

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Mudejar Architecture of Aragon

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Spain

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

378bis

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1986, 2001

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Torre, techumbre y cimborrio de la catedral de Santa María de Mediavilla, City and province of Teruel , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	40.344 / -1.107	0.24	1.99	2.23	1986
Torre e iglesia de San Pedro, City and province of Teruel , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	40.343 / -1.106	0.13	11.59	11.72	1986
Torre de la iglesia de San Martín, City and province of Teruel , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	40.344 / -1.109	0.008	1.78	1.788	1986
Torre de la iglesia del Salvador, City and province of Teruel , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	40.342 / -1.108	0.009	0.63	0.639	1986
Abside, claustro y torre de colegiata de Santa María, Calatayud , Province of Zaragoza , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	41.354 / -1.645	0.285	0.526	0.811	2001
Iglesia parroquial de Santa Tecla, Cervera de la Cañada , Province of Zaragoza , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	41.433 / -1.736	0.027	0.242	0.269	2001

Iglesia de Santa María, Tobed , Province of Zaragoza , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	41.339 / -1.401	0.004	0.011	0.015	2001
Restos mudéjares de palacio de la Aljafería, City and province of Zaragoza , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	41.656 / -0.897	2.378	7.405	9.783	2001
Torre e iglesia parroquial de San Pablo, City and province of Zaragoza , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	41.656 / -0.886	0.319	1.472	1.791	2001
Abside, parroquieta y cimborrio de La Seo, City and province of Zaragoza , Autonomous Community of Aragón , Spain	41.655 / -0.876	0.833	1.637	2.47	2001
Total (ha)		4.233	27.283	31.516	

Comment

A new Geographical Information Table has already been sent to the World Heritage Centre (by email on 28th March 2014) in order to correct the mistakes detected in the figures of San Pedro de Teruel and Santa María de Tobed and also to update the figures of Santa María de Calatayud, San Pablo de Zaragoza and La Seo de Zaragoza because the extension of their buffer zones was modified (increased) after the inscription as it was reported in 2005 Periodic Reporting exercise.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Teruel Iglesia de San Pedro	01/02/2007	
Torre de El Salvador	01/02/2007	
Torre de San Martin	01/02/2007	
Teruel Catedral, Torre, techumbre y cimborrio declarados Patrimonio Mundial	01/02/2007	
Abside, claustro y torre de colegiata de Santa María	20/06/2001	
Iglesia parroquial de Santa Tecla	20/06/2001	
Iglesia de Santa María	20/06/2001	
Restos mudéjares de palacio de la Aljafería	20/06/2001	
Torre e iglesia parroquial de San Pablo	20/06/2001	
Abside, parroquieta y cimborrio de La Seo	20/06/2001	

Comment

Regarding the maps of the six monuments located in province of Zaragoza and inscribed in 2001, a new version of all of them has already been sent to the World Heritage Centre (by email on 28th March 2014) as updated cartography was available and the buffer zones of three of them (Santa María de Calatayud, San Pablo de Zaragoza and La Seo de Zaragoza) were modified to make them more effective. This

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

updated maps were already provided in 2005 Periodic Report and they should have been prefilled.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Laura de Miguel Riera
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Esther Rodríguez
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Iciar Alcalá Prats
Dirección General de Patrimonio Cultural del Gobierno de Aragón
Facultativo Superior de Patrimonio Cultural
- Valentino Etowar
UNESCO
Consultant
CLT/WHC/P/EUR
4.12

Comment

Please, delete the UNESCO contact; this is not a site manager.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [World Heritage Sites in Spain \(Tourist Office of Spain\)](#)

Comment

2. www.patrimonioculturaldearagon.com (Web of Cultural Heritage General Directorate, Government of Aragón) 3. www.aragonmudejar.com 4. <http://www.mcu.es/patrimonio/MC/PatrimonioMundial/BienesD ec/ListadoBienes/MudejarAragon.html>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Approved in Decision 38 COM.8E (2014).

