

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic City of Toledo

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Spain

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

379

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1986



1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic City of Toledo	39.867 / -4.029	0	0	0	1986
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property (ha): 259,85 Buffer zone (ha): 7669,28 Total (ha): 7929,13 (Source: Retrospective Inventory, Decision 37 COM 8D)

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic City of Toledo - Map of the World Heritage property	01/01/1986	
Historic City of Toledo - Map of the Buffer zone of the World Heritage property	01/01/1986	

Comment

Please update maps provided by the State Party in response to the Retrospective Inventory process, and approved by Decision 37 COM 8D.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Laura de Miguel Riera
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Esther Rodríguez
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- María Perlina Benito
Dirección General de Cultura Consejería de Educación, Cultura y Deportes Junta de Castilla y La Mancha
Jefa de Servicio de Patrimonio y Arqueología

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [City of Toledo \(Tourist Office of Spain\)](#)
- [Toledo \(World Heritage Cities of Spain\)](#)
- [Toledo](#)
- [Patrimonio de la Humanidad en España \(in Spanish only\)](#)
- [Ciudades Patrimonio de la Humanidad de España](#)

Comment

<http://www.mcu.es/patrimonio/MC/PatrimonioMundial/BienesD ec/ListadoBienes/Toledo.html>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The SOUV is currently under revision by the Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Special Plan for Toledo's Historic Quarter has been in operation since 1997.

Today, most protective legislation is provided by the Spanish Historical Heritage (Protection) Act, Law 16/1985, the Castilla-La Mancha Historical Heritage (Protection) Act, Law 4/1990 (implemented by the Regulation in force) and Law 2/1998 regarding Territorial Planning and Urban Planning Activity in Castilla-La Mancha.

On the municipal level, the Special Plan for Toledo's Historic Quarter has been in force since 1997 as have its implementing ordinances: Ordinance regulating assistance allocated for the rehabilitation of housing, the Colour Scheme

and the buildings catalogue. Initial approval has already gone through for the Ordinance on assistance for the rehabilitation of store fronts; the Ordinance regulating street and park activities; and the Ordinance on Advertising and Signposting.

The catalogue includes 105 buildings with the maximum rating of Cultural Interest Site. The areas surrounding these buildings, including neighbouring buildings, are protected as well. There are a further 600 buildings catalogued at the three different levels based on their characteristics of construction and on the type of construction intervention they permit. There are also 49 monumental sectors comprised of sets of buildings to which specific prescriptions apply with a view to maintaining the endemic characteristics of the sector, mostly of an environmental nature.

Owing to the different classes and levels of protection affecting over eighty-five percent of the Historic Quarter, there are specific prescriptions independent of those of general application to the monumental ensemble. Naturally, a considerable number of buildings are categorised in several of the aforementioned protection levels regardless of their intrinsic degree of individual protection.

There are currently two protection commissions, composed of representatives of the Autonomous Community and the Town Hall, responsible for watching over the application of planning criteria and analysing construction initiatives of all kinds taking place in the historic quarter, independent of the competences of the two administrations.

The Department of Culture of the Castilla-La Mancha Regional Government is responsible for protection of the monument and the Town Hall of Toledo also has competences in the management and implementation of the aforementioned Special Plan. The regional government also has exclusive competence regarding archaeological aspects and in the protection of Cultural Interest Sites and their declared surroundings.

Since the year of Toledo's declaration, its legal situation has changed somewhat with the approval of the Special Plan and the implementation of legislation connected to the latter such as the ordinances referred to above. Having regard to change of ownership of buildings, we would mention that basically all monumental buildings are still owned by the same entities or are under the control of the public administration.

As for the areas surrounding the city there are also two special protection plans; one in the northern sector (Roman Circus and Covachuelas) and another currently being drafted for the southern sector (Cigarrales).

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, November 4, 2005

• Question 6.02

The Special Plan for Toledo's Historic Quarter has been in operation since 1997.

Today, most protective legislation is provided by the Spanish Historical Heritage (Protection) Act, Law 16/1985, the Castilla-La Mancha Historical Heritage (Protection) Act, Law 4/1990 (implemented by the Regulation in force) and Law 2/1998 regarding Territorial Planning and Urban Planning Activity in Castilla-La Mancha.

On the municipal level, the Special Plan for Toledo's Historic Quarter has been in force since 1997 as have its

implementing ordinances: Ordinance regulating assistance allocated for the rehabilitation of housing, the Colour Scheme and the buildings catalogue. Initial approval has already gone through for the Ordinance on assistance for the rehabilitation of store fronts; the Ordinance regulating street and park activities; and the Ordinance on Advertising and Signposting.

The catalogue includes 105 buildings with the maximum rating of Cultural Interest Site. The areas surrounding these buildings, including neighbouring buildings, are protected as well. There are a further 600 buildings catalogued at the three different levels based on their characteristics of construction and on the type of construction intervention they permit. There are also 49 monumental sectors comprised of sets of buildings to which specific prescriptions apply with a view to maintaining the endemic characteristics of the sector, mostly of an environmental nature.

Owing to the different classes and levels of protection affecting over eighty-five percent of the Historic Quarter, there are specific prescriptions independent of those of general application to the monumental ensemble. Naturally, a considerable number of buildings are categorised in several of the aforementioned protection levels regardless of their intrinsic degree of individual protection.

There are currently two protection commissions, composed of representatives of the Autonomous Community and the Town Hall, responsible for watching over the application of planning criteria and analysing construction initiatives of all kinds taking place in the historic quarter, independent of the competences of the two administrations.

The Department of Culture of the Castilla-La Mancha Regional Government is responsible for protection of the monument and the Town Hall of Toledo also has competences in the management and implementation of the aforementioned Special Plan. The regional government also has exclusive competence regarding archaeological aspects and in the protection of Cultural Interest Sites and their declared surroundings.

Since the year of Toledo's declaration, its legal situation has changed somewhat with the approval of the Special Plan and the implementation of legislation connected to the latter such as the ordinances referred to above. Having regard to change of ownership of buildings, we would mention that basically all monumental buildings are still owned by the same entities or are under the control of the public administration.

As for the areas surrounding the city there are also two special protection plans; one in the northern sector (Roman Circus and Covachuelas) and another currently being drafted for the southern sector (Cigarrales).

Comment

There is a new legal document, Act 4/2013 of Cultural Heritage of Castilla-La Mancha, instead of Law 4/1990.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or

Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Although there is no specific committee entrusted with the direct administration and management of Toledo's historic quarter action plans, there are a number of inter-administrative commissions responsible, on a sector-specific basis, for overseeing the execution of applicable urban development schemes. These commissions are mostly annexed to the Town Hall of Toledo (Plaza del Consistorio 1, 45071-Toledo), and to the Department of Culture of the Autonomous Community (C/ de la Trinidad 8, 45071-Toledo), the latter being responsible for heritage protection issues. There are individuals in charge of coordinating works concerning protection of Toledo's city centre both at the Town Hall of Toledo and Department of Culture levels. Moreover, in 2001 the City of Toledo Consortium was created which is charged with, upon consent of the three administrations composing the Consortium, implementing rehabilitation and restoration initiatives within the monumental ensemble. The management of the site is consensual and it is under traditional protective measures or customary law. Today there are several different administrative systems organised under the commissions.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, November 4, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group: Although there is no specific committee entrusted with the direct administration and management of Toledo's historic quarter action plans, there are a number of inter-administrative commissions responsible, on a sector-specific basis, for overseeing the execution of applicable urban development schemes. These commissions are mostly

annexed to the Town Hall of Toledo (Plaza del Consistorio 1, 45071-Toledo), and to the Department of Culture of the Autonomous Community (C/ de la Trinidad 8, 45071-Toledo), the latter being responsible for heritage protection issues. There are individuals in charge of coordinating works concerning protection of Toledo's city centre both at the Town Hall of Toledo and Department of Culture levels. Moreover, In 2001 the City of Toledo Consortium was created which is charged with, upon consent of the three administrations composing the Consortium, implementing rehabilitation and restoration initiatives within the monumental ensemble.

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management under protective legislation
- Management under traditional protective measures or customary law
- Consensual management

Today there are several different administrative systems organised under the commissions referred to in point 05.04.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	50%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	20%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	30%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

None.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium

Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations**, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent

Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property

which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	Housing could affect to the Property because it is a living city.	There is a Special Plan going on under the supervision of Town Hall and Regional Government that works quite good to protect the heritage values.	There is a regular monitoring by the Town Hall and Regional Government.	Going on.	Town Hall and Regional Government. Since 1997 the legal document, Special Plan of Toledo Old Town, allows to protect heritage values from housing.
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	This issue could affect the hole Property because it is a city.	Town Hall is working everyday in this issue to prevent negative effects.	On going.	Regular.	Town Hall. This issue is under supervision of Committee by Town Hall and Regional Government.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	There is a plan to contact with.	2015	Town Hall.	This issue does not affect strong the Property.	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.15	No capacity development plan or programme is in place	This could attend in the management plan that will be developed.	Two years.	Town Hall and Regional Government	There is no plan but there are many professionals developing capacities.	
4.7 Visitor Management						
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	This could attend in the management plan that will be developed.	Two years	Town Hall and Regional Government	This issue will be one of the principal themes of the management plan.	
4.7.6	Fees collected makes no contribution to the management of the property	This could attend in the management plan that will be developed.	Town Hall and Regional Government	Town Hall and Regional Government	This issue will be one of the principal themes of the management plan.	
4.8 Monitoring						
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	This could attend in the management plan that will be developed.	Two years.	Town Hall and Regional Government	This issue will be one of the principal themes of the management plan.	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: The SOUV is currently under revision by the Advisory Bodies.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: Property (ha): 259,85 Buffer zone (ha): 7669,28 Total (ha): 7929,13 (Source: Retrospective Inventory, Decision 37 COM 8D)
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update: Please update maps provided by the State Party in response to the Retrospective Inventory process, and approved by Decision 37 COM 8D.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise