

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Ensemble of the Novodevichy Convent

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**

**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Russian Federation

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

1097

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**

2004

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Ensemble of the Novodevichy Convent	55.726 / 37.555	5.18	47	52.18	2004
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>5.18</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52.18</b>	

**1.4 - Map(s)**

Title	Date	Link to source
Ensemble of the Novodevichy Convent - map of inscribed property	07/07/2004	

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Grigory E. Ordzhonikidze  
Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO  
Executive Secretary

**Comment**

replace for: Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation  
Vladimir Tsvetnov Director of the Department for Control, Supervision and Licensing Malyi Gnezdnikovskiy per,7/6, str.1,2 125993 Moscow Tel: +7 495 625 07 08 e-mail: tsvetnov@mkrf.ru, depkontr@mkrf.ru

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Mother Superior Margarita  
Moscow eparchy of the Russian Orthodox Church  
The Mother Superior of the Novodevichy Convent

**Comment**

Diocese of Moscow of the Russian Orthodox Church Managiar of the Diocese of Moscow Metropolitan Juvenaly (Poyarkov) of Krutitsy and Kolomna Novodevichiy proezd,1 119435 Moscow Russian Federation Telephone: +74992460881 Email: novodevich@mepar.ru

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

**Comment**

http://mepar.ru/

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Comment**

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is on the coordination at the World Heritage Centre

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(i)(iv)(vi)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

The Ensemble of Novodevichy Convent is a unique site of ancient Russian construction, fine arts and crafts. Authenticity and general level of architectural and artistic ensemble has an exceptional architectural value. (i) The Ensemble is an outstanding architectural monument of “Moscow Baroque” of the last third of the 17th century, preserving the authentic look. (iv) The Ensemble of the Novodevichy Convent is one of the most historic centers of Orthodoxy (vi).

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.2	Commercial development					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.4	Localised utilities					
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.4	Air pollution					
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
3.7.1	Wind					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.3	Temperature					
3.7.5	Dust					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)					
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
3.9.1	Illegal activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage					
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
3.10.1	Storms					
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.1	Housing	localised	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.1.2	Commercial development	extensive	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.4	Air pollution	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7.5	Dust	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Legal interpretation of the "buffer zone" is lacking in the Russian legislation. Compliance to requirements of the World Heritage Committee via concept of Russian "zone of protection" for cultural heritage site is to be secured.

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Convent is owned by the Russian Federation. The ensemble is legally protected as a monument of architecture and of archaeology of federal significance (latest order: N° 176/1995). A new Federal Law on Cultural Heritage has been approved by the State Duma and signed by the President of the Russian Federation in 2002. The buffer zone, the park surrounding the convent, is part of the protective zone of the Novodevichy Convent, which extends toward the north

covering the main street with adjacent buildings (ca 2300m long and 200-300m wide).

#### Comment

Federal law of 25.06.2002 № 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation" Regulations on the Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Nations of the Russian Federation (enacted by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation, 2008); Law on Transfer to Religious Organisations of Assets Having a Religious Intended Purpose and Representing State or Municipal Property (2010);

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property is **inadequate**

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Russian legal system shall be sub-optimized to comply with the concepts and norms applied by the World Heritage Committee.

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

The responsibility for the management is shared by the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, the Ministry of Property Relations of the Russian Federation, the State Historical Museum, the Chief Administration of Protection of Monuments of the City of Moscow. There exists the 'programme of museification of the property 2000-2005', and 'the plan-scheme of realization of conducting of repair and restoration works on objects of the property and their financing'.

**Comment**

Site management is performed by the Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church, controlling preservation of the property is the responsibility of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

Currently Government of Moscow is considering a repair-and-restoration plan for a period of 2014-2017 agreed with the Ministry of Culture. Funding under this plan is RUR5.3 bln. Moscow Diocese develops a site management plan. Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church is developing a management plan for the site.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **not adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

There is no uniform agreement of the federal and regional bodies on the protection of world heritage sites. The Ministry of Culture submitted for approval a project of zones of protection for the site to the Government of Moscow. However, the project has not been approved due to planned construction of an investor in the buffer zone. The management and public organizations face difficulties while obtaining information about intentions and economic activities of the third parties within the buffer zone of the site.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

On January 26, 2011 Rosokhrankultura issued Order No. 134 "On approval of the borders of federal cultural heritage property "Ensemble of Novodevichy Convent" and legal regime of its land use" On May 20, 2013 President of Russia Putin signed Decree No. 496 "On attributing cultural heritage site "Novodevichy Convent Ensemble" to particularly valuable cultural heritage sites of the peoples of the Russian Federation" (as a cultural heritage site).

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	98%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	1%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	1%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

International assistance was not provided.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Adequate financing of repair and restoration works is required in accordance with the 2014-2017 plan (estimated funding is minimum RUR5.3 bln in the 2011 prices).

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Site management shall be improved by building professional and administrative capacity.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

The Novodevichy Convent. Cemetery. XVI - early XX century. (Guide-book). B/m, b/g. The Novodevichy Convent. Guide-book. M., 2009 The Moscow Novodevichy Convent. To the 500-year anniversary of foundation. Anthology. M., 2012. <http://ndm-museum.ru/monastyr/bibliografiya> <http://ndm-museum.ru/biblioteka/fondy>,



4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
-----------	----------------

Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Site management plan with section on visitation management is under development. The site is open to public and visitation is free of charge on condition that requirements of safety and security, regime of monument preservation and liturgical service, and rules of conduct in the orthodox monastery are strictly followed. Church Museum of the Moscow Diocese carries out excursion service for the visitors.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Development of the site management plan shall be completed, there is need to raise awareness of regional and local authorities in respect of the outstanding universal value of the site.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

No relevant recommendations were presented by the Committee.

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

General public and local authorities shall be involved in the implementation of site monitoring.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	<b>Housing</b>	Visual perception of the monastery ensemble is disrupted by unregulated new construction in the buffer zone, high-storey buildings in particular	Management agreed upon the project of protection zones, developed in 2012, with the Ministry of Culture. Proposed building regulations shall prohibit new construction of buildings taller than 10.4 m within the buffer zone of the site.	Monitoring of the project documentation for all facilities planned for construction in the buffer zone.	Permanently.	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Government of Moscow, Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church.	no comments.
3.1.2	<b>Commercial development</b>	Property conservation is threatened by installation of gas station near the monastery that may cause fire.	The Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation prepared a project of protection zones, which prevents positioning gas stations near the monastery.	Permanently.	2014-2018 rr.	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Government of Moscow.	no comments.
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	<b>Ground transport infrastructure</b>	Visual perception is disturbed by accommodation of a large number of automotive vehicles near the facility (parking of tourist transport).	The Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Government of Moscow make offers and develop requirements for technical specifications of projects to adapt roads around the ensemble for modern use.	Permanently.	2014-2018 rr.	The Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Government of Moscow.	no comments.
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.4	<b>Localised utilities</b>	Proper perception of the site may get in the way by odours from the city sewage collector. In an emergency situation flooding of the eastern wall of the ensemble with sewage disposal is possible	The manager does not possess the resources and opportunities to improve the situation.	Permanently.	Not specified.	Ministry of Culture, Moscow Diocese.	Regulatory and licensing functions, including conservation works and preservation of the site are authorized by the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation.
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>						
3.4.4	<b>Air pollution</b>	Criteria i is under threat: contamination of external elements of the monument: walls, facades, decorative elements, and monastery interior.	Periodic cleaning of walls and facades, repair and restoration works.	Permanently.	Restoration works are scheduled for 2015-2018.	The Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church, organization authorized to perform works on the basis of tender procedures.	no comments.
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.5	<b>Dust</b>	Criterion i is under threat: contamination of external elements of the monument: walls, facades, decorative elements, and monastery interior.	Daily cleaning of the territory, regular cleaning of walls and facades, repair and restoration works.	Permanently.	Restoration works are scheduled for 2015-2018.	The Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church, organization authorized to perform works on the basis of tender procedures.	no comments.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.2 Protective Measures					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.2.3	The legal framework in the buffer zone is inadequate	Management agreed upon Government of Moscow the project of protection zones, developed in 2012, with the Ministry of Culture.	2014-2015	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church	no comments
4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
4.3.4	Management system / plan is inadequate	Management Plan is scheduled for development	2015-2016	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church	no comments
4.3.8	Local communities have no input into management decisions	All managerial functions are performed only by Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church, entitled to operational management of the site. Local communities have no such right.	not applicable	not applicable	no comments
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	Equipment is purchased as funds are received	annually	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church, The Religion Museum of the Moscow Diocese	no comments
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	The Religion Museum of the Moscow Diocese arranged a permanent exhibition about the history of the Novodevichy Convent and Russian Orthodoxy. It is planned to hold annual public topical events on this issue	permanently	Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church, The Religion Museum of the Moscow Diocese	In 2014, the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation arranged a series of lectures "Russian monuments in the World Heritage List", the series includes a public lecture on the Novodevichy Convent ensemble
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Comprehensive monitoring system is under development as a component of the management plan	2015-2018	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Moscow Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church,	no comments

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

The governmental bodies and site manager shall take all possible measures to eliminate and prevent any threats and negative factors with impact on the outstanding universal value of the site, and to outlaw new constructions or historical distortions of the architectural ensemble, including in its buffer zone.

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Not applicable
Security	Not applicable
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
External experts

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Poor

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is on the coordination at the World Heritage Centre

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**