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

Section II-Mudejar Architecture of Aragon

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value













3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric		
3.7.1	Wind	 	
3.7.3	Temperature	 	
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative
		 Positive	 Inside
			 Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric				
3.7.1 Wind	extensive	frequent	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7.3 Temperature	widespread	frequent	insignificant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Wind is a factor that affects mainly the 6 monuments located in province of Zaragoza, but not the 4 located in province of Teruel. Temperature (particularly the strong changes of temperature all over the year: cold winters, hot summers) is a factor that affects all the monuments included in the Property. Both factors are negative, but controlled and insignificant regarding their impact on the attributes that express the OUV as they only cause little and repairable damages to physical fabrics.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

All the properties inscribed by UNESCO on the World Heritage List as Mudéjar Architecture of Aragon have had status as "bienes culturales" within the Spanish State for decades, having been declared National Monuments or Historic-Artistic Monuments at different times.

Following the transfer of jurisdiction over cultural affairs to the Autonomous Community of Aragon in 1983, and the entry into force of Law 3/1999, of 10 March, on the Cultural Heritage of Aragon, a review was carried out of the numerous applications made previous to that date (third paragraph of the First Transitional Provision) and the need to complete them in accordance with the requirements established therein. Article 21 of the Law provides that declarations of Bienes de Interés Cultural (Properties of Cultural Interest) must describe the property, clearly expressing as a minimum its physical location, the real estate making up the property and the surrounding area affected.

In compliance with the above, the Directorate General of Cultural Heritage took appropriate measures to complete the declarations originating before the Aragonese Law, so as to state the physical location and properly describe the properties and establish an area of protection. All such measures have been completed.

Currently, the properties are governed by the general system of protection and conservation set forth for Bienes de Interés Cultural pertaining to Aragon's Cultural Heritage.

In addition to the legislation on cultural heritage, reference must also be made to urban development legislation; of the many provisions the following are worthy of note: Plan for the Internal Reform of the Historical Centre of Teruel (approved in 1988), Catalogue of Protected Areas and Buildings in Teruel, Urban Development Master Plan for Zaragoza (approved in 2001), Catalogue of Elements, Buildings and Groupings of Interest in Zaragoza, Special Internal Reform and Protection Plan for the Historic-Artistic Properties of Calatayud (approved in 2002), Plans for Demarcation of Urban Land in Cervera de la Cañada (1984) and Tobed (1987), Monument Catalogues, etc.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, November 10, 2005

• Question 6.02

All the properties inscribed by UNESCO on the World Heritage List as Mudéjar Architecture of Aragon have had status as "bienes culturales" within the Spanish State for decades, having been declared National Monuments or Historic-Artistic Monuments at different times.

Following the transfer of jurisdiction over cultural affairs to the Autonomous Community of Aragon in 1983, and the entry into force of Law 3/1999, of 10 March, on the Cultural Heritage of Aragon, a review was carried out of the numerous applications made previous to that date (third paragraph of the First Transitional Provision) and the need to complete them in accordance with the requirements established therein.

Article 21 of the Law provides that declarations of Bienes de Interés Cultural (Properties of Cultural Interest) must describe the property, clearly expressing as a minimum its physical location, the real estate making up the property and the surrounding area affected.

In compliance with the above, the Directorate General of Cultural Heritage took appropriate measures to complete the declarations originating before the Aragonese Law, so as to state the physical location and properly describe the properties and establish an area of protection. All such measures have been completed.

Currently, the properties are governed by the general system of protection and conservation set forth for Bienes de Interés Cultural pertaining to Aragon's Cultural Heritage.

In addition to the legislation on cultural heritage, reference must also be made to urban development legislation; of the many provisions the following are worthy of note: Plan for the Internal Reform of the Historical Centre of Teruel

(approved in 1988), Catalogue of Protected Areas and Buildings in Teruel, Urban Development Master Plan for Zaragoza (approved in 2001), Catalogue of Elements, Buildings and Groupings of Interest in Zaragoza, Special Internal Reform and Protection Plan for the Historic-Artistic Properties of Calatayud (approved in 2002), Plans for Demarcation of Urban Land in Cervera de la Cañada (1984) and Tobed (1987), Monument Catalogues, etc

Comment

Urban development legislation mentioned in Question 6.02 (Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, Section 2) has to be updated because of two reasons: Regarding Calatayud, a dating mistake has been detected. The Special Internal Reform and Protection Plan for the Historic-Artistic Properties of Calatayud was approved in 1998. And regarding Zaragoza, legislation now in use is the Consolidated Version of Urban Development Master Plan for Zaragoza (approved in 2008).

