

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

San Marino Historic Centre and Mount Titano

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- San Marino

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

1245

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2008

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
San Marino Historic Centre and Mount Titano	43.933 / 12.452	55	167	222	2008
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>55</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>222</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Mount Titano, Area of the nominated property and buffer zone	31/01/2007	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Marina Volpinari  
Foreign Affairs Department  
Interpreter/Translator

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Tonino Ceccoli  
Environmental and Agricultural Resources Management Office (UGRAA)  
Coordinator of the Property Management Plan

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

#### Comment

There is no specific web site of San Marino Property. However, the Ministry of Tourism has included a detailed guide on San Marino World Heritage Site in the web site [www.visitsanmarino.com](http://www.visitsanmarino.com).

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

There are no other designations/Conventions under which the property is protected.

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

San Marino is one of the world's oldest republics and the only surviving Italian city-state, representing an important stage in the development of democratic models in Europe and worldwide. The tangible expressions of this long continuity as the capital of the Republic, its unchanged geo-political context and juridical and institutional functions, is found in the strategic position on the top of Mount Titano, the historic urban layout, urban spaces and many public monuments. San Marino has a widely recognised iconic status as a symbol of a free city-state, illustrated in political debate, literature and arts through the centuries. The defensive walls and the historic centre have undergone changes over time that include intensive restoration and reconstruction between the end of the 19th century and the first decades of the 20th century, a process that can be considered to be part of the history of the property and reflects changing approaches to conservation and presentation of heritage over time.

**Criterion (iii):** San Marino and Mount Titano are an exceptional testimony of the establishment of a representative democracy based on civic autonomy and self-governance, with a unique, uninterrupted continuity as the capital of an independent republic since the 13th century. San Marino is an exceptional testimony to a living cultural tradition that has persisted over the last seven hundred years.

The Historic Centre of San Marino on Mount Titano includes all the elements which constituted the foundations of this identity and during the medieval period of the Italian city-states. Many elements of the historic centre have been preserved or, if renewed, form part of a long tradition. The interventions carried out during the 20th century could be seen as affecting the integrity, but are also a part of the history of the property. There is a high degree of authenticity of the location and setting of the city of San Marino. With regard to functions and uses, there is a continuity related to the role of the historic city as capital of the small state. Restoration and reconstruction works carried out under the direction of Gino Zani may be considered as a part of the history of the property and an application of the theoretical principles stemming from the Romantic restoration movement. In this case, the idea of the "medievalisation" of the historic centre can be considered as an expression of national identity through the search for an idealised image of the historic centre.

The protection of the property is adequate, although there are a considerable number of legal protective instruments and more specific legal instruments regarding protection of the built heritage and of the surrounding landscape are required. The historic centre has not been subject to major interventions after the 1930s and the public monuments and open spaces are in a good state of conservation.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name						Impact				Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development											
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities											
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure											
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure											
3.3	Services Infrastructures											
3.3.4	Localised utilities											
3.5	Biological resource use/modification											
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production											
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside						

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Additional positive factors within the property: enhancement of green areas, maintenance and conservation of green areas and woods, renaturalisation of wood areas, introduction of waste separation within the property. Another positive factor is the renovation of shop windows within the property according to specific regulations and parameters.

### 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

#### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

##### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

##### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

##### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

##### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 4.2. Protective Measures

##### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

There is a comprehensive legislative framework starting with the law on the "Protection and conservation of monuments, museums, excavations, antiques and art objects" in 1919 and amended in 1980. Adding to this, there is an "Additional measure for the protection of monuments" referred to in the laws of 1919 and 1980, which date from 1993. There is also a special law on "Protection of the edge of the Mount, of the circle of walls and of the adjacent areas" dating from 1924.

The 1919 law is a framework law that establishes the Commission for the Conservation of Monuments, Antiquities and Art Objects, and identifies the objects subject to the provisions and specific obligations, management procedures and modalities of protected goods (lists and inventories, excavations, etc.).

In the Constitutional Order of the Republic it is stated as a general rule that "The Republic shall protect its historical and artistic heritage, as well as its natural environment" and that the activities of Public Entities and the Legislator must take this into account.

The town planning procedures are regulated in the "Single Text of Town Planning and Building Law" (1995). Among other things it directs the drafting and objectives of the General Town Planning Scheme, building permission, and environmental impact assessment in relation to the location of buildings, and recovery or demolition orders in case of unauthorized works. There are also provisions for building volumes, number of floors, heights of buildings, distance between property boundaries and parking areas. Finally there is a section on the selection, recording and protection of real estate and artefacts with monumental values. Interventions to such buildings must be authorized by the Commission for the Conservation of Monuments, Antiquities and Art Objects or through the approval of a special Detailed Plan. Article 199 deals with the listing of monuments.

There are also laws to safeguard rural buildings (1990), a "Framework Law on Tourism" (2006), on town planning and building (1995), on listing of artefacts and buildings (2005) and on environmental issues.

#### Comment

Framework Law n. 133 of 22 September 2009 on the Protection, Management, Enhancement and Promotion of the Property "San Marino Historic Centre and Mount Titano". On 27 July 2010 San Marino ratified the Kyoto Protocol. Law n. 5 of 25 January 2011 on structural planning requires to build according to structural criteria to resist earthquakes. Delegated Decree n. 44 of 27 April 2012 "Environmental Code" (on waste management, protection of air, water resources, noise and electromagnetic pollution).

##### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

##### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

##### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate**

**or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

15 bodies within five different state departments are involved in managing heritage. These include the Social and Cultural Activities Office, the State Library, the State museums, the Town- Planning Office, the Supervisory Inspectorate, the Design Office, and the public works autonomous state company. This is obviously a system that has developed over the years.

Besides these bodies there is a Commission for the Conservation of Monuments, Antiquities and Art Objects, which performs the task of advising on the protection and conservation of monuments and submitting proposals concerning the conservation and management of antiquities and art objects.

There is also the Town Planning Commission, which is the highest body responsible for land management, and an ad hoc Commission for Historic Centers, to which all building interventions within historic centers must be submitted, from ordinary maintenance to restoration interventions.

Furthermore, the State Restoration Centre implements a periodic maintenance program, the Environmental and Agricultural Resources Management Office manages natural areas, and the State Heritage Office and the State Restoration Laboratory are also involved.

The Honourable Congress of State approved, on 28 January 2008, the Decision concerning the content and timeframe for the completion of the Management Plan. The Decision establishes that the Management Plan will be completed during the period 2008-2010, defines priorities and includes the creation of a specific Authority to protect and promote the nominated property.

#### Comment

The existence of the Commission for the Conservation of Monuments, Antiquities and Art Objects is confirmed with all relevant functions. The Commission for Historic Centers has been eliminated. The functions of the two Commissions mentioned above (Commission for the Conservation of Monuments, Antiquities and Art Objects and Commission for Historic Centers) are now performed by a single Commission for Territorial Policies.

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

#### Comment

The Management Plan (successfully completed) is contained in the nomination dossier of San Marino (Chapter 5e). Moreover, Framework Law n. 133 of 22 September 2009 on the Protection, Management, Enhancement and Promotion of the Property "San Marino Historic Centre and Mount Titano" included in the UNESCO World Heritage List further

strengthens the Management Plan with regard to its objectives.

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

#### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Not applicable
Researchers	Not applicable
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

#### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

#### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

#### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

#### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

#### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

##### Comment

We do not receive any international assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

#### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

#### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

#### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

#### 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

#### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

#### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

#### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

#### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

#### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

#### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

#### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Promotion	Not applicable
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Not applicable
Education	Not applicable
Visitor management	Not applicable
Conservation	Not applicable
Administration	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Tourism	Not applicable
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

#### 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management



#### 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

With regard to question n. 4.4.14, since San Marino was included only in 2008, we have not yet established specific training courses. However, we hope we will be able to start this process in the near future, on the basis of resources available.

### 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

#### 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient

#### 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

#### 4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

"Libertà Perpetua - San Marino, Patrimonio dell'Umanità", Minerva Edizioni - November 2009 - Languages: Italian and English. "San Marino - Patrimonio Mondiale dell'Umanità - Guida ai Monumenti", published in 2013 by San Marino Ministries of Tourism and Territory - Languages: Italian and English (also in electronic format). Several other publications on intangible heritage.

#### 4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

### 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

#### 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

#### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent

Local businesses and industries	Average
---------------------------------	---------

#### 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

#### 4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

#### 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

#### 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

#### 4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

A national competition was organised for new UNESCO signs. The entire Historic Centre now has new signs (70) with the logo "World Heritage". There are two official plaques (one for San Marino and one for Borgo Maggiore). 18 relevant signs are scattered all over the territory of San Marino. Signs are very useful to visitors in the Historic Centre, also to get historical and cultural information.

### 4.7. Visitor Management

#### 4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

#### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents****4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property****4.8. Monitoring****4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **complete**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

All shop keepers have been required by law to rebuild their shop windows and awnings according to specific parameters, for the purposes of commercial and tourist improvement. Any new project concerning the historic centre has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre for its opinion, which has always been taken into account.

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

Worth underlining is the improvement of road traffic with interventions involving the roads leading to the Historic Centre of San Marino.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs****4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## **5. Summary and Conclusions**

### **5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

#### **5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

No factor is both current and negative.

### **5.2. Summary - Management Needs**

#### **5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs**

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

#### 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

With regard to question 6.9, this is the first Periodic Report exercise following our inscription in 2008.