

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Bardejov Town Conservation Reserve

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Slovakia

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

973


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2000

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Bardejov Town Conservation Reserve	49.293 / 21.279	23.6	12.83	36.43	2000
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>23.6</b>	<b>12.83</b>	<b>36.43</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Bardejov Town Conservation Reserve - map of inscribed property	02/12/2000	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Monuments Board of the Slovak Republic Cesta na Červený most 6 814 06 Bratislava Slovakia

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Peter Glos  
Regional Monuments Board Prešov  
Civic Engineer / Conservator

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Bardejov](#)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The World Heritage Committee adopted the retrospective definition of the outstanding universal value of this site by its decision No. 38 COM 8E.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The World Heritage Committee adopted the retrospective definition of the outstanding universal value of this site by its decision No. 38 COM 8E.

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>							
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>							
3.10.1	Storms							
3.10.2	Flooding							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.1.2	Commercial development	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

In relation to current development pressures, the re-evaluation of the effectiveness of the buffer zone. Knowledge and understanding of OUV by all stakeholders and efficient use of existing legal instruments, including the Act No. 176/2002 Coll. on the Protection and Development of the Town of Bardejov is the basis for this assessment.

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Bardejov has been protected since the early 1950s when Czechoslovakia declared it an urban reserve. This protected it from any development within the historic centre, although the prevailing economic and political situation did not encourage any activity at all.

Nowadays, Bardejov is well protected under the 1987 Slovak Act Concerning Care of State Monuments (as a protected cultural heritage) and by town by-laws. An important additional protection is provided by a Master Plan and specifications to be followed for any activity on the buildings in the designated historic area.

#### Comment

Institutionalized protection is ensured by the Monuments Board of the SR and the Prešov Regional Monuments Board and regulated by Act No. 49/2002 Coll. on the Preservation of Monuments and Historic Sites. Conservation and maintenance are ensured by the owners; their principles and conditions are established by the Preservation Principles of the Conservation Reserve. WHS protection was strengthened by declaring the buffer zone for the Bardejov Conservation Reserve as of August 17, 2000.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Set of measures to protect the WHS and its surroundings is sufficient, its enforcement could be improved through greater cooperation between institutions and local community, as well as an increase in the provision of direct economic and financial incentives.

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

All plans have to be approved at the city level, where special personnel examine them to establish whether they conform with the relevant laws, conservation policy, and regulations. Copies of requests are also submitted to the regional office of the National Institute of Monument Conservation (an agency of the Ministry of Culture) in Prešov.

Every individual house in the historic centre is documented and registered and no activity is allowed without a rigorous review of the plans and the details. The town has dedicated and well trained professional staff to handle special conservation and building permits, and they are in close contact with experts at national level.

#### Comment

Management entities: National level: Ministry of Culture + Commission Coordinating the Tasks Related to the Protection of the World Cultural Heritage at the Ministry of Culture of the SR; Monuments Board of the SR Regional and local levels: Prešov Regional Monuments Board and Town of Bardejov + WHS Management Group (advisory body to the town)

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

#### Comment

Management Plan of the World Heritage Site 2013 – 2020 for the Historic Center of the Town of Bardejov, AINova, 2013 (approved on 26.9.2013):

[http://www.bardejov.sk/msu/images/stories/PDF/rozvoj\\_dokumenty/koncepcie/Bardejov\\_manazment\\_plan\\_2013-2020.pdf](http://www.bardejov.sk/msu/images/stories/PDF/rozvoj_dokumenty/koncepcie/Bardejov_manazment_plan_2013-2020.pdf)

The Preservation Principles of the Conservation Reserve Bardejov – update 2006 – 2009, Prešov Regional Monuments Board, 2009 (validity as of 22.03.2010) Land Use Plan of Town of Bardejov, ARKA, Jozef Žiaran, 2007

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

#### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair

Visitors	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

#### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

#### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

#### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The implementation of the new management plan (MP) of 2013 has been launched, within which the Management Group comprised of dominant WHS stakeholders was established. The MP incorporates 30 projects focusing on the conservation, sustainable use, development and presentation of the WHS. Implementation is in the competence of the town of Bardejov and ensured by the MP Implementation Commission. The town cooperates with the Prešov Regional Monuments Board on issues related to WHS conservation.

#### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Government Regulation No. 596/2001 Coll. on Conservation Reserves (CR) – demarcation of Bardejov CR Act No. 49/2002 Coll. on the Preservation of Monuments and Historic Sites as amended (latest amendment Act No. 104/2014) Act No. 176/2002 Coll. on the Protection and Development of the Town of Bardejov Preservation Principles of the Bardejov Conservation Reserve, update 2006 - 2009. Prešov Regional Monuments Board, 2009 - Management Plan 2013 – 2020, update, AINova, 2013


### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

#### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%

Governmental (National / Federal)	70%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	10%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	10%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	5%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	5%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Preparatory Assistance- City of Bardejov, Slovakia	1998	10000.00	
<b>Total</b>		10000	