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

There is no short-term plan to create a management group or committee, since the management plan has not yet been completed. The management of the site is under protective legislation. The management of Aragon's Mudéjar monuments with World Heritage status, since they are Bienes de Interés

Cultural, is the responsibility of the Directorate General for Cultural Heritage of the Department of Education, Culture and Tourism of the Government of Aragon. Also, the World Heritage of Aragon Management Centre was created in February 2003, and holds the documentation and information referring to these sites. However, owing to the ownership of these assets, the immediate management and planning is the responsibility of:

- Tower, ciborium and ceiling of the Cathedral of Santa Maria of Teruel
 - Bishopric of Teruel-Albarracín, Chapter of the Cathedral
 - Tower and Parish Church of San Pedro of Teruel: Bishopric of Teruel-Albarracín
 - Tower of the Parish Church of San Martin de Teruel: Bishopric of Teruel-Albarracín
 - Tower of the Parish Church of El Salvador of Teruel: Bishopric of Teruel-Albarracín
 - Mudéjar vestiges of the Aljaferia Palace of Zaragoza: Cortes de Aragón
 - Apse, funeral chapel and ciborium of La Seo of Zaragoza: Archbishopric of Zaragoza, Metropolitan Chapter of Zaragoza
 - Tower of the Parish Church of San Pablo of Zaragoza: Parish of San Pablo, Archbishopric of Zaragoza
 - Apse, cloister and tower of the Collegiate Church of Santa María of Calatayud: Collegiate Church of Santa Maria, Bishopric of Tarazona
 - Parish Church of Santa Tecla or La Asunción, Cervera de la Cañada: Parish of Nuestra Señora de La Asunción, Bishopric of Tarazona
 - Parish Church of Nuestra Señora, Tobed: Parish of San Pedro Apóstol, Bishopric of Tarazona.
- Since 2003 work has been underway on a Master Plan for all the Mudéjar monuments in Aragon, covering both those on the List and those that are not, in order to ensure the coordination of monument protection, management, conservation and restoration.
- Independent of this, any intervention in Mudéjar monuments or their surrounding areas must have the prior approval of the appropriate Provincial Commission on Cultural Heritage (Art. 35 of Law 3/99).

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, November 10, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group: There is no short-term plan to create a management group or committee, since the management plan has not yet been completed.
- **Question 5.05** Overall management system of the site
 - Management under protective legislation
 - Other effective management system

The management of Aragon's Mudéjar monuments with World Heritage status, since they are Bienes de Interés Cultural, is the responsibility of the Directorate General for Cultural Heritage of the Department of Education, Culture and Tourism of the Government of Aragon.

Also, the World Heritage of Aragon Management Centre was created in February 2003, and holds the documentation and information referring to these sites.

However, owing to the ownership of these assets, the immediate management and planning is the responsibility of:

 - Tower, ciborium and ceiling of the Cathedral of Santa Maria of Teruel: Bishopric of Teruel-Albarracín, Chapter of the Cathedral
 - Tower and Parish Church of San Pedro of Teruel: Bishopric of Teruel-Albarracín
 - Tower of the Parish Church of San Martin de Teruel:

Bishopric of Teruel-Albarracín
 -Tower of the Parish Church of El Salvador of Teruel:
 Bishopric of Teruel-Albarracín
 -Mudéjar vestiges of the Aljafería Palace of Zaragoza:
 Cortes de Aragón
 -Apse, funeral chapel and ciborium of La Seo of Zaragoza:
 Archbishopric of Zaragoza, Metropolitan Chapter of Zaragoza
 -Tower of the Parish Church of San Pablo of Zaragoza:
 Parish of San Pablo, Archbishopric of Zaragoza
 -Apse, cloister and tower of the Collegiate Church of Santa María of Calatayud: Collegiate Church of Santa Maria, Bishopric of Tarazona
 -Parish Church of Santa Tecla or La Asunción, Cervera de la Cañada: Parish of Nuestra Señora de La Asunción, Bishopric of Tarazona
 -Parish Church of Nuestra Señora, Tobed: Parish of San Pedro Apóstol, Bishopric of Tarazona.
 Since 2003 work has been underway on a Master Plan for all the Mudéjar monuments in Aragon, covering both those on the List and those that are not, in order to ensure the coordination of monument protection, management, conservation and restoration.
 Independent of this, any intervention in Mudéjar monuments or their surrounding areas must have the prior approval of the appropriate Provincial Commission on Cultural Heritage (Art. 35 of Law 3/99).

