.5	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	Se implementarán contenidos específicos informativos en los centros de interpretación de Úbeda y Baeza que expliquen correctamente el Valor Universal Excepcional del bien.	Cuarto trimestre de 2015.	Ayuntamientos, a través de la Comisión Intermunicipal del Patrimonio Mundial de Úbeda y Baeza.	Las publicaciones divulgativas del bien incluirán información precisa sobre su valor universal excepcional.	
4.7 Visitor Management						
4.7.5	Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	Se implementará un programa de sensibilización, orientado a los empresarios turísticos para que utilicen con criterios de autenticidad el Valor Universal Excepcional del bien.	Cuarto trimestre de 2014.	Ayuntamientos, a través de la Comisión Intermunicipal del Patrimonio Mundial de Úbeda y Baeza.	La participación pública de las asociaciones de turismo y hostelería será fundamental para la sensibilización del sector en la valoración y comprensión del bien Patrimonio Mundial.	
4.8 Monitoring						
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Se realizará una Memoria anual sobre la gestión del bien.	Último trimestre de cada año.	Ayuntamientos, a través de la Comisión Intermunicipal del Patrimonio Mundial de Úbeda y Baeza.	La Memoria anual de gestión del bien incluirá un balance de las actividades, contenidos y propuestas realizadas y previstas en las distintas líneas de actuación del Plan de Gestión aprobado en 2013.	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

SOC of the site is very good. There has been improvement works, such as the renewal of the pavement, and in singular buildings such as the rehabilitation of the Baeza Municipality in the buffer zone. It is foreseen to make improvements in the monumental areas like the Vazquez de Molina palace, in Úbeda.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The site is well preserved, with maintenance works when necessary in monumental buildings and public spaces. The management is made in a coordinated way by both Municipalities with the support of other public administrations

that has been very effective, mainly in spreading and touristic development, that have led to improvements in the site and its buffer zone, as well as private initiatives.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Local community
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Contents of the report are very useful for monitoring needs as well as foresee the corrective measures of the negative factors and the management commitments that it implies.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The SOUV is currently under revision by the Advisory Bodies.

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: The surface areas of Úbeda and Baeza are changed each other in the UNESCO information. This should be: Renaissance Monumental Ensemble of Úbeda 4,8 (ha) Buffer Zone of Úbeda 85,4 (ha) Total: 90.2 (ha) Renaissance Monumental Ensemble of Baeza 4,2 (ha) Buffer Zone of Baeza 90,3 (ha) Total 94.5 (ha)

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations
related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting
exercise**

Being a serial site of two components, the PR has been useful to update some aspects that can improve the coordination of the two Municipalities. In order to complete it, there have been meetings with political managers and technical staff for the management of the site, as well as associations.