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Monument conservation, visitor and promotion services are funded by programs of the state, EU, Slovak Tourist Board, local government, foundations and owners. The owners are responsible for funding buildings conservation and maintenance; they may request aid through Let us Renovate Our House - a special subsidy scheme of the Ministry of Culture. The town of Bardejov is responsible for the renovation and maintenance of public roads, spaces, vegetation and infrastructure.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Monuments Board has professionals from the fields of architecture, art history, archaeology and historical greenery who provide professional help for owners of monuments and for local government. Part of this assistance is also the nationwide project "Pro Monumenta – prevention through maintenance" aimed at ensuring the maintenance of monuments (training, diagnostics, practical examples). Training activities in a wide range of fields relating to WHS provide the Town of Bardejov, Šariš Museum, etc

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Lukáč, G., Uličný, M.: Archeologický výskum, Radničné nám., 2001-2002 Kürthy, L., Glocková, B.:Architektonicko-historickývýskum:Stöcklova 14,17,19,22,23,25, 2004 - 2012 Petranská, D.:Architektonicko-historickývýskum: Radničné 44, Kláštorská 19, 2007 Harčár, P.: Archeologickývýskum: Františkánska, Hviezdoslavova, 2006,2005 All research materials are located in the Archives of the Prešov RMB

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

On-site architectural and historical research projects of individual national cultural monuments and archaeological research of the area, the results provide basis for decisions of state administration concerning monument conservation, monument restoration preparation, drafting and updating the town planning documentation and other strategic documents at various local government.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

In addition to standard school and preschool education, the town of Bardejov annually organizes the fair–Bardkontakt in cooperation with the Monuments Board;it includes a series of events (exhibition, conference, publication, etc.) concerning the current WHS needs.<http://www.bardejov.sk/mesto/bardejov/konferencia-bardkontakt> The Šariš Region Museum is another distinctive educational entity.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

Management Plan of the World Heritage Site 2013 – 2020 for Historic Center of the Town of Bardejov, AINova, 2013 –

Chapter B6 – Use of the Site for Cultural Tourism.  
Management Plan reflects all already existing valid documents related to the visitors management.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

Admission fees are collected from the following buildings: - Basilica Minor of St. Egidius (tour of the church interior, ascent to tower), - Radničné Square No. 48 –Town Hall (historical exposition of the Šariš Region Museum, Bardejov–Free Royal Town), - Radničné Square No. 27 – Burgher House (historical exposition of the BardejovŠariš Region Museum- Icons), - Rhodyho 4 – Burgher House (natural science exposition of the Šariš Region Museum in Bardejov)

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

The World Heritage Committee did not adopt the recommendations to be implemented.

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

Since 1996, the Monuments Board has systematically monitored every cultural WHS in 2-year cycles: year 1 - documentation and evaluation of the construction and technical state of all monuments in the WHS; year 2 – preservation of OUV attributes in the WHS. Monitoring includes implementation of corrective measures and provides the basis for the provision of state subsidies for monument restoration.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	Housing	iii, iv	Strict implementation of the Preservation Principles of the Conservation Reserve and consistent use of all legal measures	upon any planned intervention in the preserved territory + annual regular monitoring	continuously	Prešov Regional Monuments Board, town of Bardejov and other institution with legal pertinence	New residential housing development within the WHS is possible only in "reserved areas" based on the historical context; areas originally occupied by former outbuildings are used for residential housing development to a strictly limited extent.
3.1.2	Commercial development	iii, iv	State institutions responsible for monuments protection act pursuant to legal measures. In relation to current development pressures the re-evaluation of buffer zone is taking place. Through media, the general public is involved in OUV preservation.	Regular monitoring, including the monitoring of protected views.	Continuously + within the regular monitoring system and measures for improvement	Town of Bardejov, Monuments Board of the SR, Prešov Regional Monuments Board, Ministry of Culture of the SR	The current issue is a construction of the 5-storey, poly-functional object over-bridging a street in close vicinity of the buffer zone. It might have a negative impact on WHS integrity by closing the protected vista of the historic town core.
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	iii, iv	regulation of the change in the functional use of buildings pursuant to the current legislation, ensuring of permanent and adequate use of the Jewish Suburb, informative and educational activities, use of mass media	regular monitoring system	measures and activities are implemented continuously	Prešov Regional Monuments Board, Town of Bardejov, civic associations, Jewish Religious Community	The complete extinction of local Jewish population does not allow the original use of Suburb. Awareness of former community is maintained and enhanced through various events. The Holocaust Memorial in Suburb is one of the most significant (2014).

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

Since its inscription the overall state of preservation of the site has gradually improved thanks to regular monitoring and state aid, which the owners can request from the subsidy program of the Ministry of Culture for the renovation of the monuments in the WH sites. It significantly supported the conservation of the Jewish Suburbium despite the fact that the local Jewish community is extinct.

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

Thanks to the inscription it is much easier to obtain funds for monument conservation, promotion, research, educational and other projects from domestic and foreign funds. On one hand the protection of monuments has increased, yet the

attractiveness of the environment increases pressure on new development in the WHS and its close vicinity.

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
Local community
External experts
Advisory bodies

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

no

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

- provide more space for commentaries; it is difficult to cover more complex issues (e.g., management system) or reflect the diversity of serial sites in 500 characters or less. - Chapter 3 - in the interest of greater accuracy to enable the separate evaluation of positive and negative impact of factors in the territory

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The World Heritage Committee adopted the retrospective definition of the outstanding universal value of this site by its decision No. 38 COM 8E.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**

We expect that the World Heritage Committee will react to critical deficiencies indicated in this Periodic Report. Special attention must be focused on raising awareness regarding WHS values on the national and international levels and to use all available media for that.