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have

input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Regarding the management of the Mudejar Architecture of Aragon, we must point up that, although there is no management plan (understood as a document containing short and long term action plans) approved and implemented for all ten sites included in this serial property, there is a management system based on the coordination between all levels of administration involved in the daily management of each site that has been working perfectly until now.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0.00%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0.00%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0.20%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	30.64%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	6.81%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0.16%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	35.78%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0.60%
Other grants	25.81%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No International Assistance has been received from the World Heritage Fund (USD).

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	54%
Part-time	46%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	99%
Seasonal	1%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	56%
Volunteer	44%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair

Section II-Mudejar Architecture of Aragon

Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

A.A.V.V., Actas de los Simposia Internacionales de Mudejarismo, 2007, 2009, 2013. A.A.V.V., La Colegiata de Santa María de Calatayud, 2007. A.A.V.V., Mudéjar: el legado andalusí en la cultura española, 2010. ALCALÁ, I., PÉREZ, A. y SANZ, J.M., "La arquitectura mudéjar de Teruel: balance de 20 años como Patrimonio Mundial", en Turia, 2006.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

ASÍN,N.,Mudéjar: crisol de culturas en la provincia de Zaragoza,2012.*

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

*Continuation of the publications: BORRÁS,G.M.,Arte mudéjar aragonés (tomo I),2008. BORRÁS,G.M (dir.),Espacio mudéjar de Tobed: Mahoma Calahorri,2011. IBÁÑEZ,J.,La cerámica de Teruel y la arquitectura mudéjar,2013. LACARRA,M.C. (coord.),Arte mudéjar en Aragón, León, Castilla, Extremadura y Andalucía,2006. TOLOSA,J.A.,Guía del arte mudéjar en Aragón,2013.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

Section II-Mudejar Architecture of Aragon

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined **but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.1	Wind	This factor only affects some of the building materials of the physical fabrics and the affection is insignificant.	Periodic technical inspections or monitoring.	Periodic.	At less, once a year.	Regional government, local governments and owners of the sites.	No comments.
3.7.3	Temperature	This factor only affects some of the building materials of the physical fabrics and the affection is insignificant.	Periodic technical inspections or monitoring.	Periodic.	At less, once a year.	Regional government, local governments and owners of the sites.	No comments.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	It must be clarified that there is no cooperation with industry regarding the management of property because it is not needed at all (see Question 4.3.7.8). Then, as this cultural site has nothing to do with industry, no future actions are proposed.	No needed.	No needed.	No needed.	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.13	Promotion	This management need is wrongly reflected on this summary as it can be checked out on answer to Question 4.4.13.2, where "promotion" is rated with a 3 (fair).	No needed.	No needed.	No needed.	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

Section II-Mudejar Architecture of Aragon

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

In serial properties it would be more logical and effective to answer a questionnaire for each one of the properties (sites), not just one for all of them, as their situations could be different and the average of data doesn't reflect properly this fact.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Approved in Decision 38 COM.8E (2014).

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: A new Geographical Information Table has already been sent to the World Heritage Centre (by email on 28th March 2014) in order to correct the mistakes detected in the figures of San Pedro de Teruel and Santa María de Tobed and also to update the figures of Santa María de Calatayud, San Pablo de Zaragoza and La Seo de Zaragoza because the extension of their buffer zones was modified (increased) after the inscription as it was reported in 2005 Periodic Reporting exercise.

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: Regarding the maps of the six monuments located in province of Zaragoza and inscribed in 2001, a new version of all of them has already been sent to the World Heritage Centre (by email on 28th March 2014) as updated cartography was available and the buffer zones of three of them (Santa María de Calatayud, San Pablo de Zaragoza and La Seo de Zaragoza) were modified to make them more effective. This updated maps were already provided in 2005 Periodic Report and they should have been prefilled.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise